

# Foundations of Programming Languages and Software Engineering

Jan-Georg Smaus (Peter Thiemann)

Universität Freiburg

July 2011

- Abstract Data Types

# Abstract Data Types

- We have learned about different datastructures, e.g. for dictionaries:
  - Search trees
  - Lists
  - Tables with hashing
- Implementations of these concepts may have different characteristics:
  - Memory usage
  - Efficiency
- Implementations should be exchangeable
- Abstract over the concepts, use ADTs!
  - Functional specification
  - Implementation independent
  - Different implementations of a single ADT are possible

# ADTs are Special Signatures

## Definition

Let  $\Sigma$  be a signature.

- A  $\Sigma$ -identity is a pair  $(s, t) \in T(\Sigma, X) \times T(\Sigma, X)$ .  
We write a  $\Sigma$ -identity as  $s \approx t$  for emphasis.
- An ADT is a pair  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{E})$  where
  - $\Sigma$  is a signature,
  - $\mathcal{E} \subseteq T(\Sigma, X) \times T(\Sigma, X)$  is a set of  $\Sigma$ -identities.

## An ADT for natural numbers

$$\Sigma_{nat} = \{\text{zero}^{(0)}, \text{succ}^{(1)}\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{nat} = \emptyset$$

# Examples

## An ADT for natural numbers

$$\Sigma_{nat} = \{\text{zero}^{(0)}, \text{succ}^{(1)}\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{nat} = \emptyset$$

## An ADT for integers

$$\Sigma_{int} = \{\text{zero}^{(0)}, \text{pred}^{(1)}, \text{succ}^{(1)}\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{int} = \{\text{pred}(\text{succ}(x)) \approx x, \\ \text{succ}(\text{pred}(x)) \approx x\}$$

## Definition

- An identity  $s \approx t$  is **valid** in a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \mathcal{J})$  iff  $\mathcal{J}_\alpha(s) = \mathcal{J}_\alpha(t)$  for all variable assignments  $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$ .

# Datatypes are $\Sigma$ -Algebras

## Definition

- An identity  $s \approx t$  is **valid** in a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \mathcal{J})$  iff  $\mathcal{J}_\alpha(s) = \mathcal{J}_\alpha(t)$  for all variable assignments  $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$ .
  - A **datatype** is a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}$ .
  - A datatype  $\mathcal{D}$  **implements** the ADT  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{E})$  iff every identity  $s \approx t \in \mathcal{E}$  is valid in  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- (**Note:** We shall refine this definition later.)

# Implementations of the ADT for Naturals

## Implementation 1

- $\mathcal{Dnat}' = (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{J}')$ ,  $\mathcal{J}'(\text{zero}) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{J}'(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$   
(note that  $x$  is meta-notation!).
- No identities, so all are valid.
- The function  $\mathcal{J}'$  is bijective.



# Implementations of the ADT for Naturals

## Implementation 1

- $Dnat' = (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{J}')$ ,  $\mathcal{J}'(\text{zero}) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{J}'(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$   
(note that  $x$  is meta-notation!).
- No identities, so all are valid.
- The function  $\mathcal{J}'$  is bijective.

## Implementation 2

- $Dnat'' = (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \mathcal{J}'')$ ,  $\mathcal{J}''(\text{zero}) = 0$ ,  
 $\mathcal{J}''(\text{succ})(x) = (x + 1) \bmod 4$ .
- No identities, so all are valid.
- The function  $\mathcal{J}''$  is not injective (but surjective).  
 $\mathcal{J}''(\text{zero}) = 0 = \mathcal{J}''(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{zero}))))))$

## Implementation 1

- $Dint' = (\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{J}')$ ,  $\mathcal{J}'(\text{zero})() = 0$   
 $\mathcal{J}'(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$   
 $\mathcal{J}'(\text{pred})(x) = x - 1$
- For arbitrary  $\alpha : \{x\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  we have  
 $\mathcal{J}'_{\alpha}(\text{pred}(\text{succ}(x))) = (\alpha(x) + 1) - 1 = \mathcal{J}'_{\alpha}(x)$   
 $\mathcal{J}'_{\alpha}(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x))) = (\alpha(x) - 1) + 1 = \mathcal{J}'_{\alpha}(x)$
- $\mathcal{J}'$  is surjective but not injective. Consider  
 $\mathcal{J}'(\text{zero}) = 0 = \mathcal{J}'(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{zero})))$ .

