

# Principles of AI Planning

Prof. Dr. B. Nebel, Dr. R. Mattmüller  
D. Speck  
Winter Semester 2015/2016

University of Freiburg  
Department of Computer Science

## Exercise Sheet 11

Due: Friday, January 22nd, 2016

**Exercise 11.1** (Strong stubborn sets, 1+3 points)

Consider the SAS<sup>+</sup> planning task  $\Pi$  with variables  $V = \{pos, left, right, hat\}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{pos} = \{home, uni\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{left} = \mathcal{D}_{right} = \mathcal{D}_{hat} = \{t, f\}$ . The initial state is  $I = \{pos \mapsto home, left \mapsto f, right \mapsto f, hat \mapsto f\}$  and the goal specification is  $\gamma = \{pos \mapsto uni\}$ . There are four operators in  $O$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} wear-left-shoe &= \langle pos = home \wedge left = f, left := t \rangle, \\ wear-right-shoe &= \langle pos = home \wedge right = f, right := t \rangle, \\ wear-hat &= \langle pos = home \wedge hat = f, hat := t \rangle, \text{ and} \\ go-to-university &= \langle pos = home \wedge left = t \wedge right = t, pos := uni \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

- Draw the breadth-first search graph (with duplicate detection) for planning task  $\Pi$  without any form of partial-order reduction.
- Draw the breadth-first search graph (with duplicate detection) for planning task  $\Pi$  using strong stubborn set pruning. For each expansion of a node for a state  $s$ , specify in detail how  $T_s$  (and thus  $T_{app(s)}$ ) are computed, i.e., explain how the initial disjunctive action landmark is chosen and how operators are iteratively added to  $T_s$  as part of necessary enabling sets or interfering operators, respectively. Break ties in favor of *wear-left-shoe* over *wear-right-shoe*.  
How many node expansion do you save with strong stubborn sets compared to plain breadth-first search? What about the lengths of the resulting solutions?

You may abbreviate the operator names, state descriptions etc. appropriately.

**Exercise 11.2** (Weak vs. strong stubborn sets, 6 points)

Show that *weak* stubborn sets admit exponentially more pruning than *strong* stubborn sets.

*Hint:* Consider the family of planning tasks  $(\Pi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\Pi_n = \langle V_n, I_n, O_n, \gamma \rangle$  is the planning task with the following components:

- $V_n = \{a, x, y, b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  with variable domains  $\mathcal{D}_a = \mathcal{D}_x = \mathcal{D}_y = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{b_i} = \{0, 1, 2\}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- $O_n = \{o, o', o_d, \overline{o_d}, o_1, \dots, o_n, \overline{o_1}, \dots, \overline{o_n}\}$
- $pre(o) = \{a \mapsto 0\}$ ,  $eff(o) = \{x \mapsto 1\}$
- $pre(o') = \{a \mapsto 0\}$ ,  $eff(o') = \{y \mapsto 1\}$
- $pre(o_d) = \{a \mapsto 0\}$ ,  $eff(o_d) = \{a \mapsto 1, b_1 \mapsto 1, \dots, b_n \mapsto 1\}$
- $pre(\overline{o_d}) = \{a \mapsto 1\}$ ,  $eff(\overline{o_d}) = \{a \mapsto 0, b_1 \mapsto 1, \dots, b_n \mapsto 1\}$
- $pre(o_i) = \{b_i \mapsto 1\}$ ,  $eff(o_i) = \{b_i \mapsto 2\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$
- $pre(\overline{o_i}) = \{b_i \mapsto 2\}$ ,  $eff(\overline{o_i}) = \{b_i \mapsto 1\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$
- $I_n = \{a \mapsto 0, x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 0, b_1 \mapsto 0, \dots, b_n \mapsto 0\}$
- $\gamma = \{x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 1\}$

You may and should solve the exercise sheets in groups of two. Please state both names on your solution.