

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Qualitative Representation and Reasoning

Bernhard Nebel, Julien Hué, and Stefan Wöfl

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg

July 25, 2012

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Motivation

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative CSP

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Quantitative vs. qualitative representations

Spatio-temporal configurations can be described **quantitatively** by specifying the coordinates of the relevant objects:

Example: At time point 10.0 object A is at position $(11.0, 1.0, 23.7)$, at time point 11.0 at position $(15.2, 3.5, 23.7)$. From time point 0.0 to 11.0, object B is at position $(15.2, 3.5, 23.7)$. Object C is at time point 11.0 at position $(300.9, 25.6, 200.0)$ and at time point 35.0 at $(11.0, 1.0, 23.7)$.

Often, however, a **qualitative** description (using a finite vocabulary) is more adequate:

Example: Object A hit object B . Afterwards, object C arrived.

Sometimes we want to reason with such descriptions.

Example: Object C was not close to object A , when it hit object B .

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfel

Motivation

Qualitative CSP

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Quantitative vs. qualitative representations

Spatio-temporal configurations can be described **quantitatively** by specifying the coordinates of the relevant objects:

Example: At time point 10.0 object A is at position $(11.0, 1.0, 23.7)$, at time point 11.0 at position $(15.2, 3.5, 23.7)$. From time point 0.0 to 11.0, object B is at position $(15.2, 3.5, 23.7)$. Object C is at time point 11.0 at position $(300.9, 25.6, 200.0)$ and at time point 35.0 at $(11.0, 1.0, 23.7)$.

Often, however, a **qualitative** description (using a finite vocabulary) is more adequate:

Example: Object A hit object B . Afterwards, object C arrived.

Sometimes we want to reason with such descriptions.

Example: Object C was not close to object A , when it hit object B .

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfel

Motivation
Qualitative CSP

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Quantitative vs. qualitative representations

Spatio-temporal configurations can be described **quantitatively** by specifying the coordinates of the relevant objects:

Example: At time point 10.0 object A is at position $(11.0, 1.0, 23.7)$, at time point 11.0 at position $(15.2, 3.5, 23.7)$. From time point 0.0 to 11.0, object B is at position $(15.2, 3.5, 23.7)$. Object C is at time point 11.0 at position $(300.9, 25.6, 200.0)$ and at time point 35.0 at $(11.0, 1.0, 23.7)$.

Often, however, a **qualitative** description (using a finite vocabulary) is more adequate:

Example: Object A hit object B . Afterwards, object C arrived.

Sometimes we want to reason with such descriptions.

Example: Object C was not close to object A , when it hit object B .

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfel

Motivation
Qualitative CSP

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Representation of qualitative knowledge

Intention: describe configurations in an infinite (continuous) domain using a finite vocabulary and reason about these descriptions

- Specification of a **vocabulary**: usually a finite set of relations (often binary) that are **pairwise disjoint** and **jointly exhaustive**
- Specification of a **language**: often sets of atomic formulae (constraint networks), perhaps restricted disjunction
- Specification of a formal **semantics**
- Analysis of computational properties and design of **reasoning methods** (often constraint propagation)
- Perhaps, specification of **operational semantics** for verifying whether a relation holds in a given quantitative configuration

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Nebel, Hué and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative CSP

Qualitative Constraint Languages

Allen's Interval Algebra

Literature

Applications in ...

- Natural language processing
- Specification of abstract spatio-temporal configurations
- Query languages for spatio-temporal information systems
- Layout descriptions of documents (and learning of such layouts)
- Action planning
- ...

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfli

Motivation

Qualitative CSP

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Example: Qualitative temporal relations

Suppose, we want to talk about **time instants** (points) and binary **relations** over them.

- **Vocabulary:** $X = Y$ (X equals Y), $X < Y$ (X before Y), and $X > Y$ (X after Y).
- **Language:**
 - Allow for **disjunctions** of basic relations to express **indefinite information**. Use unions of relations to express that. For instance, $< \cup =$ expresses \leq .
 - 2^3 different relations (including the **impossible** and the **universal** relation)
 - Use **sets of atomic formulae** with these relations to describe **configurations**. For example:

$$\{x = y, y (< \cup >) z\}$$

- **Semantics:** Interpret the time point symbols and relation symbols over the **real** (or rational) numbers.

