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## Constraints, sets, relations, graphs

- Formal definition of CSP uses sets and constraints
- Constraints are specific relations that restrict possible solutions
- CSP solving techniques use operations that manipulate sets and relations
- CSP instances can also be represented by various kinds of graphs
- Graph-theoretical notions can be used to describe, e.g., structural properties of constraint networks
- Complexity for solving CSP instances can depend on both the relations used in the constraints and properties of the constraint graphs


## Set-theoretical notions

## Sets

## Sets:

Naive understanding:
a set is a "well-defined" collection of objects.

## Principles/Set-theoretical axioms (ZF):

Axioms that describe which objects count as sets and which operations can be used to form new sets
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Axioms that describe which objects count as sets and which operations can be used to form new sets

## Set theory

## Some set-theoretical axioms (ZF):
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- Empty set: There is a set, $\emptyset$, with no elements.
- Pairs: For any pair of sets $x, y,\{x, y\}$ is a set.
- Union: For any set $x$, there exists a set, $\bigcup x$, whose elements are precisely the elements of the elements of $x$.
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- Separation: For any set $x$ and any property $F(y)$, there is a subset of $x,\{y \in x: F(y)\}$, containing precisely the elements $y$ of $x$ for which $F(y)$ holds.
- Power set: For any set $x$ there exists a set $2^{x}$ such that the elements of $2^{x}$ are precisely the subsets of $x$.
- Axiom of choice: Given a set $x$ of pairwise disjoint nonempty sets, there is a set $y$ that contains exactly one element from each member of $x$.


## Set-theoretical notations

Usually, we argue naïvely by using the following notations Boolean operations on sets:
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$$
\begin{aligned}
A \cup B & :=\{x: x \in A \text { or } x \in B\} \\
A \cap B & :=\{x \in A: x \in B\} \\
A \backslash B & :=\{x \in A: x \notin B\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subset relation: $A \subseteq B, A \subsetneq B$, etc., are defined as usual.
Power set: $2^{A}:=\{B: B \subseteq A\}$
(Ordered) pairs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x, y) & :=\{\{x\},\{x, y\}\} \\
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & :=\left(\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right), x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Product: $A \times B:=\{(a, b): a \in A$ and $b \in B\}$

## Boolean algebra

## Definition

A Boolean algebra (with complements) is a set $A$ with
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- two binary operations $\sqcap$, ப,
- a unary operation -, and
- two distinct elements 0 and 1 such that for all elements $a, b$ and $c$ of $A$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rlrlrl}
a \sqcup(b \sqcup c) & =(a \sqcup b) \sqcup c & a \sqcap(b \sqcap c) & =(a \sqcap b) \sqcap c & \text { Ass } \\
a \sqcup b & =b \sqcup a & a \sqcap b & =b \sqcap a & \text { Com } \\
a \sqcup(a \sqcap b) & =a & & a \sqcap(a \sqcup b) & =a & \text { Abs } \\
a \sqcup(b \sqcap c) & =(a \sqcup b) \sqcap(a \sqcup c) & a \sqcap(b \sqcup c) & =(a \sqcap b) \sqcup(a \sqcap c)
\end{array}
$$ Dis

$$
a \sqcup-a=1
$$

$$
a \sqcap-a=0
$$

Compl

## Set algebras

## Definition

A set algebra on a set $X$ is a non-empty subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $2^{X}$ that is closed under unions, intersections, and complements. $\langle X, \mathcal{F}\rangle$ is called a field of sets.

Notice: a set algebra on $X$ contains $X$ and $\emptyset$ as elements.

## Lemma

(a) The power set of any set forms a set algebra.
(b) Each set algebra defines a Boolean algebra.
(c) A finite Boolean algebra can always be represented as a power set, ...
(d) more generally, each Boolean algebra is isomorphic to a field of sets (Stone's representation theorem).

## Boolean algebras vs set algebras I

## Proof of the lemma.

(a) By applying complement, union, or intersection on subsets of a given set $X$, we again obtain subsets of $X$.
(b) A set algebra $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$ contains $\emptyset$ and $X . \bar{A}:=X \backslash A$ is a unary operation on $\mathcal{F} ; \cap$ and $\cup$ are binary operations. Hence, $\langle\mathcal{F}, \cap, \cup,-, \emptyset, X\rangle$ is a structrue that obviously satisifes all properties of a Boolean algebra.

Set-theoretical
(c) One has to show: given a finite Boolean algebra $B=\langle A, \sqcap, \sqcup,-, 0,1\rangle$ there exists a set $X$ such that $\ldots$

## Boolean algebras vs set algebras II

## Proof of the lemma (cont'd):
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1. Define a partial order on $B$ :
$a \leq b: \Longleftrightarrow b \sqcap a=a(\Longleftrightarrow b \sqcup a=b \Longleftrightarrow a \sqcap-b=0)$ $a<b: \Longleftrightarrow a \leq b \wedge a \neq b$.
The set of atoms (i.e., non-zero minimal element of $B$ ) is def. by:
At $_{B}:=\{a \in A: 0 \leq a \wedge$ there is no $b \in A$ s.t. $0<b<a\}$.
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Obviously $f(a)=\{a\}$ for each $a \in \operatorname{At}_{B}$
$f$ is an homomornhism of Boolean algehras, i.e., it preserves
Boolean operations: $f(0)=\emptyset, f(1)=X, f(-x)=\overline{f(x)}$
$f(x \sqcap y)=f(x) \cap f(y)$, and $f(x \sqcup y)=f(x) \cup f(y)$.
$f$ is a bijection, i.e. it is injective ("one-to-one") and surjective ("onto")
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1. Define a partial order on $B$ :
$a \leq b: \Longleftrightarrow b \sqcap a=a(\Longleftrightarrow b \sqcup a=b \Longleftrightarrow a \sqcap-b=0)$
$a<b: \Longleftrightarrow a \leq b \wedge a \neq b$.
The set of atoms (i.e., non-zero minimal element of $B$ ) is def. by:
At $_{B}:=\{a \in A: 0 \leq a \wedge$ there is no $b \in A$ s.t. $0<b<a\}$.
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2. Define a map $f: A \rightarrow 2^{\mathrm{At}_{B}}, x \mapsto\left\{a \in \mathrm{At}_{B}: a \leq x\right\}$.

