

Foundations of Programming Languages and Software Engineering

Jan-Georg Smaus (Peter Thiemann)

Universität Freiburg

July 2011

- Abstract Data Types

- We have learned about different datastructures, e.g. for dictionaries:
 - Search trees
 - Lists
 - Tables with hashing
- Implementations of these concepts may have different characteristics:
 - Memory usage
 - Efficiency
- Implementations should be exchangeable
- Abstract over the concepts, use ADTs!
 - Functional specification
 - Implementation independent
 - Different implementations of a single ADT are possible

ADTs are Special Signatures

Definition

Let Σ be a signature.

- A Σ -identity is a pair $(s, t) \in T(\Sigma, X) \times T(\Sigma, X)$. We write a Σ -identity as $s \approx t$ for emphasis.
- An ADT is a pair (Σ, \mathcal{E}) where
 - Σ is a signature,
 - $\mathcal{E} \subseteq T(\Sigma, X) \times T(\Sigma, X)$ is a set of Σ -identities.

Examples

An ADT for natural numbers

$$\Sigma_{nat} = \{\text{zero}^{(0)}, \text{succ}^{(1)}\}$$
$$\mathcal{E}_{nat} = \emptyset$$

An ADT for integers

$$\Sigma_{int} = \{\text{zero}^{(0)}, \text{pred}^{(1)}, \text{succ}^{(1)}\}$$
$$\mathcal{E}_{int} = \{\text{pred}(\text{succ}(x)) \approx x, \text{succ}(\text{pred}(x)) \approx x\}$$

Datatypes are Σ -Algebras

Definition

- An identity $s \approx t$ is **valid** in a Σ -algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, \mathcal{J})$ iff $\mathcal{J}_\alpha(s) = \mathcal{J}_\alpha(t)$ for all variable assignments $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$.
- A **datatype** is a Σ -algebra \mathcal{D} .
- A datatype \mathcal{D} **implements** the ADT (Σ, \mathcal{E}) iff every identity $s \approx t \in \mathcal{E}$ is valid in \mathcal{D} .
(**Note:** We shall refine this definition later.)

Implementations of the ADT for Naturals

Implementation 1

- $\mathcal{D}_{nat}' = (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{J}')$, $\mathcal{J}'(\text{zero}) = 0$, $\mathcal{J}'(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$
(note that x is meta-notation!).
- No identities, so all are valid.
- The function \mathcal{J}' is bijective.

Implementation 2

- $\mathcal{D}_{nat}'' = (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \mathcal{J}'')$, $\mathcal{J}''(\text{zero}) = 0$,
 $\mathcal{J}''(\text{succ})(x) = (x + 1) \bmod 4$.
- No identities, so all are valid.
- The function \mathcal{J}'' is not injective (but surjective).
 $\mathcal{J}''(\text{zero}) = 0 = \mathcal{J}''(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{zero}))))$

Implementations of the ADT for Integers (1)

Implementation 1

- $\mathcal{D}_{int}' = (\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{J}')$, $\mathcal{J}'(\text{zero})() = 0$
 $\mathcal{J}'(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$
 $\mathcal{J}'(\text{pred})(x) = x - 1$
- For arbitrary $\alpha : \{x\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ we have
 $\mathcal{J}'_\alpha(\text{pred}(\text{succ}(x))) = (\alpha(x) + 1) - 1 = \mathcal{J}'_\alpha(x)$
 $\mathcal{J}'_\alpha(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x))) = (\alpha(x) - 1) + 1 = \mathcal{J}'_\alpha(x)$
- \mathcal{J}' is surjective but not injective. Consider
 $\mathcal{J}'(\text{zero}) = 0 = \mathcal{J}'(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{zero})))$.

Implementations of the ADT for Integers (2)

Implementation 2

- $\mathcal{D}_{int}'' = (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \mathcal{J}'')$,
 $\mathcal{J}''(\text{zero})() = 0$
 $\mathcal{J}''(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1 \bmod 4$
 $\mathcal{J}''(\text{pred})(x) = x - 1 \bmod 4$
- For arbitrary $\alpha : \{x\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ we have
 $\mathcal{J}''_\alpha(\text{pred}(\text{succ}(x))) = \mathcal{J}''_\alpha(x)$
 $\mathcal{J}''_\alpha(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x))) = \mathcal{J}''_\alpha(x)$
- \mathcal{J}'' is surjective but not injective.

Implementations of the ADT for Integers (3)

A Non-implementation

- $Dint''' = (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{J}''')$
 $\mathcal{J}'''(\text{zero})() = 0$
 $\mathcal{J}'''(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$
 $\mathcal{J}'''(\text{pred})(x) = \begin{cases} x - 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$
- Not an implementation:
For $\alpha : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\alpha(x) = 0$ we have
$$\mathcal{J}_\alpha(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x))) = 1 \neq 0 = \mathcal{J}_\alpha(x)$$

Fixing the Problems

- Want to rule out implementations such as $Dnat''$ and $Dint''$
- Definition of “implementation” is too weak
- Needed: restriction on function \mathcal{J}
 - \mathcal{J} is not necessarily injective (see $Dint'$)
 - Idea: \mathcal{J} must be injective on the equivalence classes induced by the identities of an ADT.
In other words: \mathcal{J} should make those terms equal that are equal according to the identities of the ADT, but not more!