Some reasoning problems

$$\left\{ x (< \cup =) y, y (< \cup =) z, v (< \cup =) y, w > y, z (< \cup =) x \right\}$$

- **Satisfiability**: Are there values for all time points such that all formulae are satisfied?
- **Satisfiability** with $v = w$?
- Finding a satisfying **instantiation** of all time points
- **Deduction**: Does $x \{=\} y$ follow logically?
Does $v \leq w$ follow?
- Finding a **minimal description**: What are the most constrained relations that describe the same set of instantiations?

From a logical point of view . . .

In general, qualitatively described configurations are simple logical theories:

- Only sets of atomic formulae to describe the configuration
- Only existentially quantified variables (or constants)
- A fixed background theory that describes the semantics of the relations (e.g., dense linear orders)
- We are interested in [satisfiability](#), [model finding](#), and [deduction](#)

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfli

Motivation

Qualitative CSP

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Let \mathcal{B} be a finite set of (binary) relations on some (infinite) domain D (elements of \mathcal{B} are called **base relations**).

We require:

- The relations in \mathcal{B} are **JEPD**, i.e., jointly exhaustive and pairwise disjoint.
- \mathcal{B} is **closed under converses**.

Then:

- Let \mathcal{A} be the set of relations that can be built by taking the unions of relations from \mathcal{B} ($\rightsquigarrow 2^{|\mathcal{B}|}$ different relations).
- \mathcal{A} is closed under converse, complement, intersection and union.
- Often, \mathcal{A} is **closed under composition of base relations**, i.e., for all $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$B \circ B' \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then, \mathcal{A} is closed under composition of arbitrary relations.

Computing operations on relations

Let \mathcal{A} be the system of relations over a set of **base relations** \mathcal{B} that satisfies all the conditions above.

We may write relations as *sets* of base relations:

$$B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n \cong \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$$

Then the operations on the relations can be *computed* as follows:

Composition:

$$\{B_1, \dots, B_n\} \circ \{B'_1, \dots, B'_m\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^m B_i \circ B'_j$$

Converse:

$$\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}^{-1} = \{B_1^{-1}, \dots, B_n^{-1}\}$$

Complement:

$$\overline{\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}} = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \neq B_i, \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

Intersection and **union** are defined in the usual set-theoretical way.

Computing operations on relations

Let \mathcal{A} be the system of relations over a set of **base relations** \mathcal{B} that satisfies all the conditions above.

We may write relations as *sets* of base relations:

$$B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n \cong \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$$

Then the operations on the relations can be *computed* as follows:

Composition:

$$\{B_1, \dots, B_n\} \circ \{B'_1, \dots, B'_m\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^m B_i \circ B'_j$$

Converse:

$$\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}^{-1} = \{B_1^{-1}, \dots, B_n^{-1}\}$$

Complement:

$$\overline{\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}} = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \neq B_i, \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

Intersection and **union** are defined in the usual set-theoretical way.

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation
Qualitative CSP

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Computing operations on relations

Let \mathcal{A} be the system of relations over a set of **base relations** \mathcal{B} that satisfies all the conditions above.

We may write relations as *sets* of base relations:

$$B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n \cong \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$$

Then the operations on the relations can be *computed* as follows:

Composition:

$$\{B_1, \dots, B_n\} \circ \{B'_1, \dots, B'_m\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^m B_i \circ B'_j$$

Converse:

$$\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}^{-1} = \{B_1^{-1}, \dots, B_n^{-1}\}$$

Complement:

$$\overline{\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}} = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \neq B_i, \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

Intersection and union are defined in the usual set-theoretical way.

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation
Qualitative CSP

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Computing operations on relations

Let \mathcal{A} be the system of relations over a set of **base relations** \mathcal{B} that satisfies all the conditions above.

We may write relations as *sets* of base relations:

$$B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n \cong \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$$

Then the operations on the relations can be *computed* as follows:

Composition:

$$\{B_1, \dots, B_n\} \circ \{B'_1, \dots, B'_m\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^m B_i \circ B'_j$$

Converse:

$$\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}^{-1} = \{B_1^{-1}, \dots, B_n^{-1}\}$$

Complement:

$$\overline{\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}} = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \neq B_i, \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

Intersection and **union** are defined in the usual set-theoretical way.

Reasoning problems

Given a qualitative CSP:

CSP-Satisfiability (CSAT):

- Is the CSP satisfiable/solvable?

CSP-Entailment (CENT):

- Given in addition xRy : Is xRy satisfied in each solution of the CSP?