Obviously $f(a)=\{a\}$ for each $a \in$ At $_{B}$.
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Obviously $f(a)=\{a\}$ for each $a \in$ At $_{B}$.
3. $f$ is an homomorphism of Boolean algebras, i.e., it preserves

Boolean operations: $f(0)=\emptyset, f(1)=X, f(-x)=\overline{f(x)}$, $f(x \sqcap y)=f(x) \cap f(y)$, and $f(x \sqcup y)=f(x) \cup f(y)$.
$f$ is a bijection, i.e., it is injective ("one-to-one") and surjective
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## Proof of the lemma (cont'd):
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1. Define a partial order on $B$ :
$a \leq b: \Longleftrightarrow b \sqcap a=a(\Longleftrightarrow b \sqcup a=b \Longleftrightarrow a \sqcap-b=0)$
$a<b: \Longleftrightarrow a \leq b \wedge a \neq b$.
The set of atoms (i.e., non-zero minimal element of $B$ ) is def. by:
At $_{B}:=\{a \in A: 0 \leq a \wedge$ there is no $b \in A$ s.t. $0<b<a\}$.
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2. Define a map $f: A \rightarrow 2^{\mathrm{At}_{B}}, x \mapsto\left\{a \in \mathrm{At}_{B}: a \leq x\right\}$.

Obviously $f(a)=\{a\}$ for each $a \in \operatorname{At}_{B}$.
3. $f$ is an homomorphism of Boolean algebras, i.e., it preserves

Boolean operations: $f(0)=\emptyset, f(1)=X, f(-x)=\overline{f(x)}$,
$f(x \sqcap y)=f(x) \cap f(y)$, and $f(x \sqcup y)=f(x) \cup f(y)$.
4. $f$ is a bijection, i.e., it is injective ("one-to-one") and surjective ("onto").
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## Relations

## Definition

A relation over sets $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a subset

$$
R \subseteq X_{1} \times \cdots \times X_{n}=: \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{i}
$$

The number $n$ is referred to as arity of $R$.
An $n$-ary relation on a set $X$ is a subset

$$
R \subseteq X^{n}:=X \times \cdots \times X \quad(n \text { times })
$$

Since relations are sets, set-theoretical operations (union, intersection, complement) can be applied to relations as well.
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## Binary relations

For binary relations on a set $X$ we have some special operations:

## Definition

Let $R, S$ be binary (2-ary) relations on $X$.
The converse of relation $R$ is defined by:

$$
R^{-1}:=\left\{(x, y) \in X^{2}:(y, x) \in R\right\} .
$$

The composition of relations $R$ and $S$ is defined by:
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$R \circ S:=\left\{(x, z) \in X^{2}: \exists y \in X\right.$ s.t. $(x, y) \in R$ and $\left.(y, z) \in S\right\}$.
The identity relation is:

$$
\Delta_{X}:=\left\{(x, y) \in X^{2}: x=y\right\} .
$$

## Operating on binary relations

## Lemma

Let $X$ be a non-empty set. Let $\mathcal{R}(X)$ be the set of all binary
Satisfaction Problems
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(a) $\mathcal{R}(X)$ is a set algebra on $X \times X$.
(b) For all relations $R, S, T \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R \circ(S \circ T)=(R \circ S) \circ T \\
& R \circ(S \cup T)=(R \circ S) \cup(R \circ T) \\
& \Delta_{X} \circ R=R \circ \Delta_{X}=R \\
&\left(R^{-1}\right)^{-1}=R \text { and }(-R)^{-1}=-\left(R^{-1}\right) \\
&(R \cup S)^{-1}=R^{-1} \cup S^{-1} \\
&(R \circ S)^{-1}=S^{-1} \circ R^{-1} \\
&(R \circ S) \cap T^{-1}=\emptyset \text { if and only if }(S \circ T) \cap R^{-1}=\emptyset
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sets

## Relations
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## Constraints, relations, and variables

Constraints can be expressed by relations that restrict value assignments to variables.
Consider variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and relations $B, C$ defined by:
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\left\{(x, y, z) \in[0 . .3]^{3}: x<y<z\right\} \\
& C=\left\{(x, y, z) \in[0 . .3]^{3}: x>y>z\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- " $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ satisfies $B$ " and " $\left(x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{1}\right)$ satisfies $B$ " express different constraints, while ...
- " $\left(x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{1}\right)$ satisfies $B$ " and " $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ satisfies $C$ " essentially express the same constraint.

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 3 | $\not \equiv$ |
| 0 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |


| $x_{3}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |


$\equiv$| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 |

## Relations over variables

Let $V$ be a set of variables. For $v \in V$, let $\operatorname{dom}(v)$ be a non-empty set (of values), called the domain of $v$.

## Definition
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A relation over (pairwise distinct) variables $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in V$ is a pair

$$
R_{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}}:=\left(\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right), R\right)
$$

where $R$ is a relation over $\operatorname{dom}\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{dom}\left(v_{n}\right)$.
The sequence $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ is referred to as the scheme (or: range), the set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ as the scope, and $R$ as the graph of $R_{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}}$.

