Multiagent Systems

3b. Jason: Handling plan failure

B. Nebel, C. Becker-Asano, S. Wölfl

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg

May 16, 2014

B. Nebel, C. Becker-Asano, S. Wölfl (Universität Fr**Milbultrig**)gent Systems

May 16, 2014

1 / 1

B. Nebel, C. Becker-Asano, S. Wölfl (Universität Fr**Milbultrig**)gent Systems

May 16, 2014 2 / 1

Recommended reading

Bordini, Hübner, Wooldridge, "Programming multi-agent systems in AgentSpeak using Jason", Wiley, 2007

Handling plan failure

3b.1 Handling plan failure

Multiagent Systems

May 16, 2014 — 3b. Jason: Handling plan failure

B. Nebel, C. Becker-Asano, S. Wölfl (Universität Freelbuttrige)gent Systems

May 16, 2014

2 / 1

B. Nebel, C. Becker-Asano, S. Wölfl (Universität FriMilbultriga)gent Systems

May 16, 2014

14 3

AgentSpeak & plan failure

So far, we only learned how to design plans in AgentSpeak. Their bodies can contain any combination of:

- ► actions (internal or external)
- ► subgoals (achievement or test)
- mental notes
- expressions

But what happens if a plan fails?

 \Rightarrow Well, it depends . . .

B. Nebel, C. Becker-Asano, S. Wölfl (Universität Freibuutripa)gent Systems

May 16, 2014

Handling plan failure An example

Plan failure example

When agent starts running:

- 1. Only p1 is relevant (triggering event matches) and applicable (context true)
- 2. New intention created, leads to event +!g2(X)
- 3. Plan p2 is selected to handle this

The intention stack has two intended means:

```
+!q2(X)
  <- !g3(X); .print("end g2 ", X).
+!a1
  <- !g2(X); .print("end g1 ", X).
```

Handling plan failure An example

Plan failure example

Consider the following simple agent:

```
!g1. // initial goal
@p1 +!g1 : true <- !g2(X); .print("end g1 ",X).</pre>
@p2 + !g2(X) : true <- !g3(X); .print("end g2 ", X).
@p3 + !g3(X) : true <- !g4(X); .print("end g3 ", X).
p4 + 1g4(X) : true < - 1g5(X); .print("end g4 ", X).
@p5 + !g5(X) : true <- .fail.
@f1 -!g3(failure) : true <- .print("in g3 failure").</pre>
```

Initial goal g1 creates subgoal g2 \Rightarrow creates g3 \Rightarrow creates g4 \Rightarrow creates g5 \Rightarrow g5 fails.

Only g3 has a plan to handle failure.

Nebel, C. Becker-Asano, S. Wölfl (Universität Friedlandtrige)gent Systems

May 16, 2014

Handling plan failure An example

Plan failure example

This execution goes on until activation of g5, then the intention stack looks like this:

```
+!a5(X)
  <- .fail.
+!q4(X)
  <- !g5(X); .print("end g4 ", X).
+!g3(X)
  <- !g4(X); .print("end g3 ", X).
+!a2(X)
  <- !g3(X); .print("end g2 ", X).
+!q1
  <- !g2(X); .print("end g1 ", X).
```

6 / 1

Handling plan failure An example

Plan failure example

When g5 fails, interpreter looks for goal in intention stack that has relevant failure plan \Rightarrow only !g3(x)

Event -!g3(X) is created, which results in execution of @f1:

B. Nebel, C. Becker-Asano, S. Wölfl (Universität Freibilbultripa)gent Systems

May 16, 2014

8 / 1

Handling plan failure Summary

Summary

In essence:

When no applicable plan for a goal deletion (or external events like belief addition or deletions) exists, the interpreter can do two things:

- discard whole intention
- repost the event by including it again in the event queue

This can be configured in the *.mas2j file.

agents: <ag_type1_name> <source_file> <options>:

- ▶ events: discard, requeue, retrieve
- ▶ intBel: sameFocus, newFocus
- ▶ nrcbp: number of reasoning cycles before perception
- ▶ verbose: number between 0 and 2
- ► user settings:

```
e.g. ... agents: ag1 [file="an.xml", value=45]
```

Handling plan failure An example

Plan failure example

When @f1 finishes, intention continues in the same way as if g3 had been achieved successfully! ⇒ second formula of plan for +!g2 is executed:

```
 \begin{array}{lll} + ! g 2 \left( X \right) & \left\{ X \mapsto \text{failure} \right. \right\} \\ < - & . \text{print("end g2 ", X).} \\ \\ + ! g 1 & & \\ < - & ! g 2 \left( X \right); \ . \text{print("end g1 ", X).} \end{array}
```

The resulting output:

```
[a] saying: in g3 failure[a] saying: end g2 failure[a] saying: end g1 failure
```

B. Nebel, C. Becker-Asano, S. Wölfl (Universität FrMolloubtriga)gent Systems

May 16, 2014

0 / 1

10 / 1