Introduction to Modal Logic

B. Nebel, S. Wölfl Summer 2015 University of Freiburg Department of Computer Science

Exercise Sheet 7 Due: 17-06-2015

The exercise sheets may be worked on, and handed in, in groups of two students. In that case please indicate both names on your solution. The solution must be handed in on Wednesday *before* the lecture (either on paper or electronically by email to woelfl@informatik.uni-freiburg.de).

In the following exercises we consider a basic modal language \mathcal{L} with a single modal operator.

Exercise 7.1 (3 points)

a) Show that for each Kripke frame \mathcal{F} , the set of formulae

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \{ \varphi : \mathcal{F} \models \varphi \}$$

is a normal modal logic.

b) Show that a similar claim for Kripke models does not hold in general: provide a model $\mathcal M$ such that

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{M}) = \{ \varphi : \mathcal{M} \models \varphi \}$$

is not a normal modal logic.

Exercise 7.2 (2 points)

Show that the modal logic KTL is the inconsistent modal logic.

Exercise 7.3 (2 points)

Show that the axiom $G1 = \Diamond \Box p \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p$ defines the class of all frames \mathcal{F} with

$$\mathcal{F} \models \forall xy_1y_2(xRy_1 \land xRy_2 \rightarrow \exists z(y_1Rz \land y_2Rz)).$$

Exercise 7.4 (3 points)

Show that $\mathbf{KTE} = \mathbf{KT4B}$.

Hint: It suffices to show $E \in \mathbf{KT4B}$ and $4, B \in \mathbf{KTE}$. For the second part of the proof it could be useful to show first that $B \in \mathbf{KTE}$.