Computation of an equivalent minimal CSPs (CMIN):

- Compute for each pair x, y of variables the strongest constrained (minimal) relation entailed by the CSP.

Reductions between CSP problems

Theorem

CSAT, CENT and CMIN are equivalent under polynomial Turing reductions.

Proof.

$CSAT \leq_T CENT$ and $CENT \leq_T CMIN$ are obvious.

$CENT \leq_T CSAT$: We solve $CENT$ ($CSP \models xRy?$) by testing satisfiability of the CSP extended by $x\{B\}y$ where B ranges over all base relations. Let B_1, \dots, B_k be the relations for which we get a positive answer. Then $x\{B_1, \dots, B_k\}y$ is entailed by the CSP.

$CMIN \leq_T CENT$: We use entailment for computing the minimal constraint for each pair of variables. Starting with the universal relation, we remove one base relation until we have a minimal relation that is still entailed. □

The Path Consistency Method

Given a qualitative CSP with $R_{v_1, v_2} = R_{v_2, v_1}^{-1}$. Then the **path consistency method** is to apply the operation

$$R_{v_1, v_2} \leftarrow R_{v_1, v_2} \cap (R_{v_1, v_3} \circ R_{v_3, v_2}).$$

on all the constraints of the network until a fixpoint is reached.

The path consistency method **guarantees** ...

- sometimes **minimality**
- sometimes **satisfiability**
- however sometimes the CSP is **not satisfiable**, even if the CSP contains only **base relations**

Example: Point relations

Composition table:

	<	=	>
<	<	<	<, =, >
=	<	=	>
>	<, =, >	>	>

Figure: Composition table for the point algebra. For example:
 $\{<\} \circ \{=\} = \{<\}$

- $\{<, =\} \circ \{<\} = \{<\}$
- $\{<, >\} \circ \{<\} = \{<, =, >\}$
- $\{<, =\}^{-1} = \{>, =\}$
- $\{<, =\} \cap \{>, =\} = \{=\}$

Some properties of the point relations

Theorem

A path consistent CSP over the point relations is satisfiable.

In particular, the path consistency method decides satisfiability.

Theorem

A path consistent CSP over all point relations without $\{<, >\}$ is minimal.

Proofs later ...

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation
Qualitative CSP

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Qualitative Constraint Languages

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Nebel, Hué and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative Constraint Languages

Constraint Propagation

Allen's Interval Algebra

Literature

Qualitative constraint languages

From now on, let D be a finite or infinite domain.

Definition

A **partition scheme** on D is any non-empty, finite set Δ of binary relations on D such that:

- Δ defines a partition of $D \times D$.
- Δ contains the binary identity relation id_D .
- Δ is closed under converses.

Definition

A constraint language of binary relations on D , Γ , is said to be **generated** from a partition scheme Δ , if Γ consists of all finite unions of relations in Δ .

Constraint languages in this sense will be referred to as **qualitative constraint languages**.

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Constraint
Propagation

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Qualitative constraint network

Let Γ be a subset of a qualitative constraint language with partition scheme Δ .

Definition

A **qualitative constraint network** over Γ is a triple

$$P = \langle V, D, C \rangle,$$

where:

- V is a non-empty and finite set of **variables**,
- D is an arbitrary non-empty set (**domain**),
- C is a finite set of **constraints** C_1, \dots, C_q , i.e., each constraint C_i is a pair (s_i, R_i) , where s_i is a pair of variables and R_i is a binary relation contained in Γ .

Weak composition

Let Γ be a qualitative constraint language with partition scheme Δ . For $R, S \in \Gamma$, define:

$$R \circ_w S := \bigcup \{T \in \Delta : T \cap (R \circ S) \neq \emptyset\}$$

— \circ_w is called **weak composition** of R and S .

Lemma

For all relations $R, S, T \in \Gamma$,

- $R \circ S \subseteq R \circ_w S$;
- $T \cap (R \circ S) = \emptyset$ if and only if $T \cap (R \circ_w S) = \emptyset$;
- $(R \circ_w S)^{-1} = S^{-1} \circ_w R^{-1}$;
- $R \circ_w (S \cup T) = (R \circ_w S) \cup (R \circ_w T)$.

