Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning

Predicate logic

Bernhard Nebel, Stefan Wölfl, and Felix Lindner

October 26, 2015

1 Motivation

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Why first-order logic (FOL)?

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

- In propositional logic, the only building blocks are atomic propositions.
- We cannot talk about the internal structures of these propositions.
- Example:
  - All CS students know formal logic
  - Peter is a CS student
  - Therefore, Peter knows formal logic

  ...not possible in propositional logic
- Idea: We introduce predicates, functions, object variables and quantifiers.

2 Syntax

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Syntax

- variable symbols: $x, y, z, \ldots$
- n-ary function symbols: $f, g, \ldots$
- constant symbols: $a, b, c, \ldots$
- n-ary predicate symbols: $P, Q, \ldots$
- logical symbols: $\forall$, $\exists$, $=$, $\neg$, $\wedge$, $\ldots$

Terms	t	$::= x$	variable
		$\mid\ f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$	function application
		$\mid\ a$	constant
Formulae	φ	$::= P(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$	atomic formulae
		$\mid\ t = t'$	identity formulae
		$\mid\ \ldots$	propositional connectives
		$\mid\ \forall x \varphi'$	universal quantification
		$\mid\ \exists x \varphi'$	existential quantification

Ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

3 Semantics

- Interpretations
- Variable Assignments
- Definition of Truth
- Terminology
- Free and Bound Variables
- Open and Closed Formulae

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Interpretations
Variable Assignments
Definition of Truth
Terminology
Free and Bound Variables
Open and Closed Formulae
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Semantics: idea

- In FOL, the universe of discourse consists of objects: we consider functions and relations over these objects.
- Function symbols are mapped to functions, predicate symbols are mapped to relations, and terms to objects.
- Notation: Instead of $\mathcal{I}(x)$ we write $x^{\mathcal{I}}$.
- Note: Usually one considers all possible non-empty universes. (However, sometimes the interpretations are restricted to particular domains, e.g. integers or real numbers.)
- Satisfiability and validity is then considered wrt. all these universes.

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Interpretations
Variable Assignments
Definition of Truth
Terminology
Free and Bound Variables
Open and Closed Formulae
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Formal semantics: interpretations

Interpretations: $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathcal{D}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle$ with $\mathcal{D}$ being an arbitrary non-empty set and $\cdot^{\mathcal{I}}$ being a function which maps

- n-ary function symbols f to n-ary functions $f^{\mathcal{I}} \in [\mathcal{D}^n \to \mathcal{D}]$,
- constant symbols a to objects $a^{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{D}$, and
- n-ary predicates P to n-ary relations $P^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$.

Interpretation of ground terms:

$$(f(t_1, \ldots, t_n))^{\mathcal{I}} \quad = \quad f^{\mathcal{I}}({t_1}^{\mathcal{I}}, \ldots, {t_n}^{\mathcal{I}}) \ \ (\in \mathcal{D})$$

Truth of ground atoms:

$$\mathcal{I} \models P(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle {t_1}^{\mathcal{I}}, \ldots, {t_n}^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Interpretations
Variable Assignments
Definition of Truth
Terminology
Free and Bound Variables
Open and Closed Formulae
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D} &= \{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}, n \geq 2 \\
\mathrm{a}^{\mathcal{I}} &= d_1 \\
\mathrm{b}^{\mathcal{I}} &= d_2 \\
\mathrm{Cat}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{d_1\} \\
\mathrm{Red}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \mathcal{D} \\
\mathcal{I} &\models \mathrm{Red(b)} \\
\mathcal{I} &\not\models \mathrm{Cat(b)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D} &= \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\} \\
1^{\mathcal{I}} &= 1 \\
2^{\mathcal{I}} &= 2 \\
&\vdots \\
\mathrm{even}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{2, 4, 6, \ldots\} \\
\mathrm{succ}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{(1 \mapsto 2), (2 \mapsto 3), \ldots\} \\
\mathcal{I} &\not\models \mathrm{even}(3) \\
\mathcal{I} &\models \mathrm{even}(\mathrm{succ}(3))
\end{aligned}
$$

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Interpretations
Variable Assignments
Definition of Truth
Terminology
Free and Bound Variables
Open and Closed Formulae
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Formal semantics: variable assignments

V is the set of variables. Functions $\alpha\colon V \to \mathcal{D}$ are called variable assignments.

Notation: $\alpha[x/d]$ is identical to α except for x where $\alpha[x/d](x) = d$.