We will not always distinguish between a relation over variables and its graph (and between scope and scheme), e. g., we write

$$
R_{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(v_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{dom}\left(v_{n}\right)
$$

## Selections, ...

Let $R_{\bar{v}}=(\bar{v}, R)$ be a relation over variables $\bar{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$.
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## Definition

For any fixed values $a_{1} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(v_{i_{1}}\right), \ldots, a_{k} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(v_{i_{k}}\right)$, define

$$
\sigma_{v_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}=a_{k}}(\bar{v}, R):=\left(\bar{v}, R^{\prime}\right)
$$

with

$$
R^{\prime}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R: x_{i_{j}}=a_{j}, \text { for each } 1 \leq j \leq k\right\} .
$$

The (unary) operation $\sigma_{v_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}=a_{k}}$ is called selection or restriction.

## Projections, . . .

Let $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ be a $k$-tuple of pairwise distinct elements of $\{1, \ldots, n\}(k \leq n)$.

## Definition
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Given a relation $(\bar{v}, R)$ over $\bar{v}$,

$$
\pi_{v_{i_{1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}}(\bar{v}, R):=\left(\left(v_{i_{1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}\right), R^{\prime}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{\prime}:=\left\{\bar{y} \in \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k} \operatorname{dom}\left(v_{i_{j}}\right): \bar{y}\right. & =\left(x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}}\right), \\
& \text { for some } \left.\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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is a relation over $\left(v_{i_{1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}\right)$, called the projection of $(\bar{v}, R)$ on $\left(v_{i_{1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}\right)$.

Note: Each permutation of the scheme $\bar{v}$ defines a projection. For binary relations $R=R_{x, y}, R^{-1}=\pi_{y, x}\left(R_{x, y}\right)$.

## ... Joins

## Definition

Consider pairwise distinct variables $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$.
Let $(\bar{v}, R)$ and $\left(\overline{v^{\prime}}, S\right)$ be relations over variables
Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Nebel, Hué and WölfI $\bar{v}=\left(v_{i_{1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}\right)$ and $\overline{v^{\prime}}=\left(v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{j_{l}}\right)$, resp., such that $\left\{v_{i_{1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}\right\} \cup\left\{v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{j_{l}}\right\}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Then

$$
(\bar{v}, R) \bowtie\left(\overline{v^{\prime}}, S\right):=\left(\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right), T\right)
$$

with

$$
T=\left\{\overline { x } \in \prod _ { 1 \leq i \leq n } \operatorname { d o m } ( v _ { i } ) : ( x _ { i _ { 1 } } , \ldots , x _ { i _ { k } } ) \in R \text { and } \quad \left(\begin{array}{ll} 
& \left.\left(x_{j_{1}}, \ldots, x_{j_{l}}\right) \in S\right\}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

is a relation over $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$, the join of $(\bar{v}, R)$ and $\left(\overline{v^{\prime}}, S\right)$.
For binary relations $R=R_{x, y}$ and $S=S_{y, z}$ on the same set,

$$
R \circ S=\pi_{x, z}\left(R_{x, y} \bowtie S_{y, z}\right)
$$

## Examples

Consider relations $R:=R_{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}}$ and $S:=S_{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}}$ defined by:
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| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| $c$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| $c$ | $n$ | $n$ |


| $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $a$ | 1 |
| $b$ | $c$ | 2 |
| $b$ | $c$ | 3 |

Then $\sigma_{x_{3}=c}(R), \pi_{x_{2}, x_{3}}(R), \pi_{x_{2}, x_{1}}(R)$, and $R \bowtie S$ are:

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| $c$ | $b$ | $c$ |

$$
\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|c|c|c}
x_{2} & x_{3} \\
\hline b & c \\
b & c & x_{2} & x_{1} \\
\hline b & b & b & c & & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} \\
\hline b & x_{4} \\
\hline n & n & n & c & b & c & 2 \\
& & & b & b & c & 3 \\
& & & c & 2 \\
& & & c & c & 3
\end{array}
$$
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## Undirected graph

## Definition

An (undirected, simple) graph is an ordered pair
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$$
G:=\langle V, E\rangle
$$

where:

- $V$ is a non-empty set (of vertices, nodes);
- $E$ is a set of two-element subsets $X \subseteq V$ (elements of $E$ are called edges).

Usually, we assume that the graph (i.e., $|V|$ ) is finite.
In undirected, simple graphs edges are often written as $[u, v]$
Sometimes, one allows $E$ to also contain singleton subsets of $V$ (loops), written as $[v, v]$. But simple graphs are always loopless.

## Undirected graph

## Definition

An (undirected, simple) graph is an ordered pair
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Usually, we assume that the graph (i.e., $|V|$ ) is finite. In undirected, simple graphs edges are often written as $[u, v]$.
Sometimes, one allows $E$ to also contain singleton subsets of $V$ (loops), written as $[v, v]$. But simple graphs are always loopless.

## Undirected graph

## Definition

An (undirected, simple) graph is an ordered pair
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Usually, we assume that the graph (i.e., $|V|$ ) is finite. In undirected, simple graphs edges are often written as $[u, v]$. Sometimes, one allows $E$ to also contain singleton subsets of $V$ (loops), written as $[v, v]$. But simple graphs are always loopless.

## A simple undirected graph
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## Undirected multi-graph

Often we allow for multiple edges between the same set of end vertices.

## Definition
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An (undirected, multi-) graph is an ordered triple

$$
G:=\langle V, E, \gamma\rangle
$$

where:

- $V$ is non-empty set (of vertices, nodes);
- $\gamma: E \rightarrow\left\{X \in 2^{V}: 1 \leq|X| \leq 2\right\}$.