Weak composition: Examples

Example:

Consider a linear order on a domain with 2 elements $a < b$. The relations $R_{<}, R_{=}, R_{>}$ define a partition schema on D . It holds:

$$R_{<} \circ R_{<} = R_{>} \circ R_{>} = \emptyset, \quad R_{<} \circ R_{>} = \{(a, a)\}, \quad R_{>} \circ R_{<} = \{(b, b)\}$$

but

$$R_{<} \circ_w R_{<} = R_{>} \circ_w R_{>} = \emptyset, \quad R_{<} \circ_w R_{>} = R_{=}, \quad R_{>} \circ_w R_{<} = R_{=}$$

Moreover,

$$(R_{<} \circ_w R_{>}) \circ_w R_{>} = R_{=} \circ_w R_{>} = R_{>} \neq \emptyset = R_{<} \circ_w \emptyset = R_{<} \circ_w (R_{>} \circ_w R_{>})$$

Example:

Consider a linear order on a domain with 3 elements $a < b < c$. Then

$$R_{<} \circ R_{<} = \{(a, c)\} \quad \text{but} \quad R_{<} \circ_w R_{<} = R_{<}$$

Qualitative languages and algebras

Let Γ be a qualitative constraint language with partition scheme Δ . As spelled out before, each relation R in Γ can be represented by a finite disjunction of “base relations” $B_1, \dots, B_k \in \Delta$. In what follows we identify R with the set of its base relations

$$\{B_1, \dots, B_k\}.$$

Lemma

For each partition scheme Δ , the tuple

$$\langle 2^\Delta, \cap, \cup, \circ_w, \mathbf{C}_\Delta, ^{-1}, \emptyset, \Delta, \text{id}_\Delta \rangle$$

defines a non-associative relation algebra.

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Constraint
Propagation

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Algebraically closed networks

A qualitative network $P = \langle V, D, C \rangle$ is **normalized**, if

- for each pair of variables x, y , C contains at least one constraint $((x, y), R)$;
- for each constraint $((x, x), R)$ in C , $R = \text{id}_D$;
- for constraints $((x, y), R)$ and $((y, x), S)$ in C , $R = S^{-1}$.

In what follows we will always assume that constraint networks are normalized.

Definition

A qualitative constraint network P is **algebraically closed** (or: **a-closed**), if for all constraints $((x, y), R)$, $((x, z), S)$, and $((z, y), T)$ of P , it holds:

$$R \subseteq S \circ_w T.$$

Note: If P is algebraically closed, then $R = R \cap (S \circ_w T)$.

Constraint propagation

The **path consistency algorithm** can only be used if the underlying partition scheme is closed under composition, i.e., if for each pair of relations $R, S \in \Delta$, $R \circ S$ is a (finite) union of a subset of Δ .

The **algebraic closure algorithm** is a variant of the path consistency algorithm. Instead of ordinary composition of relations, we use weak composition.

Since weak composition is an upper approximation of composition only, the algebraic closure algorithm may not result in a path-consistent network.

Let $P = \langle V, D, C \rangle$ be a (normalized) qualitative constraint network.

Let $Table[i, j]$ be a $n \times n$ -matrix (n : number of variables), in which we record the constraints between the variables.

Algebraic closure algorithm

EnforceAlgClosure (P):

Input: a qualitative network $P = \langle V, D, C \rangle$

Output: “inconsistent”, or an equivalent algebraically closed network P'

$$\text{Paths}(i, j) = \{(i, j, k) : 1 \leq k \leq n, k \neq i, j\} \cup \\ \{(k, i, j) : 1 \leq k \leq n, k \neq i, j\}$$

$$\text{Queue} := \bigcup_{i,j} \text{Paths}(i, j)$$

while $Q \neq \emptyset$

 select and delete (i, k, j) from Q

$$T := \text{Table}[i, j] \cap (\text{Table}[i, k] \circ_w \text{Table}[k, j])$$

if $T = \emptyset$

return “inconsistent”

elseif $T \neq \text{Table}[i, j]$

$$\text{Table}[i, j] := T$$

$$\text{Table}[j, i] := T^{-1}$$

$$\text{Queue} := \text{Queue} \cup \text{Paths}(i, j)$$

return P' with the refined constraints as recorded in Table

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfel

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Constraint
Propagation

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Computing on the symbolic level

Let Γ be a qualitative constraint language with partition scheme Δ .

We suppose that we have determined (by some formal proof or some computation) the (weak) composition table for Δ , i.e.,

$$\circ_{(w)} : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow 2^\Delta.$$

Let now B be a finite set of symbols (bijective with Δ). Then 2^B is a Boolean algebra, from which we obtain a (non-associative) relation algebra, if we extend $\circ_{(w)}$ to a function

$$\circ_{(w)} : 2^B \times 2^B \rightarrow 2^B.$$

Now we can perform all the operations needed in the path consistency/a-closure algorithm on the symbolic level.

