

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Constraint Optimization

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



UNI
FREIBURG

Stefan Wöfl, Christian Becker-Asano, and Bernhard Nebel

January 7, 2015



Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Motivation



Real-life problems often contain **hard** and **soft constraints**:

Hard constraints: must be satisfied;

Soft constraints: should be satisfied, but may be violated.

Example: In time-tabling problems,

- resource constraints such as “a teacher can teach only one class at a time” must be satisfied;
- a request such as “the schedule of teacher should be concentrated in two days” is simply a preference, but not essential for the solution.

What to do with soft constraints?

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound



Real-life problems often contain **hard** and **soft constraints**:

Hard constraints: must be satisfied;

Soft constraints: should be satisfied, but may be violated.

Example: In time-tabling problems,

- resource constraints such as “a teacher can teach only one class at a time” must be satisfied;
- a request such as “the schedule of teacher should be concentrated in two days” is simply a preference, but not essential for the solution.

What to do with soft constraints?

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound



Real-life problems often contain **hard** and **soft constraints**:

Hard constraints: must be satisfied;

Soft constraints: should be satisfied, but may be violated.

Example: In time-tabling problems,

- resource constraints such as “a teacher can teach only one class at a time” must be satisfied;
- a request such as “the schedule of teacher should be concentrated in two days” is simply a preference, but not essential for the solution.

What to do with soft constraints?

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound



Formalizing problems with soft and hard constraints leads to constraint networks augmented with a **global cost function** (also called **criteria function** or **objective function**), based on the satisfaction of soft constraints.

A **constraint optimization problem** (COP) is the problem of finding a variable assignment to all variables that satisfies all hard constraints and at the same time optimizes the global cost function.

Note: Every constraint satisfaction problem can be viewed as a constraint optimization problem – when not all constraints are satisfiable. Try to find an assignment that maximizes the number of satisfied constraints: **MAX-CSP** problem.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound



Formalizing problems with soft and hard constraints leads to constraint networks augmented with a **global cost function** (also called **criteria function** or **objective function**), based on the satisfaction of soft constraints.

A **constraint optimization problem** (COP) is the problem of finding a variable assignment to all variables that satisfies all hard constraints and at the same time optimizes the global cost function.

Note: Every constraint satisfaction problem can be viewed as a constraint optimization problem – when not all constraints are satisfiable. Try to find an assignment that maximizes the number of satisfied constraints: **MAX-CSP** problem.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound



Formalizing problems with soft and hard constraints leads to constraint networks augmented with a **global cost function** (also called **criteria function** or **objective function**), based on the satisfaction of soft constraints.

A **constraint optimization problem** (COP) is the problem of finding a variable assignment to all variables that satisfies all hard constraints and at the same time optimizes the global cost function.

Note: Every constraint satisfaction problem can be viewed as a constraint optimization problem – when not all constraints are satisfiable. Try to find an assignment that maximizes the number of satisfied constraints: **MAX-CSP** problem.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Example 1: Power plant maintenance



Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Given

- 1 a number of power generators,
- 2 preventive maintenance intervals,
- 3 time for maintenance,
- 4 accurate estimates for plant's power demands,

determine a maintenance schedule respecting (2) that minimizes operating and maintenance costs.

Example 1: Power plant maintenance



Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Given

- 1 a number of power generators,
- 2 preventive maintenance intervals,
- 3 time for maintenance,
- 4 accurate estimates for plant's power demands,

determine a maintenance schedule respecting (2) that minimizes operating and maintenance costs.

Example 2: Combinatorial auctions



In **combinatorial auctions**, bidders can give bids for sets of items. The auctioneer then has to generate an optimal selection, e.g., one that maximizes revenue.

Definition

The **combinatorial auction problem** is specified as follows:

Given: A set of items $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ and a set of bids $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ such that each bid is $b_i = (Q_i, r_i)$, where $Q_i \subseteq Q$ and r_i is a strictly positive real number.

Task: Find a subset of bids $B' \subseteq B$ such that any two bids in B' do not share an item maximizing $\sum_{(Q_i, r_i) \in B'} r_i$.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Example 2: Combinatorial auctions



In **combinatorial auctions**, bidders can give bids for sets of items. The auctioneer then has to generate an optimal selection, e.g., one that maximizes revenue.