## Implementation 2

- $\mathcal{Dint}'' = (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \mathcal{J}'')$   
 $\mathcal{J}''(\text{zero})() = 0$   
 $\mathcal{J}''(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1 \pmod{4}$   
 $\mathcal{J}''(\text{pred})(x) = x - 1 \pmod{4}$
- For arbitrary  $\alpha : \{x\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  we have  
 $\mathcal{J}''_{\alpha}(\text{pred}(\text{succ}(x))) = \mathcal{J}''_{\alpha}(x)$   
 $\mathcal{J}''_{\alpha}(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x))) = \mathcal{J}''_{\alpha}(x)$
- $\mathcal{J}''$  is surjective but not injective.

## A Non-implementation

- $Dint''' = (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{J}''')$

$$\mathcal{J}'''(\text{zero})() = 0$$

$$\mathcal{J}'''(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$$

$$\mathcal{J}'''(\text{pred})(x) = \begin{cases} x - 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

- Not an implementation:

For  $\alpha : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with  $\alpha(x) = 0$  we have

$$\mathcal{J}_\alpha(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x))) = 1 \neq 0 = \mathcal{J}_\alpha(x)$$

# Fixing the Problems

- Want to rule out implementations such as  $\mathcal{Dnat}'$  and  $\mathcal{Dint}'$
- Definition of “implementation” is too weak
- Needed: restriction on function  $\mathcal{J}$ 
  - $\mathcal{J}$  is not necessarily injective (see  $\mathcal{Dint}'$ )
  - Idea:  $\mathcal{J}$  must be injective on the equivalence classes induced by the identities of an ADT.  
In other words:  $\mathcal{J}$  should make those terms equal that are equal according to the identities of the ADT, but not more!

# Equivalence Classes

## Definition

Suppose  $R$  is an equivalence relation on some set  $M$ .

- The set  $[x]_R := \{y \in M \mid x R y\}$  is called the **equivalence class** of  $x$ .
- $y \in [x]_R$  is called a **representative** of  $[x]_R$ .
- The **quotient of  $M$  with respect to  $R$**  is the set of equivalence classes induced by  $R$ , written  $M/R := \{[x]_R \mid x \in M\}$ .

Note: For equivalence classes  $[x]_R$  and  $[y]_R$  we have either  $[x]_R = [y]_R$  or  $[x]_R \cap [y]_R = \emptyset$ .

# Congruence Relations

## Definition

Suppose  $\Sigma$  is a signature and let  $R$  be an equivalence relation on  $T(\Sigma, X)$ .

- $R$  is a **congruence relation** iff  $R$  is closed under  $\Sigma$ -operations, i.e.  $t_i R t'_i$  implies  $f(t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_n) R f(t_1, \dots, t'_i, \dots, t_n)$  for any  $n \geq 0$ ,  $f \in \Sigma^{(n)}$ , and  $t_1, \dots, t_n, t'_i \in T(\Sigma, X)$ .

# Syntactic Quotient Algebras

## Definition

Let  $\Sigma$  be a signature and  $R$  be a congruence on  $T(\Sigma, X)$ . For all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $f \in \Sigma^{(n)}$ , and  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T(\Sigma, X)$ , define  $\mathcal{J}^R$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}^R(f)([t_1]_R, \dots, [t_n]_R) = [f(t_1, \dots, t_n)]_R$$

## Note

- $(T(\Sigma, X)/_R, \mathcal{J}^R)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -algebra. Its carrier elements are sets of terms.
- The representatives are arbitrary: Let  $n \geq 0$ ,  $f \in \Sigma^{(n)}$ , and  $s_1, t_1, \dots, s_n, t_n \in T(\Sigma, X)$ . If  $s_1 R t_1, \dots, s_n R t_n$  then  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) R f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ . Hence,  $[f(s_1, \dots, s_n)]_R = [f(t_1, \dots, t_n)]_R$ , as  $R$  is a congruence.