Allen's Interval Algebra

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

**Allen's
Interval
Algebra**

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them

IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

Allen's Interval Calculus

- Allen's interval calculus (IA): **time intervals** and **binary relations** over them
- Let $\langle \mathbb{R}, < \rangle$ be the linear order on the real numbers (conceived of as the **flow of time**).
Then, the **domain** D of Allen's calculus is the set of all *intervals*

$$X = (X^-, X^+) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \text{ where } X^- < X^+$$

(naïve approach)

- **Relations** between concrete intervals, e. g.:

(1.0,2.0) strictly before (3.0,5.5)

(1.0,3.0) meets (3.0,5.5)

(1.0,4.0) overlaps (3.0,5.5)

...

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them
IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature


IA: The base relations

To determine all possible relation between Allen intervals, we determine how one can order the four points of two intervals:

Relation	Symbol	Name
$\{(X, Y) : X^- < X^+ < Y^- < Y^+\}$	\prec	before
$\{(X, Y) : X^- < X^+ = Y^- < Y^+\}$	m	meets
$\{(X, Y) : X^- < Y^- < X^+ < Y^+\}$	o	overlaps
$\{(X, Y) : X^- = Y^- < X^+ < Y^+\}$	s	starts
$\{(X, Y) : Y^- < X^- < X^+ = Y^+\}$	f	finishes
$\{(X, Y) : Y^- < X^- < X^+ < Y^+\}$	d	during
$\{(X, Y) : Y^- = X^- < X^+ = Y^+\}$	\equiv	equal

and the *converse* relations (obtained by exchanging X and Y)

IA: The 13 base relations graphically



before
meets
overlaps
during
starts
finishes
equals
before⁻¹
meets⁻¹
overlaps⁻¹
during⁻¹
starts⁻¹
finishes⁻¹

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfli

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them

IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

IA: Partition scheme and composition

Lemma

*The 13 base relations of Allen's interval calculus define a **partition scheme** on the set of all Allen intervals.*

In what follows:

- **IA**: the qualitative constraint language generated from all base relations of Allen's interval calculus (contains $2^{13} = 8192$ relations)
- **IA-B**: the subclass of IA containing base relations only

Lemma

The set of base relations of Allen's interval calculus is closed under composition.

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfli

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them

IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass


The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook


Literature

IA: An example




Compose the constraints: $I4 \{d, f\} I2$ and $I2 \{d\} I1$: $I4 \{d\} I1$.

IA: An example





Compose the constraints: $I4 \{d, f\} I2$ and $I2 \{d\} I1$: $I4 \{d\} I1$.

IA: An example



Compose the constraints: $I4 \{d, f\} I2$ and $I2 \{d\} I1$: $I4 \{d\} I1$.

IA: Example for incompleteness



Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Nebel, Hué and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative Constraint Languages

Allen's Interval Algebra

Intervals and Relations Between Them

IA: Examples

IA: Example for Incompleteness

The Continuous Endpoint Class

The Continuous Endpoint Class

The Endpoint Subclass

The ORD-Horn Subclass

Solving Arbitrary Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

IA: NP-hardness

Theorem (Kautz & Vilain)

Deciding satisfiability over IA is NP-hard.

Proof.

Reduction from **3-colorability** (the original proof uses 3Sat).

Let $G = (V, E)$, $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be an instance of 3-colorability.

Then we use the intervals $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, 1, 2, 3\}$ with the following constraints:

$$\begin{array}{llll} 1 & \{m\} & 2 & \\ 2 & \{m\} & 3 & \\ v_i & \{m, \equiv, m^{-1}\} & 2 & \forall v_i \in V \\ v_i & \{m, m^{-1}, \prec, \succ\} & v_j & \forall (v_i, v_j) \in E \end{array}$$

This constraint system is satisfiable *iff* G can be colored with 3 colors. □

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfli

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them

IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

IA: Clause representation

Following, we will look at polynomial special cases, i.e., subclasses of the qualitative constraint language IA.

For this we start from a natural translation of interval relations/constraints (of the form $X R Y$) into **clause formulas** over **atoms** of the form $a op b$, where:

- $a, b \in \{X^-, X^+, Y^-, Y^+\}$; and
- $op \in \{<, >, =, \leq, \geq\}$.

Example: All base relations can be expressed as unit clauses.