Interpretation of terms under $\mathcal{I}, \alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\mathcal{I},\alpha} &= \alpha(x) \\ a^{\mathcal{I},\alpha} &= a^{\mathcal{I}} \\ (f(t_1,\ldots,t_n))^{\mathcal{I},\alpha} &= f^{\mathcal{I}}({t_1}^{\mathcal{I},\alpha},\ldots,{t_n}^{\mathcal{I},\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

Truth of atomic formulae:

$$\mathcal{I},\alpha \models P(t_1,\ldots,t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle {t_1}^{\mathcal{I},\alpha},\ldots,{t_n}^{\mathcal{I},\alpha}\rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Example (cont'd):

$\alpha = \{x \mapsto d_1, y \mapsto d_2\}$ $\quad \mathcal{I},\alpha \models \mathrm{Red}(x)$ $\quad \mathcal{I},\alpha[y/d_1] \models \mathrm{Cat}(y)$

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Interpretations
Variable Assignments
Definition of Truth
Terminology
Free and Bound Variables
Open and Closed Formulae
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Formal semantics: truth

Truth of φ under $\mathcal{I}$ and α ($\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$) is defined as follows.

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models P(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$	iff $\langle {t_1}^{\mathcal{I},\alpha}, \ldots, {t_n}^{\mathcal{I},\alpha} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$
$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models t_1 = t_2$	iff ${t_1}^{\mathcal{I},\alpha} = {t_2}^{\mathcal{I},\alpha}$
$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \neg\varphi$	iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \not\models \varphi$
$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \wedge \psi$	iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$ and $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$
$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \vee \psi$	iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$ or $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$
$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \rightarrow \psi$	iff if $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$, then $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$
$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$	iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$
$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x\, \varphi$	iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha[x/d] \models \varphi$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$
$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \exists x\, \varphi$	iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha[x/d] \models \varphi$ for some $d \in \mathcal{D}$

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Interpretations
Variable Assignments
Definition of Truth
Terminology
Free and Bound Variables
Open and Closed Formulae
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D} &= \{d_1, \ldots, d_n\},\ n > 1 \\
a^{\mathcal{I}} &= d_1 \\
b^{\mathcal{I}} &= d_1 \\
\mathrm{Cat}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{d_1\} \\
\mathrm{Red}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \mathcal{D} \\
\alpha &= \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Cat}(a), \mathrm{Cat}(b) \\ \forall x(\mathrm{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Red}(x)) \end{array} \right\}
$$

Questions:

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathrm{Cat}(b) \vee \neg\mathrm{Cat}(b)$?
Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathrm{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}(x) \vee \mathrm{Cat}(y)$?
Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathrm{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}(y)$?
No

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathrm{Cat}(a) \wedge \mathrm{Cat}(b)$?
Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\mathrm{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Red}(x))$?
Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta$? Yes

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Interpretations
Variable Assignments
Definition of Truth
Terminology
Free and Bound Variables
Open and Closed Formulae
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Terminology

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha$ is a model of φ iff

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi.$$

A formula can be satisfiable, unsatisfiable, falsifiable, valid, ...

Formulae φ and ψ are logically equivalent (symb.: $\varphi \equiv \psi$) iff for all $\mathcal{I}, \alpha$:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi.$$

Note: $\mathrm{P(x)} \not\equiv \mathrm{P(y)}$!

Logical implication is also analogous to propositional logic:

$$\Theta \models \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \text{for all } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta \text{ also } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi.$$

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Interpretations
Variable Assignments
Definition of Truth
Terminology
Free and Bound Variables
Open and Closed Formulae
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Free and bound variables

Variables can be free or bound (by a quantifier) in a formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{free}(x) &= \{x\} \\
\text{free}(f(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) &= \text{free}(t_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{free}(t_n) \\
\text{free}(t_1 = t_2) &= \text{free}(t_1) \cup \text{free}(t_2) \\
\text{free}(P(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) &= \text{free}(t_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{free}(t_n) \\
\text{free}(\neg\varphi) &= \text{free}(\varphi) \\
\text{free}(\varphi * \psi) &= \text{free}(\varphi) \cup \text{free}(\psi), \text{ for } * = \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow \\
\text{free}(Qx\varphi) &= \text{free}(\varphi) \setminus \{x\}, \text{ for } Q = \forall, \exists
\end{aligned}
$$

Example: $\forall x(R(y,z) \wedge \exists y(\neg P(y,x) \vee R(y,z)))$

Which occurrences are free, which are free?

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Interpretations
Variable Assignments
Definition of Truth
Terminology
Free and Bound Variables
Open and Closed Formulae
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Open & closed formulae

- Formulae without free variables are called closed formulae or sentences. Formulae with free variables are called open formulae.
- Closed formulae are all we need when we want to state something about the world. Open formulae (and variable assignments) are only necessary for technical reasons (semantics of $\forall$ and $\exists$).
- Note that logical equivalence, satisfiability, and entailment are independent from variable assignments if we consider only closed formulae.
- For closed formulae, we omit α in connection with $\models$:

$$\mathcal{I} \models \varphi.$$

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Interpretations
Variable Assignments
Definition of Truth
Terminology
Free and Bound Variables
Open and Closed Formulae
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

4 Normal forms

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Prenex Normal Form

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

The prenex normal form of a FOL formula has the following form:

quantifier prefix + (quantifier free) matrix

Generate prenex normal form:

1. Eliminate $\rightarrow$ and $\leftrightarrow$.
2. Move $\neg$ inside.
3. Moving quantifiers out (using a number of equivalences).