## Definition

Let  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{E})$  be an ADT. We define a relation  $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$  on  $T(\Sigma, X)$  as the smallest relation such that

- $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$  is a congruence relation;
- $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$  contains  $\mathcal{E}$ , i.e.  $s \approx t \in \mathcal{E}$  implies  $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$ ;
- $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$  is closed under substitutions, i.e.  $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$  implies  $\sigma(s) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \sigma(t)$  for any substitution  $\sigma$  and all  $s, t \in T(\Sigma, X)$ .

# Example

## Congruence classes of $\approx_{\mathcal{E}_{int}}$

$$\Sigma_{int} = \{\text{zero}^{(0)}, \text{pred}^{(1)}, \text{succ}^{(1)}\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{int} = \{\text{pred}(\text{succ}(x)) \approx x, \\ \text{succ}(\text{pred}(x)) \approx x\}$$

$$[\text{zero}]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}_{int}}} = \{\text{zero}, \\ \text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{zero})), \\ \text{pred}(\text{succ}(\text{zero})), \\ \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{zero}))))), \dots\}$$

# Revised Definition for ADT Implementations

## Definition

A datatype  $\mathcal{D} = (M, \mathcal{J})$  implements ADT  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{E})$  with **constructors**  $\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma$  if

- $(M, \mathcal{J})$  is a  $\Sigma$ -algebra (as before)
- All identities from  $\mathcal{E}$  are valid in  $M$  (as before)
- For all  $s, t \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$ :  $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$  iff  $\mathcal{J}(s) = \mathcal{J}(t)$  (**new!**)

## Theorem

Let  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{E})$  be an ADT with constructors  $\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma$ . Then  $\mathcal{D} = (T(\Sigma, \emptyset) / \approx_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{J}^{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}})$  is an implementation of  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{E})$ .

*Proof.* Omitted

## Nat as a constructor-based ADT (CADT)

CADT:  $\Sigma = \{\text{zero}, \text{succ}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{E} = \{\}$ ,  $\Gamma = \Sigma$

Implementation:  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{J}_1)$  with  $\mathcal{J}_1(\text{zero})() = 0$  and  
 $\mathcal{J}_1(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$

- $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{J}_1)$  is  $\Sigma$ -algebra
- No identities to check
- Since  $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$ ,  $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$  is  $=$ . Suppose  $s, t \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$ .
  - If  $s = t$  then  $\mathcal{J}_1(s) = \mathcal{J}_1(t)$
  - Suppose  $s \neq t$ . Then  $s = \text{succ}^n(t)$  with  $n > 0$ . Hence,  
 $\mathcal{J}_1(s) = \mathcal{J}_1(t) + n \neq \mathcal{J}_1(t)$ .

# Example

## $\mathcal{Dint}''$ is not an implementation of the natural numbers

CADT:  $\Sigma = \{\text{zero}, \text{succ}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{E} = \{\}$ ,  $\Gamma = \Sigma$   
 $(\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \mathcal{J}'')$  with  $\mathcal{J}''(\text{zero})() = 0$ ,  
 $\mathcal{J}''(\text{succ})(x) = (x + 1) \bmod 4$  is **not** an implementation.

- $(\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \mathcal{J}'')$  is  $\Sigma$ -algebra
- No identities to check
- Since  $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$ ,  $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$  is  $=$ .

We have  $\text{zero} \neq \text{succ}^4(\text{zero})$  but  
 $\mathcal{J}''(\text{zero}) = 0 = \mathcal{J}''(\text{succ}^4(\text{zero}))$ .