Lemma

Let P be a constraint network over IA, and let $\pi(P)$ be the translation of P into clause form.

P is satisfiable iff $\pi(P)$ is satisfiable over the real numbers.

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöflf

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them

IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook


Literature

IA: The Continuous Endpoint Class

Continuous Endpoint Class IA-C: the subset of IA consisting of those relations with a clause form containing only unit clauses, where $\neg(a = b)$ is **forbidden**.

Example: All basic relations and, e.g., $\{d, o, s\}$, because

$$\pi(X \{d, o, s\} Y) = \{X^- < X^+, Y^- < Y^+, \\ X^- < Y^+, X^+ > Y^-, \\ X^+ < Y^+\}$$



The set IA-C contains 83 relations. It is **closed** under intersection, composition, and converses (it is a **sub-algebra** wrt. these three operations on relations). This can be shown by using a computer program.

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöflfl

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them

IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

IA: Consistency for IA-C

One can prove:

Lemma

Each 3-consistent interval CSP over IA-C is globally consistent.

From this we can conclude:

Theorem (van Beek)

Applied to networks over IA-C, enforcing path consistency decides satisfiability and solves the minimal label problem.

Corollary

A path-consistent interval constraint network containing base relations only is satisfiable.

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöflf

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them

IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook


Literature

IA: The Endpoint Subclass

Endpoint Subclass: IA- \mathcal{P} is the subclass that permits a clause form containing only **unit** clauses ($a \neq b$ is now allowed).

Example: all basic relations and $\{d, o\}$ since

$$\pi(X \{d, o\} Y) = \{X^- < X^+, Y^- < Y^+, \\ X^- < Y^+, X^+ > Y^-, X^- \neq Y^-, \\ X^+ < Y^+\}$$



Theorem (Vilain & Kautz 86, Ladkin & Maddux 88)

The path consistency method decides satisfiability over IA- \mathcal{P} .

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöflfl

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them
IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

IA: The ORD-Horn Subclass

ORD-Horn Subclass: IA- \mathcal{H} is the subclass of IA that permits a clause form containing only **Horn clauses**, where only the following **literals** are allowed:

$$a \leq b, a = b, a \neq b$$

$\neg a \leq b$ is not allowed!

Example: all $R \in \text{IA-}\mathcal{P}$ and $\{o, s, f^{-1}\}$:

$$\pi(X\{o, s, f^{-1}\}Y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X^- \leq X^+, X^- \neq X^+, \\ Y^- \leq Y^+, Y^- \neq Y^+, \\ X^- \leq Y^-, \\ X^- \leq Y^+, X^- \neq Y^+, \\ Y^- \leq X^+, X^+ \neq Y^-, \\ X^+ \leq Y^+, \\ X^- \neq Y^- \vee X^+ \neq Y^+ \end{array} \right\}.$$

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them
IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

Partial orders: The *ORD* Theory

Let *ORD* be the following theory:

$$\forall x, y, z: \quad x \leq y \wedge y \leq z \quad \rightarrow \quad x \leq z \quad (\textit{transitivity})$$

$$\forall x: \quad x \leq x \quad (\textit{reflexivity})$$

$$\forall x, y: \quad x \leq y \wedge y \leq x \quad \rightarrow \quad x = y \quad (\textit{anti-symmetry})$$

$$\forall x, y: \quad x = y \quad \rightarrow \quad x \leq y \quad (\textit{weakening of } =)$$

$$\forall x, y: \quad x = y \quad \rightarrow \quad y \leq x \quad (\textit{weakening of } =).$$

- *ORD* describes partially ordered sets, \leq being the ordering relation.
- *ORD* is a **Horn theory**
- What is missing wrt. *dense* and *linear* orders?

Satisfiability over partial orders

Lemma

Let Θ be a CSP over IA- \mathcal{H} . Θ is satisfiable over interval interpretations iff $\pi(\Theta) \cup ORD$ is satisfiable over arbitrary interpretations.

Proof.

\Rightarrow : Since the reals form a partially ordered set (i. e., satisfy *ORD*), this direction is trivial.

\Leftarrow : Each extension of a partial order to a linear order satisfies all formulae of the form $a \leq b$, $a = b$, and $a \neq b$ which have been satisfied over the original partial order. □

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfel

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them
IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

Satisfiability over partial orders

Lemma

Let Θ be a CSP over IA- \mathcal{H} . Θ is satisfiable over interval interpretations iff $\pi(\Theta) \cup ORD$ is satisfiable over arbitrary interpretations.