The elements of $E$ are called edges.
We always assume: $V \cap E=\emptyset$.
The order of a graph is the number of vertices $|V|$. Often, $|E|$ is referred to as the size of $G$, but often we specify both $n:=|V|$ and $m:=|E|$.

## Undirected multi-graph

Often we allow for multiple edges between the same set of end vertices.

## Definition
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An (undirected, multi-) graph is an ordered triple

$$
G:=\langle V, E, \gamma\rangle
$$

where:

- $V$ is non-empty set (of vertices, nodes);
- $\gamma: E \rightarrow\left\{X \in 2^{V}: 1 \leq|X| \leq 2\right\}$.

The elements of $E$ are called edges.
We always assume: $V \cap E=\emptyset$.
The order of a graph is the number of vertices $|V|$. Often, $|E|$ is referred to as the size of $G$, but often we specify both $n:=|V|$ and $m:=|E|$.

## An undirected multi-graph
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## Graphs: Some definitions

## Definition

Let $G=\langle V, E, \gamma\rangle$ be an undirected graph.
(a) If $\gamma(e)=\{u, v\}$ for some $e \in E$, then $u$ and $v$ are called adjacent (or: connected by $e$ ).
(b) A path (or: walk) in $G$ is a sequence

$$
\left(v_{0}, e_{1}, v_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}, v_{k}\right)
$$

such that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k} \in E$ and $\gamma\left(e_{i}\right)=\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ (for each $1 \leq i \leq k)$. $k$ is referred to as length, $v_{0}$ as start vertex, and $v_{k}$ as end vertex of the path.
(c) A cycle is a path $\left(v_{0}, \ldots, e_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ with $v_{0}=v_{k}$ and $k \geq 1$.
(d) A path $\left(v_{0}, \ldots, e_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ is simple if $e_{i} \neq e_{j}$ for all $i \neq j$.
(e) A path $\left(v_{0}, \ldots, e_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ is elementary if $v_{1} \neq v_{j}$ for $0 \leq i \neq j \leq k$ (but $v_{0}=v_{k}$ is allowed).
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## Paths: An example
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Figure: A simple path visiting the nodes $B, A, E, D, F$

## Graph-theoretical notions

Let $G=\langle V, E, \gamma\rangle$ be an undirected graph.

## Definition

(a) $G$ is connected if for each pair of vertices $u$ and $v$, there exists a path from $u$ to $v$.
(b) $G$ is complete if any pair of vertices is connected by an edge.
(c) $G$ is a forest if $G$ is cycle-free.
(d) $G$ is a tree if $G$ is cycle-free and connected.

## Examples
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Figure: Connected, but not complete

## Examples
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Figure: A not connected graph
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Figure: A forest
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Figure: A tree

## Graph-theoretical notions

Let $G=\langle V, E, \gamma\rangle$ be an undirected graph.

## Definition
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Let $V^{\prime}$ be a non-empty subset of $V$. Then $G\left[V^{\prime}\right]=\left\langle V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle$ with:

$$
E^{\prime}:=\left\{e \in E: \gamma(e) \subseteq V^{\prime}\right\} \text { and } \gamma^{\prime}:=\left.\gamma\right|_{E^{\prime}}
$$

is called the subgraph induced by $V^{\prime}$.

## Definition

Let $E^{\prime}$ be a subset of $E$. Then $G\left[E^{\prime}\right]=\left\langle V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, \gamma \mid E_{E^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ is called the partial graph induced by $E^{\prime}$

## Definition

A cliaue in a graph $G$ is a complete subgraph of $G$.
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Let $V^{\prime}$ be a non-empty subset of $V$. Then $G\left[V^{\prime}\right]=\left\langle V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle$ with:
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E^{\prime}:=\left\{e \in E: \gamma(e) \subseteq V^{\prime}\right\} \text { and } \gamma^{\prime}:=\left.\gamma\right|_{E^{\prime}}
$$

is called the subgraph induced by $V^{\prime}$.

## Definition

Let $E^{\prime}$ be a subset of $E$. Then $G\left[E^{\prime}\right]=\left\langle V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, \gamma \mid E^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is called the partial graph induced by $E^{\prime}$.

## Definition

A clique in a graph $G$ is a complete subgraph of $G$.
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Figure: A partial graph

## Examples
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Figure: A subgraph

## Examples
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Figure: A clique

## Directed Graph

## Definition

A directed (multi-) graph (or: digraph) is an ordered tuple

$$
G:=\langle V, A, \alpha, \omega\rangle
$$

Constraint Satisfaction Problems
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## Sets

where:
Relations

- $V$ is a non-empty set (of vertices or nodes),
- $A$ is a set (elements of $A$ are called arcs, edges, or arrows),
- $\alpha, \omega: A \rightarrow V$ are functions.
$\alpha(a)$ is called the start vertex of $a, \omega(a)$ the end vertex of $a$.
If $G$ has no parallel $\operatorname{arcs}\left(a, a^{\prime} \in A\right.$ with $\alpha(a)=\alpha\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ and $\omega(a)=\omega\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ ), we can write $A$ as a set of tuples:



## Directed Graph

## Definition

A directed (multi-) graph (or: digraph) is an ordered tuple

$$
G:=\langle V, A, \alpha, \omega\rangle
$$
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Sets
where:

- $V$ is a non-empty set (of vertices or nodes),
- $A$ is a set (elements of $A$ are called arcs, edges, or arrows),
- $\alpha, \omega: A \rightarrow V$ are functions.
$\alpha(a)$ is called the start vertex of $a, \omega(a)$ the end vertex of $a$.
If $G$ has no parallel arcs $\left(a, a^{\prime} \in A\right.$ with $\alpha(a)=\alpha\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left.\omega(a)=\omega\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right)$, we can write $A$ as a set of tuples:

$$
\left\{(\alpha(a), \omega(a)) \in V^{2}: a \in A\right\}
$$

In that case we use the notation $\langle V, A\rangle$ instead of $\langle V, A, \alpha, \omega\rangle$.