Definition

The **combinatorial auction problem** is specified as follows:

Given: A set of items $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ and a set of bids $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ such that each bid is $b_i = (Q_i, r_i)$, where $Q_i \subseteq Q$ and r_i is a strictly positive real number.

Task: Find a subset of bids $B' \subseteq B$ such that any two bids in B' do not share an item maximizing $\sum_{(Q_i, r_i) \in B'} r_i$.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound



Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Cost Networks



- We will extend **constraint networks** to **cost networks**.
- **Hard constraints** are modelled as ordinary constraints, we know already.
- **Soft constraints** are modelled by **cost functions**, which assign particular costs to variable assignments.
- The costs are aggregated by a **global cost function**

A constraint optimization problem (COP) is a constraint network extended by a **global cost function**.

Definition

Given a set of variables $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, a set of real-valued functions F_1, \dots, F_l over scopes s_1, \dots, s_l ($s_j \subseteq V$), and assignments a over V . The **global cost function** F is defined by

$$F(a) = \sum_{j=1}^l F_j(a),$$

where $F_j(a)$ means F_j applied to assignment a restricted to the scope of F_j , i.e., $F_j(a) = F_j(a[s_j])$.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Constraint optimization problems can be viewed as defined over an extended constraint network called **cost network**.

Definition

A **cost network** is a 4-tuple $\mathcal{O} = \langle V, D, C_h, C_s \rangle$, where

- (a) $\langle V, D, C_h \rangle$ is a constraint network (elements of C_h are called **hard constraints**), and
- (b) $C_s = \{F_1, \dots, F_l\}$ is a set of real-valued functions defined over scopes s_1, \dots, s_l (elements of C_s are called **soft constraints**).

Definition

A **solution to a constraint optimization problem** given by a cost network $\mathcal{O} = \langle V, D, C_h, C_s \rangle$, is an assignment a^* that maximizes (minimizes) $F(a)$ among all assignments a that satisfy $\langle V, D, C_h \rangle$.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Constraint optimization problems can be viewed as defined over an extended constraint network called **cost network**.

Definition

A **cost network** is a 4-tuple $\mathcal{O} = \langle V, D, C_h, C_s \rangle$, where

- (a) $\langle V, D, C_h \rangle$ is a constraint network (elements of C_h are called **hard constraints**), and
- (b) $C_s = \{F_1, \dots, F_l\}$ is a set of real-valued functions defined over scopes s_1, \dots, s_l (elements of C_s are called **soft constraints**).

Definition

A **solution to a constraint optimization problem** given by a cost network $\mathcal{O} = \langle V, D, C_h, C_s \rangle$, is an assignment a^* that maximizes (minimizes) $F(a)$ among all assignments a that satisfy $\langle V, D, C_h \rangle$.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Example: Cost network for combinatorial auction



For a combinatorial auction given by item set $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ and bids $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ with $b_i = (Q_i, r_i)$ define a cost network as follows:

- **Variables** b_i with domain $\{0, 1\}$; 1 for selecting the bid, 0 otherwise;
- For each pair b_i, b_j such that $Q_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset$ a **constraint** R_{ij} prohibiting that b_i and b_j are assigned 1 simultaneously;
- **Cost functions** F_i with $F_i(a) = r_i$ if $a(b_i) = 1$, $F_i(a) = 0$ otherwise, for an assignment a .

Find a consistent assignment a to the b_i s that **maximizes** $F(a) = \sum_i F_i(a)$.

Note: cost network = constraint network, because all cost components are unary.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Example: Auction



Consider the following auction:

$$b_1 : Q_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \quad r_1 = 8,$$

$$b_2 : Q_2 = \{2, 3, 6\}, \quad r_2 = 6,$$

$$b_3 : Q_3 = \{1, 4, 5\}, \quad r_3 = 5,$$

$$b_4 : Q_4 = \{2, 8\}, \quad r_4 = 2,$$

$$b_5 : Q_5 = \{5, 6\}, \quad r_5 = 2.$$

What is the optimal assignment?