Equivalence Classes

Definition

Suppose R is an equivalence relation on some set M .

- The set $[x]_R := \{y \in M \mid x R y\}$ is called the **equivalence class** of x .
- $y \in [x]_R$ is called a **representative** of $[x]_R$.
- The **quotient of M with respect to R** is the set of equivalence classes induced by R , written $M/R := \{[x]_R \mid x \in M\}$.

Note: For equivalence classes $[x]_R$ and $[y]_R$ we have either $[x]_R = [y]_R$ or $[x]_R \cap [y]_R = \emptyset$.

Congruence Relations

Definition

Suppose Σ is a signature and let R be an equivalence relation on $T(\Sigma, X)$.

- R is a **congruence relation** iff R is closed under Σ -operations, i.e. $t_i R t'_i$ implies $f(t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_n) R f(t_1, \dots, t'_i, \dots, t_n)$ for any $n \geq 0$, $f \in \Sigma^{(n)}$, and $t_1, \dots, t_n, t'_i \in T(\Sigma, X)$.

Syntactic Quotient Algebras

Definition

Let Σ be a signature and R be a congruence on $T(\Sigma, X)$. For all $n \geq 0$, $f \in \Sigma^{(n)}$, and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T(\Sigma, X)$, define \mathcal{J}^R as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}^R(f)([t_1]_R, \dots, [t_n]_R) = [f(t_1, \dots, t_n)]_R$$

Note

- $(T(\Sigma, X)/_R, \mathcal{J}^R)$ is a Σ -algebra. Its carrier elements are sets of terms.
- The representatives are arbitrary: Let $n \geq 0$, $f \in \Sigma^{(n)}$, and $s_1, t_1, \dots, s_n, t_n \in T(\Sigma, X)$. If $s_1 R t_1, \dots, s_n R t_n$ then $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) R f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Hence, $[f(s_1, \dots, s_n)]_R = [f(t_1, \dots, t_n)]_R$, as R is a congruence.

Equational Theory

Definition

Let (Σ, \mathcal{E}) be an ADT. We define a relation $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ on $T(\Sigma, X)$ as the smallest relation such that

- $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a congruence relation;
- $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ contains \mathcal{E} , i.e. $s \approx t \in \mathcal{E}$ implies $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$;
- $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ is closed under substitutions, i.e. $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$ implies $\sigma(s) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \sigma(t)$ for any substitution σ and all $s, t \in T(\Sigma, X)$.

Example

Congruence classes of $\approx_{\mathcal{E}_{int}}$

$$\Sigma_{int} = \{\text{zero}^{(0)}, \text{pred}^{(1)}, \text{succ}^{(1)}\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{int} = \{\text{pred}(\text{succ}(x)) \approx x, \\ \text{succ}(\text{pred}(x)) \approx x\}$$

$$[\text{zero}]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}_{int}}} = \{\text{zero}, \\ \text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{zero})), \\ \text{pred}(\text{succ}(\text{zero})), \\ \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{zero}))))), \dots\}$$

Revised Definition for ADT Implementations

Definition

A datatype $\mathcal{D} = (M, \mathcal{J})$ implements ADT (Σ, \mathcal{E}) with **constructors** $\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma$ if

- (M, \mathcal{J}) is a Σ -algebra (as before)
- All identities from \mathcal{E} are valid in M (as before)
- For all $s, t \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$: $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$ iff $\mathcal{J}(s) = \mathcal{J}(t)$ (**new!**)

Theorem

Let (Σ, \mathcal{E}) be an ADT with constructors $\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma$. Then $\mathcal{D} = (T(\Sigma, \emptyset) / \approx_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{J}^{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}})$ is an implementation of (Σ, \mathcal{E}) .

Proof. Omitted

Nat as a constructor-based ADT (CADT)

CADT: $\Sigma = \{\text{zero}, \text{succ}\}, \mathcal{E} = \{\}, \Gamma = \Sigma$

Implementation: $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{J}_1)$ with $\mathcal{J}_1(\text{zero})() = 0$ and $\mathcal{J}_1(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$

- $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{J}_1)$ is Σ -algebra
- No identities to check
- Since $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$, $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ is $=$. Suppose $s, t \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$.
 - If $s = t$ then $\mathcal{J}_1(s) = \mathcal{J}_1(t)$
 - Suppose $s \neq t$. Then $s = \text{succ}^n(t)$ with $n > 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{J}_1(s) = \mathcal{J}_1(t) + n \neq \mathcal{J}_1(t)$.

$\mathcal{D}_{int''}$ is not an implementation of the natural numbers

CADT: $\Sigma = \{\text{zero}, \text{succ}\}, \mathcal{E} = \{\}, \Gamma = \Sigma$
 $(\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \mathcal{J}'')$ with $\mathcal{J}''(\text{zero})() = 0$,

$\mathcal{J}''(\text{succ})(x) = (x + 1) \bmod 4$ is **not** an implementation.

