

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Search and Lookahead

- Enforcing consistency is one way of solving constraint networks: Globally consistent networks can easily be solved in polynomial time.
- However, enforcing global consistency is costly in time and space: As much space as Ω(kⁿ) may be required to represent an equivalent globally consistent network in the case of n variables with domain size k.
- Thus, it is usually advisable to only enforce local consistency (e.g., arc consistency or path consistency), and compute a solution through search through the remaining possibilities.

Constraint Satisfaction Problems June 4/6, 2012 — Search and Lookahead

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Constraint Satisfaction Problems

June 4/6, 2012 2 / 52

State Spaces: Informally

The fundamental abstractions for search are state spaces. They are defined in terms of:

- states, representing a partial solution to a problem (which may or may not be extensible to a full solution)
- ▶ an initial state from which to search for a solution
- goal states representing solutions
- operators that define how a new state can be obtained from a given state

June 4/6, 2012 1 / 52

State Spaces: Formally

Definition (state space)

A state space is a 4-tuple $S = \langle S, s_0, S_{\star}, O \rangle$, where

- ► *S* is a finite set of states,
- $s_0 \in S$ is the initial state,
- $S_{\star} \subseteq S$ is the set of goal states, and
- O is a finite set of operators, where each operator o ∈ O is a partial function on S, i. e. o: S' → S for some S' ⊆ S.

We say that an operator o is applicable in state s iff o(s) is defined.

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Constraint Satisfaction Problems

June 4/6, 2012 5 / 52

Search Graphs and Search Algorithms

- State spaces can be depicted as state graphs: labeled directed graphs where states are vertices and there is a directed arc from s to s' with label o iff o(s) = s' for some operator o.
- There are many classical algorithms for finding solutions in state graphs, e.g. depth-first search, breadth-first search, iterative deepening search, or heuristic algorithms like A*.
- These algorithms offer different trade-offs in terms of runtime and memory usage.

Search

Search is the problem of finding a sequence of operators that transforms the initial into a goal state.

Definition (solution of a state space)

Let $\mathcal{S} = \langle S, s_0, S_\star, O \rangle$ be a state space, and let $o_1, \ldots, o_n \in O$ be an operator sequence.

Inductively define result states $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n \in S \cup \{invalid\}$:

- ► $r_0 := s_0$
- ▶ For $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, if o_i is applicable in r_{i-1} , then $r_i := o_i(r_{i-1})$. Otherwise, $r_i :=$ invalid.

The operator sequence is a solution iff $r_n \in S_*$.

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Constraint Satisfaction Problems

June 4/6, 2012 6 / 52

State Spaces for Constraint Networks

The state spaces for constraint networks usually have two special properties:

- The search graphs are trees (i. e., there is exactly one path from the initial state to any reachable search state).
- All solutions are at the same level of the tree.

Due to these properties, variations of depth-first search are usually the method of choice for solving constraint networks.

We will now define state spaces for constraint networks.

Backtracking

Backtracking traverses the search space of partial instantiations in a depth-first manner in two phases:

- forward phase: variables are selected in sequence; the current partial solution is extended by assigning a consistent value to the next variable (if possible)
- backward phase: if no consistent instantiation for the current variable exists, we return to the previous variable.

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

Constraint Satisfaction Problems June 4/6, 2012 9 / 52



Backtracking Algorithm (Recursive Version)

Backtracking(C, a):







The Importance of Good Orderings

- All ordered search spaces for the same constraint network contain the same set of solution states.
- However, the total number of states can vary dramatically between different orderings.
- The size of a state space is a (rough) measure for the hardness of finding a solution, so we are interested in small search spaces.
- One way of measuring the quality of a state space is by counting the number of dead ends: the fewer, the better.

Ordered Search Spaces

Let $C = \langle V, \text{dom}, C \rangle$ be a constraint network.

Definition (variable ordering)

A variable ordering of C is a permutation of the variable set V. We write variable orderings in sequence notation: v_1, \ldots, v_n .

Definition (ordered search space)

Let $\sigma = v_1, \ldots, v_n$ be a variable ordering of C.

The ordered search space of C along ordering σ is the state space obtained from the unordered search space of C by restricting each operator $o_{v_i=a_i}$ to states s with |s| = i - 1.

In other words, in the initial state, only v₁ can be assigned, then only v₂, then only v₃, ...