Proof.

\Rightarrow : Since the reals form a partially ordered set (i. e., satisfy ORD), this direction is trivial.

\Leftarrow : Each extension of a partial order to a linear order satisfies all formulae of the form $a \leq b$, $a = b$, and $a \neq b$ which have been satisfied over the original partial order. □

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöflf

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them
IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

Complexity of CSAT($IA-\mathcal{H}$)

Let $ORD_{\pi(\Theta)}$ be the propositional theory resulting from instantiating all axioms with the endpoints occurring in $\pi(\Theta)$.

Lemma

$ORD \cup \pi(\Theta)$ is satisfiable iff $ORD_{\pi(\Theta)} \cup \pi(\Theta)$ is so.

Theorem

CSAT($IA-\mathcal{H}$) can be decided in polynomial time.

Proof.

CSAT($IA-\mathcal{H}$) instances can be translated into a propositional Horn theory with blowup $O(n^3)$ according to the previous Prop., and such a theory is decidable in polynomial time. \square

$IA-\mathcal{C} \subset IA-\mathcal{P} \subset IA-\mathcal{H}$ with $|IA-\mathcal{C}| = 83$, $|IA-\mathcal{P}| = 188$, $|IA-\mathcal{H}| = 868$

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Nebel, Hué and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative Constraint Languages

Allen's Interval Algebra

Intervals and Relations Between Them
IA: Examples

IA: Example for Incompleteness

The Continuous Endpoint Class

The Continuous Endpoint Class

The Endpoint Subclass

The ORD-Horn Subclass

Solving Arbitrary Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

Complexity of CSAT($IA-\mathcal{H}$)

Let $ORD_{\pi(\Theta)}$ be the propositional theory resulting from instantiating all axioms with the endpoints occurring in $\pi(\Theta)$.

Lemma

$ORD \cup \pi(\Theta)$ is satisfiable iff $ORD_{\pi(\Theta)} \cup \pi(\Theta)$ is so.

Theorem

CSAT($IA-\mathcal{H}$) can be decided in polynomial time.

Proof.

CSAT($IA-\mathcal{H}$) instances can be translated into a propositional Horn theory with blowup $O(n^3)$ according to the previous Prop., and such a theory is decidable in polynomial time. \square

$IA-\mathcal{C} \subset IA-\mathcal{P} \subset IA-\mathcal{H}$ with $|IA-\mathcal{C}| = 83$, $|IA-\mathcal{P}| = 188$, $|IA-\mathcal{H}| = 868$

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Nebel, Hué and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative Constraint Languages

Allen's Interval Algebra

Intervals and Relations Between Them
IA: Examples

IA: Example for Incompleteness

The Continuous Endpoint Class

The Continuous Endpoint Class

The Endpoint Subclass

The ORD-Horn Subclass

Solving Arbitrary Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

Path consistency and the OH-class

Lemma

Let Θ be a path-consistent set over $IA\text{-}\mathcal{H}$. Then

$$(X\{Y) \notin \Theta \text{ iff } \Theta \text{ is satisfiable}$$

Proof idea: One can show that $ORD_{\pi(\Theta)} \cup \pi(\Theta)$ is closed wrt. **positive unit resolution**. Since this inference rule is refutation complete for Horn theories, the claim follows.

Theorem

Enforcing path consistency decides $CSAT(IA\text{-}\mathcal{H})$.

- ↪ Maximality of $IA\text{-}\mathcal{H}$?
- ↪ Do we have to check all 8192 – 868 extensions?

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them
IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

IA: The ORD-Horn subclass: Maximality

A computer-aided case analysis leads to the following result:

Lemma

There are only two minimal sub-algebras containing all base relations that strictly contain IA- \mathcal{H} : $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2$

$$N_1 = \{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}^{-1}, \mathbf{o}^{-1}, \mathbf{s}^{-1}, \mathbf{f}\} \in \mathcal{X}_1$$

$$N_2 = \{\mathbf{d}^{-1}, \mathbf{o}, \mathbf{o}^{-1}, \mathbf{s}^{-1}, \mathbf{f}^{-1}\} \in \mathcal{X}_2$$

The clause forms of these relations contain “proper” disjunctions!

Theorem

The satisfiability problem over IA- $\mathcal{H} \cup \{N_i\}$ is NP-complete.