Example: Auction



Consider the following auction:

$$b_1 : Q_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \quad r_1 = 8,$$

$$b_2 : Q_2 = \{2, 3, 6\}, \quad r_2 = 6,$$

$$b_3 : Q_3 = \{1, 4, 5\}, \quad r_3 = 5,$$

$$b_4 : Q_4 = \{2, 8\}, \quad r_4 = 2,$$

$$b_5 : Q_5 = \{5, 6\}, \quad r_5 = 2.$$

What is the optimal assignment?



We can always reduce COP-solving to solving a **sequence of CSPs**.

Given a COP \mathcal{O} which we want to maximize. Consider a sequence of CSPs \mathcal{C}_i , s. t. each contains the constraint part of \mathcal{O} and an additional constraint $\sum_j F_j(a) \geq c_i$, where $c_1 \leq \dots \leq c_i \leq \dots$

Solve the CSPs with increasing cost bounds c_i until no solution can be found. Then the previous step is the optimal solution – provided the difference between the steps is not larger than the smallest difference between different values of the global cost function.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound



We can always reduce COP-solving to solving a **sequence of CSPs**.

Given a COP \mathcal{O} which we want to maximize. Consider a sequence of CSPs \mathcal{C}_i , s. t. each contains the constraint part of \mathcal{O} and an additional constraint $\sum_j F_j(a) \geq c_i$, where $c_1 \leq \dots \leq c_i \leq \dots$

Solve the CSPs with increasing cost bounds c_i until no solution can be found. Then the previous step is the optimal solution – provided the difference between the steps is not larger than the smallest difference between different values of the global cost function.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Example: Solving the auction problem



Assumption: Step size 1 and static variable ordering

b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5 .

For cost bounds from $c_1 = 0$ to $c_9 = 8$, $a(b_1) = 1$ and all others 0 is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{10} = 9$ and $c_{11} = 10$, $a(b_1) = 1$ and $a(b_5) = 1$ (and all others 0) is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{12} = 11$, $a(b_2) = 1$ and $a(b_3) = 1$ (and all others 0) is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{13} = 12$, there is no satisfying assignment.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Example: Solving the auction problem



Assumption: Step size 1 and static variable ordering

b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5 .

For cost bounds from $c_1 = 0$ to $c_9 = 8$, $a(b_1) = 1$ and all others 0 is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{10} = 9$ and $c_{11} = 10$, $a(b_1) = 1$ and $a(b_5) = 1$ (and all others 0) is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{12} = 11$, $a(b_2) = 1$ and $a(b_3) = 1$ (and all others 0) is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{13} = 12$, there is no satisfying assignment.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Example: Solving the auction problem



Assumption: Step size 1 and static variable ordering

b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5 .

For cost bounds from $c_1 = 0$ to $c_9 = 8$, $a(b_1) = 1$ and all others 0 is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{10} = 9$ and $c_{11} = 10$, $a(b_1) = 1$ and $a(b_5) = 1$ (and all others 0) is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{12} = 11$, $a(b_2) = 1$ and $a(b_3) = 1$ (and all others 0) is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{13} = 12$, there is no satisfying assignment.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Example: Solving the auction problem



Assumption: Step size 1 and static variable ordering

b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5 .

For cost bounds from $c_1 = 0$ to $c_9 = 8$, $a(b_1) = 1$ and all others 0 is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{10} = 9$ and $c_{11} = 10$, $a(b_1) = 1$ and $a(b_5) = 1$ (and all others 0) is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{12} = 11$, $a(b_2) = 1$ and $a(b_3) = 1$ (and all others 0) is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{13} = 12$, there is no satisfying assignment.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Example: Solving the auction problem



Assumption: Step size 1 and static variable ordering

b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5 .

For cost bounds from $c_1 = 0$ to $c_9 = 8$, $a(b_1) = 1$ and all others 0 is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{10} = 9$ and $c_{11} = 10$, $a(b_1) = 1$ and $a(b_5) = 1$ (and all others 0) is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{12} = 11$, $a(b_2) = 1$ and $a(b_3) = 1$ (and all others 0) is satisfying.