## Digraphs: Some notions

Most notions introduced for undirected graphs can easily be

## Definition

A path in $G$ is a sequence $\left(v_{0}, a_{1}, v_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ such that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} \in A$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq k, \alpha\left(a_{i}\right)=v_{i-1}$ and $\omega\left(a_{i}\right)=v_{i}$.
$\square$
the outdegree of $v$, the number of arcs that start from $v$ the indegree of $v$, the number of arcs that end in $v$ parents of $v$ : nodes with an arc to $v$ childs of $v$ : nodes with an $\operatorname{arc}$ from $v$

## Digraphs: Some notions

Most notions introduced for undirected graphs can easily be adapted for directed graphs. For example:

## Definition

A path in $G$ is a sequence $\left(v_{0}, a_{1}, v_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ such that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} \in A$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq k, \alpha\left(a_{i}\right)=v_{i-1}$ and $\omega\left(a_{i}\right)=v_{i}$.
$g^{+}(v)$ : the outdegree of $v$, the number of arcs that start from $v$ $g^{-}(v)$ : the indegree of $v$, the number of arcs that end in $v$ parents of $v$ : nodes with an arc to $v$ childs of $v$ : nodes with an arc from $v$

## A directed multi-graph
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Figure: A directed graph with a (strongly) connected subgraph

## Labeled graphs

Often graphs $G=\langle V, E / A, \ldots\rangle$ are equipped with labeling functions.

Let $L$ be a not-empty set of labels.
Vertex labeling: a function $l: V \rightarrow L$ that assigns to each $v$ a vertex label $l(v) \in L$.

Edge labeling: a function $l: E \rightarrow L$ that assigns to each $e \in E$
Graphs
Undirected
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Directed Graphs
Labeled Graphs
Hypergraphs
Example: In route planning, one can represent street networks
Computational
Complexity as digraphs with an arc labeling (expressing travelling distance between places/nodes)

The label set may be equipped with further structures. In the route planning example, the labeling function is understood as a distance function (metric space).
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Vertex labeling: a function $l: V \rightarrow L$ that assigns to each $v$ a vertex label $l(v) \in L$.
Edge labeling: a function $l: E \rightarrow L$ that assigns to each $e \in E$ a label $l(v) \in L$.

Example: In route planning, one can represent street networks as digraphs with an arc labeling (expressing travelling distance between places/nodes)

The label set may be equipped with further structures. In the route planning example, the labeling function is understood as a distance function (metric space).
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## Labeled graphs

Often graphs $G=\langle V, E / A, \ldots\rangle$ are equipped with labeling
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Let $L$ be a not-empty set of labels.
Vertex labeling: a function $l: V \rightarrow L$ that assigns to each $v$ a vertex label $l(v) \in L$.
Edge labeling: a function $l: E \rightarrow L$ that assigns to each $e \in E$ a label $l(v) \in L$.

Example: In route planning, one can represent street networks as digraphs with an arc labeling (expressing travelling distance between places/nodes).

The label set may be equipped with further structures. In the route planning example, the labeling function is understood as a distance function (metric space).

## Labeled graphs

Often graphs $G=\langle V, E / A, \ldots\rangle$ are equipped with labeling
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Let $L$ be a not-empty set of labels.
Vertex labeling: a function $l: V \rightarrow L$ that assigns to each $v$ a vertex label $l(v) \in L$.
Edge labeling: a function $l: E \rightarrow L$ that assigns to each $e \in E$ a label $l(v) \in L$.

Example: In route planning, one can represent street networks as digraphs with an arc labeling (expressing travelling distance between places/nodes).

The label set may be equipped with further structures. In the route planning example, the labeling function is understood as a distance function (metric space).

## Hypergraph

Graphs can be used to represent binary relations between nodes.
For relations of higher arity we need:

## Definition

A hypergraph is a pair $H:=\langle V, E\rangle$, where

- $V$ is a set (of nodes, vertices),
- $E$ is a set of non-empty subsets of $V$ (called hyperedges),
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Notice: Hyperedges may contain arbitrarily many nodes.
$k$-uniform hypergraph: each hyperedge contains exactly $k$ vertices.

## Hypergraph

Graphs can be used to represent binary relations between nodes.
For relations of higher arity we need:

## Definition

A hypergraph is a pair $H:=\langle V, E\rangle$, where

- $V$ is a set (of nodes, vertices),
- $E$ is a set of non-empty subsets of $V$ (called hyperedges),

Directed Graphs
Labeled Graphs
Hypergraphs i.e., $E \subseteq 2^{V} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$.

Notice: Hyperedges may contain arbitrarily many nodes. $k$-uniform hypergraph: each hyperedge contains exactly $k$ vertices.