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Constraint Satisfaction Problems

June 4/6, 2012 14 / 52



15 / 52

Unordered Search Space

Definition (unordered search space)

Let $C = \langle V, \text{dom}, C \rangle$ be a constraint network. The unordered search space of C is the following state space:

- **•** states: partial solutions of C (i. e., consistent assignments)
- initial state: the empty assignment \emptyset
- **\triangleright** goal states: solutions of C
- operators: for each $v \in V$ and $a \in dom(v)$, one operator $o_{v=a}$ as follows:
 - o_{v=a} is applicable in those states s
 where v is not defined and s ∪ {(v → a)} is consistent
 - $\bullet o_{v=a}(s) = s \cup \{(v \mapsto a)\}$

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

June 4/6, 2012 17 / 52

Unordered Search Space: Discussion

In practice, one will only search for solutions in subspaces of the complete unordered search space:

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

- Consider a state s where v ∈ V has not been assigned a value. If no solution can be reached from any successor state for the operators o_{v=a} (a ∈ dom(v)), then no solution can be reached from s.
- ► There is no point in trying operators o_{v'=a'} for other variables v' ≠ v in this case!
- Thus, it is sufficient to consider operators for one particular unassigned variable in each search state.
- How to decide which variable to use is an important issue. Here, we first consider static variable orderings.

Unordered Search Space: Intuition

The unordered search space formalizes the systematic construction of solutions, by consistently extending partial solutions until a solution is found.

 Later on, we will consider alternative (non-systematic) search techniques.

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

June 4/6, 2012 18 / 52

Dead Ends

Definition (dead end)

A dead end of a state space is a state which is not a goal state and in which no operator is applicable.

- In an ordered search space, a dead end is a partial solution that cannot be consistently extended to the next variable in the ordering.
- In the unordered search space, a dead end is a partial solution that cannot be consistently extended to any of the remaining variables.

In both cases, this partial solution cannot be part of a solution.

Backtrack-Free Search Spaces

Definition (backtrack-free)

A state space is called backtrack-free if it contains no dead ends. A constraint network $\mathcal C$ is called backtrack-free along variable ordering σ if the ordered search space of $\mathcal C$ along σ is backtrack-free.

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Definition (backtrack-free (from Dechter))

A state space is called **backtrack-free** if every leaf node in the corresponding search graph is a solution.

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

June 4/6, 2012

21 / 52

Global Consistency and Dead Ends

Lemma

Let C be a constraint network.

The following three statements are equivalent:

- ► The unordered search space of C is backtrack-free.
- The ordered search space of C is backtrack-free along each ordering σ .

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

► C is globally consistent.

Backtrack-Free Networks: Discussion

Definition (backtrack-free ordering)

In the general case, finding a backtrack-free variable ordering σ is in NP.

- ► Backtrack-free networks are the ideal case for search algorithms.
- Constraint networks are rarely backtrack-free along any ordering in the way they are specified naturally.
- However, constraint networks can be reformulated (replaced with an equivalent constraint network) to reduce the number of dead ends.

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Constraint Satisfaction Problems

June 4/6, 2012 22 / 52

Reducing Dead Ends Further

- Replacing constraint networks by tighter, equivalent networks is a powerful way of reducing dead ends.
- However, one can go much further by also tightening constraints during search, for example by enforcing local consistency for a given partial instantiation.
- ▶ We will consider such search algorithms soon.
- In general, there is a trade-off between reducing the number of dead ends and the overhead for consistency reasoning.

Improvements of Backtracking

 Backtracking suffers from thrashing: partial solutions that cannot be extended to a full solution may be reprocessed several times (always leading to a dead end in the search space)

Example



With $\mathcal{D} = a, b$. The instantiation $x_1 \mapsto a$ and $x_3 \mapsto b$ will lead to repeated inconsistency on x_4 while the real cause of inconsistency is x_2 .

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

June 4/6, 2012 25 / 52

Backtrackin	g with Lo	ook-Ahead		
<u>Lc</u>	$ookAhead(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$	a):		
In _i Oi Se	put: a cons a parti (possil utput: a solut electValue(v _i , a consist return	straint network $C = \langle V, D, C \rangle$ and ial solution a of C ble: the empty instantiation $a = \{ (i, j) \}$ ion of C or "inconsistent" (a, C) : procedure that selects and detent value $x \in D_i$; side-effect: C is s 0, if all $a \cup \{v_i \mapsto x\}$ are inconsist	}) eletes a refined; tent	
ıt els	a is defined to return a se select a var $\mathcal{C}' \leftarrow \mathcal{C}, D'_i$ while D'_i is $x, \mathcal{C}' \leftarrow$ if $x \neq 0$	riable v_i for which a is not defined $\leftarrow D_i // \text{ (work on a copy)}$ non-empty SelectValue (v_i, a, C')		
	a′ ↔ if a'	- LookAhead($\mathcal{C}', a \cup \{v_i \mapsto x\}$) ' is not "inconsistent":		
	return "inco	return a' onsistent"		
Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Unive	ersität Freiburg)	Constraint Satisfaction Problems	June 4/6, 2012	27 / 52

Look-Ahead and Look-Back

- Look-ahead: invoked when next variable or next value is selected. For example:
 - Which variable should be instantiated next?

 or prefer variables that impose tighter constraints on the rest of the search space
 - Which value should be chosen for the next variable?

 maximize the number of options for future assignments
- Look-back: invoked when the backtracking step is performed after reaching a dead end. For example:
 - How deep should we backtrack?
 ~> avoid irrelevant backtrack points (by analyzing reasons for the dead end and jumping back to the source of failure)
 - How can we learn from dead ends?