For cost bound $c_{13} = 12$, there is no satisfying assignment.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound



Motivation

Cost
Networks

**Branch and
Bound**

Bounding function

Branch and Bound



When solving a COP using a sequence of CSPs, one could use all CSP techniques. However, instead of solving multiple CSPs, one may instead want to integrate the optimization process into the search process.

First idea:

- 1 Set bound $c = 0$.
- 2 Use any systematic search technique to find an assignment that satisfies the constraint part.
- 3 Remember solution in a and global cost in c if global cost $> c$.
- 4 Return a and c if no further solutions can be found, otherwise continue with next solution at (3).

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Bounding function



When solving a COP using a sequence of CSPs, one could use all CSP techniques. However, instead of solving multiple CSPs, one may instead want to integrate the optimization process into the search process.

First **idea**:

- 1 Set bound $c = 0$.
- 2 Use any systematic search technique to find an assignment that satisfies the constraint part.
- 3 Remember solution in a and global cost in c if global cost $> c$.
- 4 Return a and c if no further solutions can be found, otherwise continue with next solution at (3).

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Bounding function



Of course, often it is possible to **prune** the search, even if no inconsistency has been detected yet.

Main idea behind **depth-first branch-and-bound (BnB)**:

If the best solution so far is c , this is a **lower bound** for all other possible solutions. So, if a partial solution has led to costs of x for all cost components of fully instantiated variables and **the best we can achieve** for all other cost components is y with $x + y < c$, then we do not need to continue in this branch.

How can we find out what is the best we can achieve?

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Bounding function



Of course, often it is possible to **prune** the search, even if no inconsistency has been detected yet.

Main idea behind **depth-first branch-and-bound (BnB)**:

If the best solution so far is c , this is a **lower bound** for all other possible solutions. So, if a partial solution has led to costs of x for all cost components of fully instantiated variables and **the best we can achieve** for all other cost components is y with $x + y < c$, then we do not need to continue in this branch.

How can we find out what is the best we can achieve?

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Bounding function



Of course, often it is possible to **prune** the search, even if no inconsistency has been detected yet.

Main idea behind **depth-first branch-and-bound (BnB)**:

If the best solution so far is c , this is a **lower bound** for all other possible solutions. So, if a partial solution has led to costs of x for all cost components of fully instantiated variables and **the best we can achieve** for all other cost components is y with $x + y < c$, then we do not need to continue in this branch.

How can we find out what is the best we can achieve?

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Bounding function

In the following, we will write \vec{a}_i for partial instantiations of the first i variables, assuming a static variable ordering.

Definition

A **bounding evaluation function** for a **maximizing (minimizing) constraint optimization problem** is a function f over partial assignments such that $f(\vec{a}_i) \geq \max_a F(a)$ ($f(\vec{a}_i) \leq \min_a F(a)$) for all satisfying assignments a that extend \vec{a}_i .

Note:

- If $f(\vec{a}_i) < c$ for some already found solution c , then \vec{a}_i cannot be extended to a maximal solution.
- f can also be used as a heuristic for choosing a value of the next variable!

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Bounding function

Branch and bound (BnB) algorithm



BnB(\mathcal{O}, f):

Input: cost network \mathcal{O} and evaluation bounding function f

Output: an optimal assignment a' (possibly empty) with cost c'

$\forall i D'_i \leftarrow D_i, i \leftarrow 1, c' \leftarrow 0, a' \leftarrow \emptyset, a \leftarrow \emptyset$

while $i \neq 0$

while $1 \leq i \leq n$

 remove ($v_i \mapsto _$) from a // remove old assignment to v_i

$x \leftarrow \text{SELECTVALUE}(i, c')$

if ($x = \text{null}$) $D'_i \leftarrow D_i$ // no value for x_i : reset domain

$i \leftarrow i - 1$ // backtrack

else $a \leftarrow a \cup \{v_i \mapsto x\}$

$i \leftarrow i + 1$ // step forward

if $i = n + 1$ // one solution found

if $F(a) > c'$ // better solution

$a' \leftarrow a$ // remember best solution found so far

$c' \leftarrow F(a)$

$i \leftarrow n$ // search for next solution

return (a', c')