Lemma

*IA- \mathcal{H} is the **only** maximal tractable subclass that contains all base relations of IA.*

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfli

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them
IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

IA: Solving general Allen CSPs

- Backtracking algorithm using **path consistency** as a **forward-checking method**
 - Method works on tractable fragments of Allen's calculus: split relations into relations of a tractable fragment, and backtrack over these.
 - Refinements and evaluation of different heuristics
- ⇒ Which tractable fragment should one use?

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them
IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

IA: Branching factors

- If the labels are split into **base relations**, then on average a label is split into

6.5 relations

- If the labels are split into **pointizable relations** (\mathcal{P}), then on average a label is split into

2.955 relations

- If the labels are split into **ORD-Horn relations** (\mathcal{H}), then on average a label is split into

2.533 relations

⇒ A difference of **0.422** which becomes significant, when applied to extremely hard instances

Summary

- Allen's interval calculus is often adequate for describing relative orders of events that have duration.
- The satisfiability problem for CSPs using the relations is NP-complete.
- For the **continuous endpoint class**, minimal CSPs can be computed using the path consistency method.
- For the larger **ORD-Horn class**, CSAT is still decided by the path consistency method.
- Can be used in practice for backtracking algorithms.

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfli

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Intervals and
Relations
Between Them
IA: Examples

IA: Example for
Incompleteness

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Continuous
Endpoint Class

The Endpoint
Subclass

The ORD-Horn
Subclass

Solving Arbitrary
Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

Outlook

- **Qualitative representation and reasoning** usually starts with a finite vocabulary (a finite set of relations).
- Qualitative descriptions are usually simply logical theories consisting of sets of atomic formulae (and some background theory).
- **Reasoning problems** are (as usual) satisfiability, model finding, and deduction.
- Can be addressed with **CSP methods** (but note: **infinite domains**).
- **Path consistency** is the basic reasoning step ... sometimes this is enough.
- Usually, path-consistent atomic CSPs are satisfiable. However, there exist some pathological relation systems.

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Nebel, Hué and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative Constraint Languages

Allen's Interval Algebra

Intervals and Relations Between Them

IA: Examples

IA: Example for Incompleteness

The Continuous Endpoint Class

The Continuous Endpoint Class

The Endpoint Subclass

The ORD-Horn Subclass

Solving Arbitrary Allen CSPs

Outlook

Literature

Literature

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation


Qualitative
Constraint
Languages


Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Literature I

 J. F. Allen.
Maintaining knowledge about temporal intervals.
Communications of the ACM, 26(11):832–843, November 1983.
Also in *Readings in Knowledge Representation*.

 P. van Beek and R. Cohen.
Exact and approximate reasoning about temporal relations.
Computational Intelligence, 6:132–144, 1990.

 Peter B. Ladkin and Roger Maddux.
On binary constraint networks.
Journal of the ACM, 41:435–469, 1994.

 Alan K. Mackworth.
Constraint satisfaction.
In S. C. Shapiro, editor, *Encyclopedia of Artificial Intelligence*, pages 205–211. Wiley, Chichester, England, 1987.

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wölfel

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Literature II



Alan K. Mackworth.

Consistency in networks of relations.
Artificial Intelligence, 8:99–118, 1977.



Ugo Montanari.

Networks of constraints: fundamental properties and applications to picture processing.
Information Science, 7:95–132, 1974.



B. Nebel and H.-J. Bürckert.

Reasoning about temporal relations: A maximal tractable subclass of Allen's interval algebra,
Journal of the ACM, 42(1): 43-66, 1995.



B. Nebel.

Solving hard qualitative temporal reasoning problems: Evaluating the efficiency of using the ORD-horn class.
CONSTRAINTS, 1(3): 175

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl


Motivation


Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature

Literature III

 R. Hirsch.
Tractable approximations for temporal constraint handling.
Artificial Intelligence, 116: 287-295, 2000.
(Contains the pathological set of relations.)

 A. Krokhin, P. Jeavons and P. Jonsson.
A complete classification of complexity in Allen's algebra in the presence of a non-trivial basic relation.
Proc. 17th Int. Joint Conf. on AI (IJCAI-01), 83-88, Seattle, WA, 2001.

 J. Renz & B. Nebel,
Efficient Methods for Qualitative Spatial Reasoning,
Proceedings of the 13th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI'98), August 1998, 562-566.

Constraint
Satisfaction
Problems

Nebel, Hué
and Wöfl

Motivation

Qualitative
Constraint
Languages

Allen's
Interval
Algebra

Literature