 ~> record reasons for dead ends as new constraints so that the same inconsistencies can be avoided at later stages of the search
- Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

June 4/6, 2012 26 / 52



Constraint Satisfaction Problems

SelectValue-ForwardChecking SelectValue-ForwardChecking (v_i, a, C) :

select and delete x from D_i for each v_j sharing a constraint with v_i for which a is not defined $D'_j \leftarrow D_j \qquad //$ (work on a copy) for each value $y \in D'_j$ if not consistent $(a \cup \{v_i \mapsto x, v_j \mapsto y\})$ remove y from D'_j if D'_j is empty // ($v_i \mapsto x$ leads to a dead end) return 0 else $D_j \leftarrow D'_j \qquad //$ (propagate refined D_j) return x

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

${\it SelectValue-PartialLookAhead}$

SelectValue-PartialLookAhead(v_i , a, C):

select and delete x from D_i **for** each v_i $(i \neq i)$ for which *a* is not defined $D'_i \leftarrow D_i$ // (work on a copy) for each v_k $(k, j < k \le n)$ for which a is not defined for each value $y \in D'_i$ **if** there is no value $z \in D_k$ such that consistent $(a \cup \{v_i \mapsto x, v_i \mapsto y, v_k \mapsto z\})$ remove y from D'_i if D'_j is empty // ($v_i \mapsto x$ leads to a dead end) return 0 else $D_i \leftarrow D'_i$ // (propagate refined D_j) return x June 4/6, 2012 Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Constraint Satisfaction Problems 30 / 52

SelectValue-RealFullLookAhead

SelectValue-RealFullLookAhead(v_i, a, C):

select and delete x from D_i
repeat
for each v_i $(j \neq i)$ for which <i>a</i> is not defined
$D'_i \leftarrow D'_i$ // (work on a copy)
for each v_k $(k \neq i, j)$ for which a is not defined
for each value $y \in D'_i$
if there is no value $z \in D_k$ such that
$consistent(a \cup \{v_i \mapsto x, v_i \mapsto y, v_k \mapsto z\})$
remove y from D'_i
if D'_i is empty $//(v_i \mapsto x \text{ leads to a dead end})$
return 0
else $D_i \leftarrow D'_i$ // (propagate refined D_i)
until no value was removed
return x

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

June 4/6, 2012

29 / 52



Further SelectValue Functions

Dynamic look-ahead value orderings: estimate likelihood that a non-rejected value leads to a solution. For example:

- MinConflicts (MC): prefer a value that removes the smallest number of values from the domains of future variables
- MaxDomainSize (MD): prefer a value that ensures the largest minimum domain sizes of future variables (i.e., calculate n_x := min_{v_j} |D'_j| after assigning v_i → x, and n_y for v_i → y, respectively; if n_x > n_y, then prefer v_i → x)

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

June 4/6, 2012 37 / 52



Choosing a Variable Order

- Backtracking and LookAhead leave the choice of variable ordering open.
- Ordering greatly affects performance.
 ~> exercises
- We distinguish
 - Dynamic ordering:
 - In each state, decide independently which variable to assign to next.
 - Can be seen as search in a subspace of the unordered search space.
 - ► Static ordering:
 - A variable ordering σ is fixed in advance.
 - \blacktriangleright Search is conducted in the ordered search space along $\sigma.$

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Constraint Satisfaction Problems

June 4/6, 2012 38 / 52

Static Variable Orderings

Static variable orderings...

- lead to no overhead during search
- but are less flexible than dynamic orderings

In practice, they are often very good if chosen properly.

Popular choices:

- max-cardinality ordering
- min-width ordering
- ► cycle cutset ordering

oel, Hué and	l Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)	Constraint Satisfaction Problems	June 4/6, 2012	41 / 52
↔ for founda	the other two order tions	ing strategies, we first neec	l to lay some	
1. St 2. R sc ar	tart with an arbitrar epeatedly add a var cope is a subset of t bitrarily.	y variable. iable such that the number he set of added variables is	of constraints wh maximal. Break t	ose cies
max-c	ardinality ordering			
Statio	: Variable Ordei	rıngs: Max-Cardınalıt	y Ordering	

Width of a Graph

Nebel, Hu

Definition (width)

The width of a vertex v of an ordered graph is the number of parents of v. The width of an ordered graph is the maximal width of its vertices.