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Bounding function

SELECTVALUE(i, c'):

```
while  $D'_i \neq \emptyset$   
  select  $a_i^* \in D'_i$  such that  
     $a_i^* = \text{pick one arg max}_{a_i \in D'_i} f(a \cup \{v_i \mapsto a_i\})$   
  remove  $a_i^*$  from  $D'_i$   
  if  $a \cup \{v_i \mapsto a_i^*\}$  is consistent and  
     $f(a \cup \{v_i \mapsto a_i^*\}) > c'$   
    return  $a_i^*$   
return null
```



How to come up with a good **bounding evaluation function**?

In *Operation Research*, one often uses **Linear Programming** to come up with bounds for **Integer Programming Problems**.

Let us consider what we can achieve for all soft constraints in isolation subject to the partial assignment we have already. This function is called **first-choice** (*fc*) bounding function:

$$f_{fc}(\vec{a}_i) = \sum_{F_j \in C_s} \max_{a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n} F_j(\vec{a}_i \cup \{v_{i+1} \mapsto a_{i+1}, \dots, v_n \mapsto a_n\})$$

How could one improve on that?

- Only allow locally consistent partial assignments.
- Do not consider all soft constraints in isolation, but combine them!

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Bounding function

Example: Auction again



Let us consider **BnB** with the **first-choice** bounding function on our auction example:

$$1 \quad f_{fc}(\{b_1 \mapsto 1\}) = 8 + (6 + 5 + 2 + 2) = 23$$

$$2 \quad f_{fc}(\{b_1 \mapsto 1, b_2 \mapsto 0\}) = 8 + (5 + 2 + 2) = 17$$

$$3 \quad f_{fc}(\{b_1 \mapsto 1, b_2 \mapsto 0, b_3 \mapsto 0\}) = 8 + (2 + 2) = 12$$

$$4 \quad f_{fc}(\{b_1 \mapsto 1, b_2 \mapsto 0, b_3 \mapsto 0, b_4 \mapsto 0\}) = 8 + (2) = 10$$

$$5 \quad \dots$$



One way to get more accurate bounding functions is to solve subproblems and store the optimal results, reusing them for larger problems.

Solve a sequence of n problems using **BnB**, where in the i th run the last i variables, i.e., v_{n-i+1} up to v_n , (and the relevant hard and soft constraints) are considered.

The results of the previous runs can be used:

- 1 as an initial lower bound,
- 2 in a heuristic for choosing values, and
- 3 to generate a more accurate bounding function.

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Bounding function



- Solve n COPs $\mathcal{O}_i, (i = 1, \dots, n)$ over the last i variables v_{n-i+1}, \dots, v_n using BnB and store maximal costs as c_i^* .
- In the $(n - i + 1)$ th run, variables v_i, \dots, v_n are considered.
- Assume that the variables v_i, \dots, v_{i+j} are instantiated, denoted by the partial assignment \vec{a}_j^i , and that $C_{i,j}$ are all those soft constraints F such that their scopes are included in $\{v_i, \dots, v_{i+j}\}$.
- Then we use the optimal costs from the $n - i - j$ th run to improve on the first-choice function:

$$f(\vec{a}_j^i) = \sum_{F \in C_{i,j}} F(a_i, \dots, a_j) + c_{n-(i+j+1)}^*$$

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Bounding function



- Problems with hard and soft constraints lead to **constraint optimization problems**
- These are formalized using **cost functions** and **cost networks**
- They can be solved using a reduction to a sequence of CSP problems
- More efficiently, one can search for optimal solutions during the backtracking search
- **Branch and Bound** is the method of choice
- Its pruning power depends on the accuracy of the **bounding evaluation function**
- **Russian doll search** can boost its performance
- Further enhancements are possible using **constraint inference** techniques (such as **bucket elimination**).

Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Bounding function



Motivation

Cost
Networks

Branch and
Bound

Bounding function



Rina Dechter.
Constraint Processing,
Chapter 13, Morgan Kaufmann, 2003