

Foundations of Programming Languages and Software Engineering

Jan-Georg Smaus (Peter Thiemann)

Universität Freiburg

July 2011

- Unification

(Syntactic) Unification

Definition

- (Syntactic) unification is the following problem: Given s and t , find a substitution σ such that $\sigma s = \sigma t$.
- If $\sigma s = \sigma t$, then σ is called a unifier of s and t or a solution to the equation $s =? t$.

(Syntactic) Unification

Definition

- (Syntactic) unification is the following problem: Given s and t , find a substitution σ such that $\sigma s = \sigma t$.
- If $\sigma s = \sigma t$, then σ is called a unifier of s and t or a solution to the equation $s =^? t$.
- More generally, unification is about deciding $\sigma s \approx_{\varepsilon} \sigma t$ (non-ground word problem). But here, by unification we mean syntactic unification.

(Syntactic) Unification

Definition

- **(Syntactic) unification** is the following problem: Given s and t , find a substitution σ such that $\sigma s = \sigma t$.
- If $\sigma s = \sigma t$, then σ is called a **unifier** of s and t or a **solution** to the equation $s =^? t$.
- More generally, unification is about deciding $\sigma s \approx_{\varepsilon} \sigma t$ (non-ground word problem). But here, by unification we mean **syntactic** unification.
- Unification is decidable.
- Unification is theoretically and practically interesting:
 - Symbolic computation algorithms
 - Prolog
 - Type inference

Example

Unifiers

$f(x) =? f(a)$ has exactly one unifier: $\{x \mapsto a\}$

$x =? f(y)$ has many unifiers:
 $\{x \mapsto f(y)\}, \{x \mapsto f(a), y \mapsto a\}, \dots$

$f(x) =? g(y)$ has no unifier

$x =? f(x)$ has no unifier

- An equation $s =? t$ may have zero, one, or more solutions.
- Some solutions are **more general** than others:
 $\{x \mapsto f(y)\}$ is more general than $\{x \mapsto f(a), y \mapsto a\}$.

Unification Problems

Definition

- A **unification problem** is a finite set of equations $S = \{s_1 =? t_1, \dots, s_n =? t_n\}$.
- A **unifier** or **solution** of S is a substitution σ such that $\sigma s_i = \sigma t_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- $\mathcal{U}(S)$ denotes the set of all unifiers of S .
- S is **unifiable** if $\mathcal{U}(S) \neq \emptyset$.

Solving the Unification Problem

Definition

A unification problem $S = \{x_1 =? t_1, \dots, x_n =? t_n\}$ is in **solved form** iff

- the x_i are pairwise distinct variables,
- none of the x_i occurs in any of the t_j .

In this case, we define the substitution \vec{S} as follows:

$$\vec{S} := \{x_1 \mapsto t_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto t_n\}$$

- It is easy to see that \vec{S} is a unifier of S .
- Next we show how to transform a unification problem into solved form, provided the unification problem has a solution.

Transformation into Solved Form

Transformation Rules

DELETE	$\{t =^? t\} \uplus S$	$\implies S$
DECOMPOSE	$\{f(\overline{t_n}) =^? f(\overline{u_n})\} \uplus S$	$\implies \{t_1 =^? u_1, \dots, t_n =^? u_n\} \cup S$
ORIENT	$\{t =^? x\} \uplus S$	$\implies \{x =^? t\} \cup S$ if $t \notin X$
ELIMINATE	$\{x =^? t\} \uplus S$	$\implies \{x =^? t\} \cup \{x \mapsto t\}(S)$ if $x \in \text{Var}(S)$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(t)$ (“occurs check”)

- The symbol \uplus denotes disjoint union: $M_1 \uplus M_2 := M_1 \cup M_2$ provided $M_1 \cap M_2 = \emptyset$.
- Applying a substitution to a set of equations S means applying it to both sides of all equations in S .

Example (1)

Success

$\{x =? f(a), g(x, x) =? g(x, y)\}$	\implies ELIMINATE
$\{x =? f(a), g(f(a), f(a)) =? g(f(a), y)\}$	\implies DECOMPOSE
$\{x =? f(a), f(a) =? f(a), f(a) =? y\}$	\implies DELETE
$\{x =? f(a), f(a) =? y\}$	\implies ORIENT
$\{x =? f(a), y =? f(a)\}$	

Example (2)

Failure

$\{f(x, x) =? f(y, g(y))\} \implies \text{DECOMPOSE}$

$\{x =? y, x =? g(y)\} \implies \text{ELIMINATE}$

$\{x =? y, y =? g(y)\}$

- No transformation rule is applicable to $\{x =? y, y =? g(y)\}$.
- ELIMINATE is not applicable to $y =? g(y)$ because the occurs check fails.

Unification Algorithm

Definition

$Unify(S) =$ while there is some T such that $S \implies T$ do
 $S := T$;
end while
if S is in solved form then return \vec{S} else fail

Properties of Unify

- *Unify* is nondeterministic:
If more than one transformation rule is applicable, say $S \implies T_1$ and $S \implies T_2$, then *Unify* may choose arbitrarily between T_1 and T_2 .
- *Unify* is sound:
If *Unify*(S) returns a substitution σ , then σ is a unifier of S .
- *Unify* is complete:
If a unification problem S is solvable then *Unify*(S) does not fail.
- *Unify* terminates for all inputs.

Further Properties of *Unify*

Unify has some further properties that we can only state loosely because we did not formally introduce the necessary concepts:

- *Unify* computes a **most general** unifier: e.g., for $x =? f(y)$ it will compute $\{x \mapsto f(y)\}$, not $\{x \mapsto f(a), y \mapsto a\}$.
- *Unify* computes an **idempotent** unifier, i.e., a unifier σ such that $\sigma\sigma = \sigma$. This rules out strange solutions such as $\{x \mapsto f(y), z_1 \mapsto z_2, z_2 \mapsto z_1\}$ for the problem $x =? f(y)$.

Earlier Failure Detection

- Detecting unsolvability can be expensive because *Unify* first computes a normal form.
- But if the unification problem contains
 - an equation $f(\dots) =? g(\dots)$ with $f \neq g$ or
 - an equation $x =? t$ with $x \in \text{Var}(t)$ and $x \neq t$then failure is immediate.
- Introduce a special unification problem \perp which is not in solved form.
- Add two more transformation rules:

CLASH $\{f(\bar{t}_n) =? g(\bar{u}_n)\} \uplus S \implies \perp$ if $f \neq g$

OCCURS-CHECK $\{x =? t\} \uplus S \implies \perp$
if $x \in \text{Var}(t)$
and $x \neq t$