The width of a graph G is the minimal width of all ordered graphs for G.

Ordered Graphs

Definition (ordered graph)

Let $G = \langle V, E \rangle$ be a graph.

An ordered graph for G is a tuple $\langle V, E, \sigma \rangle$, where σ is an ordering (permutation) of the vertices in V.

As usual, we use sequence notation for the ordering: $\sigma = v_1, \ldots, v_n$. We write $\mathbf{v} \prec \mathbf{v}'$ iff \mathbf{v} precedes \mathbf{v}' in σ .

The parents of $v \in V$ in the ordered graph are the neighbors that precede it: $\{u \in V \mid u \prec v, \{u, v\} \in E\}$.

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Constraint Satisfaction Problems June 4/6, 2012 42 / 52

Graphs of Width 1

Theorem

A graph with at least one edge has width 1 iff it is a forest (i.e., iff it contains no cycles).

Proof.

A graph with at least one edge has at least width 1.

 (\Rightarrow) : If a graph has a cycle consisting of vertices C, then in any ordering σ , one of the vertices in C will appear last. This vertex will have width at least 2. Thus, the width of the ordering cannot be 1.

(\Leftarrow): Consider a graph $\langle V, E \rangle$ with no cycles. In every connected component, pick an arbitrary vertex; these are called root nodes. Construct ordered graph $\langle V, E, \sigma \rangle$ by putting root nodes first in σ , then nodes with distance 1 from a root node, then distance 2, 3, etc. This ordered graph has width 1.

Significance of Width

of the primal constraint graph.



Let C be an arc-consistent constraint network whose primal constraint graph has width 1, and where all variable domains are non-empty. Then Cis backtrack-free along any ordering with width 1.

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Constraint Satisfaction Problems June 4/6, 2012

45 / 52

Constraint Graphs with Width 1 (ctd.)

usually a good static variable ordering.

Proof of the lemma.

Let C be such a constraint network, and let $\sigma = v_1, \ldots, v_n$ be a width-1 ordering for C. We must show that all partial solutions of the form $\{v_1 \mapsto a_1, \dots, v_i \mapsto a_i\}$ for $0 \le i < n$ can be consistently extended to variable v_{i+1} .

Since σ has width 1, the width of v_{i+1} is 0 or 1.

- v_{i+1} has width 0: There is no constraint between v_{i+1} and any assigned variable, so any value in the (non-empty) domain of v_{i+1} is a consistent extension.
- ▶ v_{i+1} has width 1: There is exactly one variable $v_i \in \{v_1, \ldots, v_i\}$ with a constraint between v_i and v_{i+1} . For every choice $(v_i \mapsto a_i)$, there must be a consistent choice $(v_{i+1} \mapsto a_{i+1})$ because of arc consistency.

Constraint Graphs with Width 1

Let C be a constraint network whose primal constraint graph has width 1. Then C can be solved in polynomial time.

Note: Such a constraint network must be binary, as constraints of higher arity \geq 3 induce cycles in the primal constraint graph.

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Constraint Satisfaction Problems June 4/6, 2012 46 / 52

Constraint Graphs with Width 1 (ctd.)

Proof of the theorem.

We can enforce arc consistency and compute a width 1 ordering in polynomial time. If the resulting network has any empty variable domains, it is trivially unsolvable. Otherwise, by the lemma, it can be solved in polynomial time by the Backtracking procedure.

Remark: Enforcing full arc consistency is actually not necessary; a limited form of consistency is sufficient. (We do not discuss this further.)

June 4/6, 2012

Static Variable Orderings: Min-Width Ordering

min-width ordering

Select a variable ordering such that the resulting ordered constraint graph has minimal width among all choices.

Remark: Can be computed efficiently by a greedy algorithm:

- 1. Choose a vertex v with minimal degree and remove it from the graph.
- 2. Recursively compute an ordering for the remaining graph, and place vafter all other vertices.

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

June 4/6, 2012 49 / 52



Static Variable Orderings: Cycle Cutset Ordering

Definition (cycle cutset)

Let $G = \langle V, E \rangle$ be a graph. A cycle cutset for G is a vertex set $V' \subseteq V$ such that the subgraph induced by $V \setminus V'$ has no cycles.

cycle cutset ordering

- 1. Compute a (preferably small) cycle cutset V'.
- 2. First order all variables in V' (using any ordering strategy).
- 3. Then order the remaining variables, using a width-1 ordering for the subnetwork where the variables in V' are removed.

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Constraint Satisfaction Problems June 4/6, 2012 50 / 52





51 / 52