Theorem

For each FOL formula, an equivalent formula in prenex normal form exists and can be effectively computed.

Skolemization

We can further simplify formulae by eliminating existential quantifiers using fresh function symbols (Skolem functions).

Theorem (Skolem normal form)

Let φ be a closed formula in prenex normal form with all variables pairwise distinct of the form $\varphi = \forall x_1 \ldots \forall x_i \exists y \psi$. Let g_i be an i-ary function symbols not appearing in φ.
Then φ is satisfiable iff

$$\varphi' = \forall x_1 \ldots \forall x_i \psi[y/g_i(x_1, \ldots, x_i)]$$

is satisfiable.

Proof idea.

$\Leftarrow$ For each assignment to $x_1 \ldots x_i$, there is a value of $y[= g(x_1, \ldots, x_i)]$ that makes ψ true.

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Skolem normal form

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Skolem Normal Form

Prenex normal form without existential quantifiers.
Notation: φ^* is SNF of φ

Theorem

For each closed formula φ, a corresponding SNF φ^* can be effectively computed.

Example

$$\exists x\,((\forall x\, p(x)) \wedge \neg q(x))$$
$$\exists y\,((\forall x\, p(x)) \wedge \neg q(y))$$
$$\exists y\,(\forall x\,(p(x) \wedge \neg q(y)))$$
$$\forall x\,(p(x) \wedge \neg q(g_0))$$

5 Herbrand interpretations

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Reducing FOL satisfiability to propositional satisfiability …

Idea 1: We use one particular interpretation which has as the universe of discourse all possible ground terms – and we add one constant if we do not have already one $\rightsquigarrow$ Herbrand universe

Example: $\forall x \forall y (\neg P(x,y) \vee R(g_2(x,y),x))$
$\mathcal{D}^H = \{a_0, g_2(a_0,a_0), g_2(a_0,g_2(a_0,a_0)), \dots\}$

Idea 2: Function symbols are interpreted syntactically, predicate symbols are interpreted arbitrarily over this universe (each ground atom gets a truth value): $\rightsquigarrow$ Herbrand interpretation

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = a$$

$$(f(t_1,\dots,t_n))^{\mathcal{I}} = f(t_1,\dots,t_n)$$

$\mathcal{I}$ could then be defined such that, e.g., $\mathcal{I} \not\models P(a_0,a_0)$, $\mathcal{I} \not\models P(a_0,g_2(a_0,a_0))$, etc.

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Herbrand models and Herbrand expansions

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Theorem

A formula φ has a model iff it has a Herbrand model.

Idea 3: We expand each SNF-formula by substituting all variables by all possible terms $\rightsquigarrow$ Herbrand expansion ($E(\varphi)$)

Example: $\neg P(a_0, a_0) \vee R(g_2(a_0, a_0), a_0), \neg P(a_0, g_2(a_0, a_0)) \vee R(g_2(a_0, g_2(a_0, a_0)), a_0), \ldots$

Theorem

A formula φ is satisfiable if $E(\varphi)$ is satisfiable.

A reduction to a satisfiability problem with infinitely many formulae

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

- Note that the Herbrand universe can be infinite, therefore $E(\varphi)$ can be infinite!
- If the Herbrand base is finite there is no problem (well, ...)
- Use $E(\varphi)$ in a "lazy" way, expand only as needed
- Semi-decision method for unsatisfiability
- In fact, unsatisfiability (and validity) in FOL is only semi-decidable (use e.g. PCP to prove)!

6 Further Theorems

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Further theorems

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Some corollaries from the previous theorems:

Theorem (Compactness)

Let $\Phi \cup \{\psi\}$ be a set of closed formulae.

(a) $\Phi \models \psi$ *iff there exists a finite subset* $\Phi' \subseteq \Phi$ *s. t.* $\Phi' \models \psi$.

(b) Φ *is satisfiable iff each finite subset* $\Phi' \subseteq \Phi$ *is satisfiable.*

Theorem (Löwenheim-Skolem)

Each countable set of closed formulae that is satisfiable is satisfiable on a countable domain.

7 Literature

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature

Literature

Harry R. Lewis and Christos H. Papadimitriou.
Elements of the Theory of Computation.
Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1981 (Chapters 8 & 9).

Volker Sperschneider and Grigorios Antoniou.
Logic – A Foundation for Computer Science.
Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1991 (Chapters 1–3).

H.-P. Ebbinghaus, J. Flum, and W. Thomas.
Einführung in die mathematische Logik.
Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, Darmstadt, 1986.

U. Schöning.
Logik für Informatiker.
Spektrum-Verlag.

Motivation
Syntax
Semantics
Normal forms
Herbrand interpretations
Further Theorems
Literature