## Hypergraphs: An example
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Figure: A hypergraph

## Computational Complexity

## Model of computation

- In the lecture we do not use a specific model of computation: any Turing-complete abstract machine (Turing machine, (unit cost) RAM, ...) suffices
- When analyzing algorithms, we use a uniform cost model:
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constant costs are assumed for every machine operation (regardless of the size of its input)

## Landau symbols

Let $M$ be the set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g \in M$.
$\mathcal{O}(g)=\left\{f \in M: \exists c \in \mathbb{R} \exists n_{0} \in \mathbb{N} \forall n>n_{0}: f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)\right\}$ $\Omega(g)=\left\{f \in M: \exists c \in \mathbb{R} \exists n_{0} \in \mathbb{N} \forall n>n_{0}: f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)\right\}$ $\Theta(g)=\mathcal{O}(g) \cap \Omega(g)$

## Model of computation

- In the lecture we do not use a specific model of computation: any Turing-complete abstract machine (Turing machine, (unit cost) RAM, ...) suffices
- When analyzing algorithms, we use a uniform cost model: constant costs are assumed for every machine operation (regardless of the size of its input)


## Landau symbols

Let $M$ be the set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g \in M$.
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$\mathcal{O}(g)=\left\{f \in M: \exists c \in \mathbb{R} \exists n_{0} \in \mathbb{N} \forall n>n_{0}: f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)\right\}$
$\Omega(g)=\left\{f \in M: \exists c \in \mathbb{R} \exists n_{0} \in \mathbb{N} \forall n>n_{0}: f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)\right\}$
$\Theta(g)=\mathcal{O}(g) \cap \Omega(g)$

## Data structures

- Runtime depends on used data structures
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- For example: basic operations on a graph depend on how the graph is represented (e.g., as an adjacency matrix or an adjacency list).
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Adjacency list: an array of lists, namely, for each vertex $v$, the list of $v$ 's children (in undirected graphs: neighbors $=$ adjacent vertices)

## Adjacency matrix

Graph:
Adjacency matrix:


$$
\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$
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## Adjacency list

Graph:
Adjacency list:
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## Sets

$1 \rightarrow(2,5,5)$
$2 \rightarrow(3,4,6)$
$3 \rightarrow()$
$4 \rightarrow(1,2)$
$5 \rightarrow(5)$
$6 \rightarrow(4)$

## Comparing basic operations

Consider the following operations on a digraph (without parallel arcs):

- Arc: Check whether there is an arc from $v$ to $w$ $((v, w) \in E ?)$;

Constraint
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Sets

- $\mathbf{D e g}^{+}$: Determine the outdegree of $v\left(g^{+}(v)=\right.$ ?);
- Root: Check whether there exists a $v$ with $g^{-}(v)=0$.

| Data structure | Memory | Arc | Deg $^{+}$ | Root |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjacency matrix | $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ | $\mathcal{O}(1)$ | $\mathcal{O}(n)$ | $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ |
| Adjacency list | $\Theta(n+m)$ | $\mathcal{O}\left(g^{+}(v)\right)$ | $\mathcal{O}\left(g^{+}(v)\right)$ | $\mathcal{O}(n+m)$ |

$n$ : number of vertices; $m$ : number of arcs/edges

## Computational problems

In the lecture we will study three types of computational problems:

- Decision problems

Expected output: YES/No

- Search problems

Expected output: a solution
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- Optimization problems

Expected output: an optimal solution

## Decision problem

Let $P$ be a set of problem instances and $F$ be a unary property defined on $P$.
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Then the decision problem " $x$ satisfies $F$ ?" is defined as follows:

- Given: A problem instance $x \in P$
- Question: Does $x$ satisfy condition $F$ ?
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## Example

- Given: A digraph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$, vertices $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$
- Question: Does there exist a path from $v_{1}$ to $v_{2}$ in $G$ ?
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## Example

- Given: A digraph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$, vertices $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$.
- Question: Does there exist a path from $v_{1}$ to $v_{2}$ in $G$ ?


## Search problem

Let $P$ be a set of problem instances, $S$ be the set of solutions, and $R$ be a binary relation $R \subseteq P \times S$.
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Then the search problem "Find a solution of $x$ ?" is defined as follows:

- Given: A problem instance $x \in P$
- Asked: A solution $s \in S$ with $(x, s) \in R$


## Search problem

Let $P$ be a set of problem instances, $S$ be the set of solutions, and $R$ be a binary relation $R \subseteq P \times S$.
Then the search problem "Find a solution of $x$ ?" is defined as follows:

Relations

- Given: A problem instance $x \in P$
- Asked: A solution $s \in S$ with $(x, s) \in R$


## Example

- Given: A digraph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$, vertices $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$.
- Asked: Find a path from $v_{1}$ to $v_{2}$ in $G$ (if there exists one; otherwise "failure")!


## Optimization problem

Let $P$ be a set of problem instances, $S$ be the set of solutions, $R$ be a binary relation $R \subseteq P \times S$, and $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an objective function.
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The optimization problem "Find an optimal solution of $x$ ?" is defined as follows:

- Given: A problem instance $x \in P$
- Asked: A solution $s \in S$ with $(x, s) \in R$ that maximizes/minimizes $f$, i.e., $f(s)$ is maximal/minimal among all $s$ with $(x, s) \in R$.


## Example

- Given: A weighted digraph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$, vertices
- Asked: Find a shortest path from $v_{1}$ to $v_{2}$ in $G$ (if there exists one; otherwise "failure")!


## Optimization problem

Let $P$ be a set of problem instances, $S$ be the set of solutions, $R$ be a binary relation $R \subseteq P \times S$, and $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an objective function.
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The optimization problem "Find an optimal solution of $x$ ?" is defined as follows:

- Given: A problem instance $x \in P$
- Asked: A solution $s \in S$ with $(x, s) \in R$ that maximizes/minimizes $f$, i.e., $f(s)$ is maximal/minimal among all $s$ with $(x, s) \in R$.


## Example

- Given: A weighted digraph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$, vertices $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$.
- Asked: Find a shortest path from $v_{1}$ to $v_{2}$ in $G$ (if there exists one; otherwise "failure")!

