

# Multiagent Systems

## 11. Coalition Formation (continued)

B. Nebel, C. Becker-Asano, S. Wölfl

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg

July 4, 2014

# Multiagent Systems

July 4, 2014 — 11. Coalition Formation (continued)

## 11.1 Motivation

## 11.2 Coalition games with Goals

## 11.3 Coalition Structure Formation

## 11.4 Summary

# 11.1 Motivation

# What we've learned so far

Last time we learned about:

- ▶ Coalition formation
- ▶ The core of a coalition game
- ▶ The Shapley value
- ▶ Different representations for different types of games
  - ▶ General coalition games: induced subgraphs & marginal contribution nets
  - ▶ Simple games: (k-)weighted voting games
- ▶ The Shapley-Shubik power index of simple games

**Today:**

Coalition Games with Goals & Coalition Structure Formation

## 11.2 Coalition games with Goals

# Coalition Games with Goals

So far, **utility** in coalition games was represented as some **numeric value**:

$$\nu : 2^{Ag} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

In BDI systems (such as Jason) this is inappropriate. System designers want their agents to achieve some **goal(s)**.

⇒ **Qualitative coalition games** (QCG)

- ▶ Each agent has set of goals and wants one of them to be achieved, but does not care which one
- ▶ Agents cooperate to **achieve mutually satisfying sets of goals**

# Qualitative coalition games

Formal model:

- ▶ every coalition  $C$  has a **set of choices**  $V(C)$ , i.e. different ways the coalition  $C$  could chose to cooperate
- ▶ characteristic function of QCG has signature  $V : 2^{Ag} \rightarrow 2^{2^G}$

Suppose set of goals  $G' \subseteq G$  is achieved:

- ▶  $G'$  **satisfies** an agent  $i$  if  $G_i \cap G' \neq \emptyset$ ,  
i.e. at least one of its goals is achieved
- ▶  $G'$  is **feasible** for a coalition  $C$ , if  $G' \in V(C)$ ,  
i.e.  $G'$  is one of the choices available to  $C$
- ▶ Coalition  $C$  is **successful**, if  $C$  can cooperate in such a way that  $G'$  satisfies every member of  $C$

**Propositional logic representation** is complete, but not guaranteed to be succinct.

## Coalition resource game

QCGs say nothing about where the characteristic function comes from, or how it is derived for a given scenario.

⇒ The **coalition resource game** framework (Wooldridge & Dunne, 2006):

- ▶ Simple idea: To achieve a goal requires **consumption of resources** and each agent is **endowed** with a profile of resources
- ▶ Coalitions form to **pool resources** and achieve mutually satisfactory set of goals

Interesting questions:

- ▶ Theoretical: Can a pair of coalitions achieve their goals whilst staying within their respective resource bounds?
- ▶ Real world: Can some countries achieve their economic objectives without consuming too many pollution-producing resources?



## 11.3 Coalition Structure Formation

# Coalition Structure Formation

So far, every agent acts strategically just as in non-cooperative games, attempting maximization of own utility.

⇒ What if one designer owns all agents?

- ▶ Performance of single agents perhaps not as important
- ▶ Better **maximize social welfare** of the system
- ▶ Maximizing social welfare ⇒ maximizing the **sum of the values of individual coalitions**

# Coalition Structure

A **coalition structure** is a **partition** of the overall set of agents  $Ag$  into **mutually disjoint coalitions**.

Example, with  $Ag = \{1, 2, 3\}$ :

- ▶ Seven possible coalitions:

$$\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 1\}, \{1, 2, 3\}$$

- ▶ Five possible coalition structures:

$$\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}, \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}, \{\{2\}, \{1, 3\}\},$$

$$\{\{3\}, \{1, 2\}\}, \{\{1, 2, 3\}\}$$

# Coalition Structure Formation

Given game  $G = \langle Ag, \nu \rangle$ , the **socially optimal coalition structure**  $CS^*$  is defined as:

$$CS^* = \underset{CS \in \text{partitions of } Ag}{\operatorname{argmax}} V(CS)$$

where

$$V(CS) = \sum_{C \in CS} \nu(C)$$

Unfortunately, there are **exponentially more** coalition structures over the sets of agents  $Ag$  than there will be coalitions over  $Ag$   
 $\Rightarrow$  **Exhaustive search is infeasible** (in the worst case)!

Sandholm et al. (1999) developed a technique that guarantees to find a coalition structure that is within some provable bound from the optimal one.

## 11.4 Summary

- Thanks

# Summary

What we have learned today:

- ▶ Coalition Games with Goals
  - ▶ Goals, not numeric utilities, as targets for agents
  - ▶ Qualitative coalition games
  - ▶ Coalition resource game
- ▶ Coalition Structure Formation
  - ▶ Maximizing social welfare, instead of individual agent's utility
  - ▶ Number of coalition structures exponential in the number of coalitions

**Next** (on Wednesday): Allocating Scarce Resources

# Acknowledgments

These lecture slides are based on the following resources:

- ▶ Michael Wooldridge: **An Introduction to MultiAgent Systems**, John Wiley & Sons, 2nd edition 2009.