### Bernhard Nebel und Christian Becker-Asano

### **Course Content**

Introduction to logic
 \* Propositional
 \* First order logic

Theoretical foundations of computer science
 \* Automata Theory
 \* Formal languages, grammars
 \* Decidability
 \* Computational Complexity

### **Theoretical Computer Science motivation**

### > Overall question :

What are the fundamental capabilities and limitations of computers ?

### > Subquestions :

**\*** What is the meaning of computation ?

★ Automata theory

- \* What can be computed ?
  - ★ Computability/Decidability theory
- \* What can be computed efficiently ?

★ Computational complexity

## What is the meaning of computation ?

> 1930-50s : Automata theory ?

**\*** Various mathematical models of computers

- ★ Automata theory
- ★ Turing Machines
- ★ Grammars (Noam Chomsky)
- ★ Practical :
  - Many devices (dishwashers, telephones, ...)
  - Compilers and languages
  - + Protocols

## What can be computed ?

> What can be computed using Turing Machines?

\* Some problems can be solved algorithmically

- ★E.g. sorting
- ★ Others cannot :
  - ★ E.g. the halting problem determine whether Turing machine M accepts w or not

May not terminate (if M loops)

★E.g. Goedel : no algorithm can decide in general whether statements in number theory are true or false

\* Practical :

★ It is important to know what can be computed and what not

# What can be computed efficiently ?

### Examples

**\*** Sorting can be done efficiently

\* Scheduling cannot be done efficiently

★ University lectures

\* Complexity theory gives an explanation

★ NP-hard problems

\* Practical :

★ Important to know how hard your problem is

★ Cryptography

## Some mathematical concepts: Sets



### **Mathematical concepts: Sequences and Sets**

Sequence is a list of object in some order:

(4,7,12) is not the same as (12,7,4)

- > Finite or infinite sequences:
  - finite are often called *tuples*, or *k-tuples* (a tuple with *k* elements).
     A 2-tuple is called a *pair*.
- Power set

\*  $A = \{0,1\}$  the power set  $A^P = \{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0,1\}\}$ 

Cartesian product or cross product

$$A = \{a, b\} and B = \{1, 2, 3\} A x B = \{\{a, 1\}, \{a, 2\}, \{a, 3\}, \{b, 1\}, \{b, 2\}, \{b, 3\} \}$$

### Some mathematical concepts: Graphs

 $\succ$  Graphs G = (V, E)

 $G_1 = \ (\{1,2,3,4,5\},\{\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\{3,4\},\{4,5\},\{5,1\}\})$ 



 $G_2 = (\{1,2,3,4\}, \{\{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{1,4\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{3,4\}\})$ 



1: Motivation

## Some mathematical concepts: Graphs II

Labelled





1: Motivation

### Some mathematical concepts: Graphs III



### Some mathematical concepts: Graphs IV

Trees



### Directed Graph



## **Strings and Languages**

- Alphabet = set of symbols
  - **\*** e.g.:  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$
- String = sequence of symbols over alphabet
  - \star e.g. aabbabcca
- > Length |w| = number of symbols in w
- $\succ$  Empty string =  $\varepsilon$
- > aabb is substring of aaabbbbccc
- > xy concatenation of two strings x and y

> 
$$x^k = x \dots x$$
 (z.B.  $x^3 = xxx$ )

> Language is a set of strings (over an alphabet  $\Sigma$ )

# **Mathematical proofs**

Various types of proofs \* Direct proof \* Proof by construction/counterexample \* Proof by contradiction (indirect proof, reductio ad absurdum) \* Proof by induction > How formal? \* Formal enough to be convincing to your audience

### **Direct proof**

- Strategy: Logically derive conclusions from your premises until you arrive at the desired conclusion.
- > Example:

Let a, b, c be integers. If  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid c$ , then  $a \mid c$ .

Proof:

- **\*** From  $a \mid b$ , we get: (1) ex. integer  $k_1$  s.t.  $b = k_1 \cdot a$
- **\*** From  $b \mid c$ , we get: (2) ex. integer  $k_2$  s.t.  $c = k_2 \cdot b$
- **\*** From (1) and (2) we get: (3) ex. integers  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$  s.t.  $c = k_2 \cdot k_1 \cdot a$
- \* From (3) we get: (4) ex. integer k s.t.  $c = k \cdot a$  (namely,  $k = k_2 \cdot k_1$ )
- **\*** From (4) we get that  $a \mid c$ .

## **Proof by construction**

- Objective: prove that a particular type of object exists
   \* Proof strategy: Demonstrate how to construct the object.
- > Example:
  - \* Definition: A graph is *k*-regular if all vertices have degree *k*
  - \* Theorem: For all even numbers n > 2, there exists a 3-regular graph with n nodes



# **Proof by Construction II**

Proof: (Let n > 2 be an even number.)

- + its successor in the cycle
- + its "mirror image" n/2 positions before/ahead in the cycle
- > Why do we need n > 2 as a requirement?

## **Proof by contradiction**

### > **Theorem**: $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational

### Proof strategy:

\*Assume that the theorem is not true.

Show that this leads to a contradiction, and hence the theorem must be true. 

## **Proof by Contradiction**

- > **Theorem**:  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational
- Proof: Assume the theorem is not true, then:

 $\sqrt{2} = \frac{b}{a}$ where a and b are integers and  $\frac{b}{a}$  is reduced. $2 = \frac{b^2}{a^2}$ hence,  $b^2$  is even, hence b is even $2a^2 = b^2$ hence,  $b^2$  is even, hence b is evennow, we can write b = 2c, which gives: $2a^2 = 4c^2$ divide by 2, gives: $a^2 = 2c^2$ hence,  $a^2$  is even, hence a must be even

### CONTRADICTION

## **Proof by induction**

- Prove a statement S(X) about a family of objects (e.g. integers, trees) in two parts :
  - \* Basis: prove for one or several small values of X directly
  - Inductive step: Assume S(Y) for Y smaller than X; prove S(X) using that assumption

### > Applies to

★ Natural numbers

**\*** Inductively defined objects (structured induction)

## Inductively defined: example

Rooted binary trees are inductively defined

- Basis: a single node is a tree and that node is the root of the tree
- > **Induction**: if  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are rooted binary trees, then the tree constructed as follows is a rooted binary tree:
  - \* Begin with a new node N
  - **\*** Add copies of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$
  - **\*** Add edges from N to  $T_1$  and  $T_2$

## **Proof by induction: example**

**Theorem:** A binary tree with *n* leaves has 2n - 1 nodes

- Basis:
  - **\*** if a tree has one leaf, then it is a one node tree 2 \* 1 1 = 1
- Induction:
  - assume S(T) for trees with fewer nodes than T, in particular for subtrees of T (i.e. use the theorem as an assumption, and use the smaller trees of T, namely U and V to prove it)
  - \* T must be a root plus two subtrees U and V
  - \* If U and V have x and y leaves respectively and T has z leaves, then z = x + y
  - **\*** By the induction assumption, U and V have 2x 1 and 2y 1 nodes, resp.

\* Then T has  
1 + 
$$(2x - 1) + (2y - 1)$$
 nodes:  
1 +  $(2x - 1) + (2y - 1)$   
=  $2(x + y) - 1$   
=  $2z - 1$   
(q.e.d.)