P: class of decision problems that can be solved by a deterministic Turing machine in polynomial time
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NP: class of decision problems that can be solved by a non-deterministic Turing machine in polynomial time

Alternative characterization of NP:
NP: class of decision problems $P$ such that there exists a polynomial verifier for $P$.
A verifier for a decision problem $P$ is a procedure that, given a problem instance $x$ and a candidate solution $s$ (called certificate), verifies that $s$ is a solution of $x$.
A verifier is polynomial if it verifies $(x, s) \in R$ in polynomial time (it need not run in polynomial time on input $(x, s) \notin R)$

## NP-completeness

Consider decision problems $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ encoded as formal languages $L, L^{\prime}$ over alphabets $\Sigma, \Sigma^{\prime}$.
Polynomial reduction: $L^{\prime}$ is polynomially reducible to $L$, $L^{\prime} \leq_{p} L$, if there exists a total and polynomial time-computable function $f: \Sigma^{\prime} \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that $x \in L^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow f(x) \in L$.

## Definition

- A decision problem $L$ is NP-hard if for each decision problem $L^{\prime}$ in NP, it holds $L^{\prime} \leq_{p} L$.
- A decision problem $L$ is NP-complete if it is both in NP and NP-hard.


## SAT, 3SAT

## Theorem (Cook)

The Boolean satisfiability problem, i.e., the problem of deciding whether a propositional logic formula $\varphi$ is satisfiable, is NP-complete.

3CNF-SAT formula: a proposional logic formula $\varphi$ that is in conjunctive normal form such that each clause contains at most 3 literals.

## Theorem (3CNF-SAT)

The problem of deciding whether a 3CNF-SAT formula is satisfiable is NP-complete.

## 3-COLORABILITY

The problem 3-Colorability is defined as follows:
Given an undirected, simple graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$, is there a vertex coloring $c: V \rightarrow\{1,2,3\}$ such that for each pair of adjacent
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## Theorem

3-Colorability is NP-complete.
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## 3-COLORABILITY

The problem 3-Colorability is defined as follows:
Given an undirected, simple graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$, is there a vertex coloring $c: V \rightarrow\{1,2,3\}$ such that for each pair of adjacent

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Nebel, Hué and Wölfl vertices $v, v^{\prime}$ in $G, c(v) \neq c\left(v^{\prime}\right)$.

## Theorem

3-Colorability is NP-complete.

## Proof.

Obviously, 3-Colorability is in NP: we only need to guess the coloring $c$. Then we check whether this coloring assigns different colors to adjacent vertices. This can be done in polynomial time.

We now show that 3-COLORABILITY is NP-hard by a polynomial reduction from 3CNF-SAT. Since 3CNF-SAT is NP-complete, each problem in NP can be reduced to $3 \mathrm{CNF}-\mathrm{SAT}$ and via $3 \mathrm{CNF}-\mathrm{SAT} \leq_{p} 3$-Colorability, each problem in NP can also be reduced to 3 -Colorability.

## 3-COLORABILITY

We construct a function that assigns to each 3CNF-SAT formula $\varphi=C_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge C_{m}$ a graph $G_{\varphi}$ such that
$\varphi$ is satisfiable $\Longleftrightarrow G_{\varphi}$ has a coloring with colors $\{$ red, blue, green $\}$.
We assume (w.l.o.g.) that each clause $C_{j}$ consists of exactly three literals, i.e., $C_{j}=\left(l_{1 j} \vee l_{j 2} \vee l_{j 3}\right)$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be the set of
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## 3-COLORABILITY

For each clause $C_{j}(1 \leq j \leq m)$ we add a subgraph $G_{j}$ (clause gadget) with new vertices $a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j}, y_{j}, z_{j}$ and a vertex $v$ which is the
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Vertices in $G_{j}$ are connected by an edge to vertices in $G_{T}$ as follows:

- an edge $\{u, v\}$
- edges $\left\{a_{j}, l_{j 1}\right\},\left\{b_{j}, l_{j 2}\right\},\left\{c_{j}, l_{j 3}\right\}(1 \leq j \leq m)$


## 3-COLORABILITY

For example, if $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge \ldots, G_{\varphi}$ contains the following subgraph $G_{1}$ :
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## 3-COLORABILITY

For example, if $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge \ldots, G_{\varphi}$ contains the following subgraph $G_{1}$ :
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Assume now that $\varphi$ is satisfied by a truth function $V$. Define: $c(u)=$ blue, $c(v)=$ red, $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ green and $c\left(\overline{x_{i}}\right)=$ red, if $V\left(x_{i}\right)=1$, and $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ red and $c\left(\overline{x_{i}}\right)=$ green, if $V\left(x_{i}\right)=0$.

## 3-COLORABILITY

For example, if $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge \ldots, G_{\varphi}$ contains the following subgraph $G_{1}$ :
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Assume now that $\varphi$ is satisfied by a truth function $V$. Define: $c(u)=$ blue, $c(v)=$ red, $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ green and $c\left(\overline{x_{i}}\right)=$ red, if $V\left(x_{i}\right)=1$, and $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ red and $c\left(\overline{x_{i}}\right)=$ green, if $V\left(x_{i}\right)=0$.
For example: if $V\left(x_{1}\right)=1, V\left(x_{2}\right)=1, V\left(x_{4}\right)=0, \ldots$,

## 3-COLORABILITY

For example, if $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge \ldots, G_{\varphi}$ contains the following subgraph $G_{1}$ :
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Assume now that $\varphi$ is satisfied by a truth function $V$. Define: $c(u)=$ blue, $c(v)=$ red, $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ green and $c\left(\overline{x_{i}}\right)=$ red, if $V\left(x_{i}\right)=1$, and $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ red and $c\left(\overline{x_{i}}\right)=$ green, if $V\left(x_{i}\right)=0$.
For example: if $V\left(x_{1}\right)=1, V\left(x_{2}\right)=1, V\left(x_{4}\right)=0, \ldots$, $c$ can be extended to a coloring of $G_{1} \ldots$