- $(\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \mathcal{J}'')$ is Σ -algebra
- No identities to check
- Since $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$, $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ is $=$.

We have $\text{zero} \neq \text{succ}^4(\text{zero})$ but $\mathcal{J}''(\text{zero}) = 0 = \mathcal{J}''(\text{succ}^4(\text{zero}))$.

Alternative implementation of the natural numbers

CADT: $\Sigma = \{\text{zero}, \text{succ}\}, \mathcal{E} = \{\}, \Gamma = \Sigma$

Implementation: $(\{a\}^*, \mathcal{J}''')$ with $\mathcal{J}'''(\text{zero})() = \epsilon$,
 $\mathcal{J}'''(\text{succ})(w) = aw$

- $(\{a\}^*, \mathcal{J}''')$ is Σ -algebra
- No identities to check
- Since $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$, $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ is $=$.
 - If $s = t$ then $\mathcal{J}'''(s) = \mathcal{J}'''(t)$
 - Suppose $s \neq t$. Then $s = \text{succ}^n(t)$ with $n > 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{J}'''(s) = \mathcal{J}'''(t) \underbrace{a \dots a}_n \neq \mathcal{J}'''(t)$.

Equivalence Classes for Terms Representing Integers

Suppose $\Gamma = \Sigma = \{\text{zero}, \text{succ}, \text{pred}\}$,
 $\mathcal{E} = \{\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x)) = x, \text{pred}(\text{succ}(x)) = x\}$

Question: What is $T(\Sigma, \emptyset) / \approx_{\mathcal{E}}$?

Answer: Give a representative for every equivalence class.

Lemma

For every term $t \in T(\Sigma, \emptyset)$, exactly one of the following propositions holds

- A There exists $n > 0$ such that $t \in [\text{succ}^n(\text{zero})]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}$.
- B $t \in [\text{zero}]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}$.
- C There exists $n > 0$ such that $t \in [\text{pred}^n(\text{zero})]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}$.

Proof

The proof is by term induction over t .

- Base case: $t = \text{zero}$. Then **B** holds.

Proof (cont.)

- Induction Step for $t = \text{succ}(t')$. By the IH, one of the following holds for t' :
 - A $t' \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}^{(n)}(\text{zero})$ for $n > 0$: then $\text{succ}(t') \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}(\text{succ}^{(n)}(\text{zero})) = \text{succ}^{(n+1)}(\text{zero})$. Since $n + 1 > 0$ we have case **A**.
 - B $t' \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{zero}$: then $\text{succ}(t') \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}(\text{zero})$. We have case **A** with $n = 1$.
 - C $t' \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{pred}^{(n)}(\text{zero})$ for $n > 0$: Then $\text{succ}(t') \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}(\text{pred}^{(n)}(\text{zero}))$. If $n = 1$ then $\text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{zero})) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{zero}$ so case **B** holds. If $n > 1$ then $\text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}^{(n-1)}(\text{zero}))) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{pred}^{(n-1)}(\text{zero})$, so case **C** holds.

Proof (cont.)

- Induction step for $\text{pred}(t)$ analogous.

Equivalence Classes for Terms Representing Integers

Lemma

Suppose $n > 0, m > 0$. Then we have

- $\text{zero} \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}^n(\text{zero})$,
- $\text{zero} \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{pred}^n(\text{zero})$,
- $\text{succ}^n(\text{zero}) \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{pred}^m(\text{zero})$,
- $\text{succ}^n(\text{zero}) \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}^m(\text{zero})$ provided $n \neq m$, and
- $\text{pred}^n(\text{zero}) \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{pred}^m(\text{zero})$ provided $n \neq m$.

It follows that

$$\{\text{succ}^n(\text{zero}) \mid n > 0\} \cup \{\text{zero}\} \cup \{\text{pred}^n(\text{zero}) \mid n > 0\}$$

is a set of representatives for $T(\Sigma, \emptyset) / \approx_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Example

Integers as a CADT

CADT: $\Gamma = \Sigma = \{\text{zero}, \text{succ}, \text{pred}\}$,
 $\mathcal{E} = \{\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x)) = x, \text{pred}(\text{succ}(x)) = x\}$

Implementation: $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{J})$ with

$\mathcal{J}(\text{zero}) = 0, \mathcal{J}(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1, \mathcal{J}(\text{pred})(x) = x - 1$

- $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{J})$ is a Σ -algebra
- All identities are valid (as seen before)
- An easy term induction shows for all $t \in T(\Sigma, \emptyset)$ that
 - if $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{zero}$ then $\mathcal{J}(t) = 0$,
 - if $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}^n(\text{zero})$ then $\mathcal{J}(t) = n$, and
 - if $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{pred}^n(\text{zero})$ then $\mathcal{J}(t) = -n$.

Hence, if $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$ then $\mathcal{J}(s) = \mathcal{J}(t)$.

Conversely, if $s \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$ then $\mathcal{J}(s) \neq \mathcal{J}(t)$ because \mathcal{J} maps different representatives to different integers.

Summary

Slogan

Calculating with ADT =
applying term operations +
determining set of representatives.