## 3-COLORABILITY

For example, if $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge \ldots, G_{\varphi}$ contains the following subgraph $G_{1}$ :
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Assume now that $\varphi$ is satisfied by a truth function $V$. Define: $c(u)=$ blue, $c(v)=$ red, $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ green and $c\left(\overline{x_{i}}\right)=$ red, if $V\left(x_{i}\right)=1$, and $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ red and $c\left(\overline{x_{i}}\right)=$ green, if $V\left(x_{i}\right)=0$.
For $V\left(x_{1}\right)=1, V\left(x_{2}\right)=1, V\left(x_{4}\right)=1, \ldots, G_{1}$ can also be colored

## 3-COLORABILITY

For example, if $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge \ldots, G_{\varphi}$ contains the following subgraph $G_{1}$ :
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Assume now that $\varphi$ is satisfied by a truth function $V$. Define: $c(u)=$ blue, $c(v)=$ red, $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ green and $c\left(\overline{x_{i}}\right)=$ red, if $V\left(x_{i}\right)=1$, and $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ red and $c\left(\overline{x_{i}}\right)=$ green, if $V\left(x_{i}\right)=0$.
$\ldots$ also for $V\left(x_{1}\right)=0, V\left(x_{2}\right)=0, V\left(x_{4}\right)=0$ etc.

## 3-COLORABILITY

For example, if $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge \ldots, G_{\varphi}$ contains the following subgraph $G_{1}$ :
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For the other direction, assume that $G_{\varphi}$ has a coloring $c$ (w.l.o.g, $c(u)=$ blue and $c(v)=$ red).
Define $V\left(x_{i}\right)=1$ if $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ green, and $V\left(x_{i}\right)=0$ if $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ red.

## 3-COLORABILITY

For example, if $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge \ldots, G_{\varphi}$ contains the following subgraph $G_{1}$ :
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For the other direction, assume that $G_{\varphi}$ has a coloring $c$ (w.l.o.g, $c(u)=$ blue and $c(v)=$ red).
Define $V\left(x_{i}\right)=1$ if $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ green, and $V\left(x_{i}\right)=0$ if $c\left(x_{i}\right)=$ red. This is a truth function $V:\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$, since all $x_{i}$-nodes are red or green(because $u$ is colored blue).

## 3-COLORABILITY

For example, if $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge \ldots, G_{\varphi}$ contains the following subgraph $G_{1}$ :
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## 3-COLORABILITY

For example, if $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge \ldots, G_{\varphi}$ contains the following subgraph $G_{1}$ :
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## 3-COLORABILITY

For example, if $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge \ldots, G_{\varphi}$ contains the following subgraph $G_{1}$ :
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Assume $V$ does not satisfy $C_{\varphi}$. Then there is clause, say $C_{1}$, with $V \not \vDash C_{1}$, i.e., all literals in $C_{1}$ are false.
Then $b_{1}, c_{1}$ must be colored blue or green.
If, w.l.o.g., $c\left(b_{1}\right)=$ green and $c\left(c_{1}\right)=$ blue, $\ldots$
then $a_{1}$ must be colored red, a contradiction.

## 3-COLORABILITY

## Proof (summary).

Thus we have constructed a function $f$ that assigns to each 3CNF-SAT formula $\varphi=C_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge C_{m}$ a graph $G_{\varphi}$ such that
$\varphi$ is satisfiable $\Longleftrightarrow G_{\varphi}$ has a coloring with colors $\{$ red, blue, green $\}$. Since the constructed graph $G_{\varphi}$ has $2 n+5 m+2$ vertices, $f$ can be computed in polynomial time.

## Notice:
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- Actually, what we have proven is:
$3 \mathrm{CNF}-\mathrm{SAT} \leq_{p} k$-Colorability, for $k \geq 3$.
- The corresponding search problem "Given a graph, find a 3-coloring ..." is in the complexity class Function NP (FNP).


## 3-Colorability

## Proof (summary).

Thus we have constructed a function $f$ that assigns to each 3CNF-SAT formula $\varphi=C_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge C_{m}$ a graph $G_{\varphi}$ such that
$\varphi$ is satisfiable $\Longleftrightarrow G_{\varphi}$ has a coloring with colors $\{$ red, blue, green $\}$.
Since the constructed graph $G_{\varphi}$ has $2 n+5 m+2$ vertices, $f$ can be computed in polynomial time.

Notice:

- Actually, what we have proven is: 3CNF-SAT $\leq_{p} k$-Colorability, for $k \geq 3$.
- The corresponding search problem "Given a graph, find a 3-coloring ..." is in the complexity class Function NP (FNP).
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- Short reminder on set-theoretical notions and operations
- Even more operations can be defined for relations
- Distinguish relations (as sets) and relations over variables
- Very basic reminder of graph-theoretical notions
- ... and complexity theory

Graphs
Computational
Complexity
$\mathcal{O}, \Omega$, etc
Computational
Problems

- Example: $k$-colorability is an NP-complete decision problem
for $k \geq 3$; for $k=2$ it is tractable


## Summary

- Short reminder on set-theoretical notions and operations
- Even more operations can be defined for relations
- Distinguish relations (as sets) and relations over variables
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- Example: $k$-colorability is an NP-complete decision problem
- ... for $k \geq 3$; for $k=2$ it is tractable
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