## Alternative implementation of the natural numbers

CADT:  $\Sigma = \{\text{zero}, \text{succ}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{E} = \{\}$ ,  $\Gamma = \Sigma$

Implementation:  $(\{a\}^*, \mathcal{J}''')$  with  $\mathcal{J}'''(\text{zero})() = \epsilon$ ,  
 $\mathcal{J}'''(\text{succ})(w) = aw$

- $(\{a\}^*, \mathcal{J}''')$  is  $\Sigma$ -algebra
- No identities to check
- Since  $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$ ,  $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$  is =.
  - If  $s = t$  then  $\mathcal{J}'''(s) = \mathcal{J}'''(t)$
  - Suppose  $s \neq t$ . Then  $s = \text{succ}^n(t)$  with  $n > 0$ . Hence,  
 $\mathcal{J}'''(s) = \mathcal{J}'''(t) \underbrace{a \dots a}_n \neq \mathcal{J}'''(t)$ .

# Equivalence Classes for Terms Representing Integers

Suppose  $\Gamma = \Sigma = \{\text{zero}, \text{succ}, \text{pred}\}$ ,  
 $\mathcal{E} = \{\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x)) = x, \text{pred}(\text{succ}(x)) = x\}$

**Question:** What is  $T(\Sigma, \emptyset) / \approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ ?

**Answer:** Give a representative for every equivalence class.

## Lemma

For every term  $t \in T(\Sigma, \emptyset)$ , exactly one of the following propositions holds

- A There exists  $n > 0$  such that  $t \in [\text{succ}^n(\text{zero})]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}$ .
- B  $t \in [\text{zero}]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}$ .
- C There exists  $n > 0$  such that  $t \in [\text{pred}^n(\text{zero})]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}$ .



The proof is by term induction over  $t$ .

- Base case:  $t = \text{zero}$ . Then **B** holds.

# Proof (cont.)

- Induction Step for  $t = \text{succ}(t')$ . By the IH, one of the following holds for  $t'$ :

**A**  $t' \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}^{(n)}(\text{zero})$  for  $n > 0$ : then  
 $\text{succ}(t') \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}(\text{succ}^{(n)}(\text{zero})) = \text{succ}^{(n+1)}(\text{zero})$ .

Since  $n + 1 > 0$  we have case **A**.

**B**  $t' \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{zero}$ : then  $\text{succ}(t') \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}(\text{zero})$ . We have case **A** with  $n = 1$ .

**C**  $t' \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{pred}^{(n)}(\text{zero})$  for  $n > 0$ : Then  
 $\text{succ}(t') \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}(\text{pred}^{(n)}(\text{zero}))$ .

If  $n = 1$  then  $\text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{zero})) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{zero}$  so case **B** holds.

If  $n > 1$  then

$\text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}^{(n-1)}(\text{zero}))) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{pred}^{(n-1)}(\text{zero})$ , so case **C** holds.

- Induction step for  $\text{pred}(t)$  analogous.

# Equivalence Classes for Terms Representing Integers

## Lemma

Suppose  $n > 0, m > 0$ . Then we have

- $\text{zero} \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}^n(\text{zero})$ ,
- $\text{zero} \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{pred}^n(\text{zero})$ ,
- $\text{succ}^n(\text{zero}) \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{pred}^m(\text{zero})$ ,
- $\text{succ}^n(\text{zero}) \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}^m(\text{zero})$  provided  $n \neq m$ , and
- $\text{pred}^n(\text{zero}) \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{pred}^m(\text{zero})$  provided  $n \neq m$ .

It follows that

$$\{\text{succ}^n(\text{zero}) \mid n > 0\} \cup \{\text{zero}\} \cup \{\text{pred}^n(\text{zero}) \mid n > 0\}$$

is a set of representatives for  $T(\Sigma, \emptyset) / \approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ .

# Example

## Integers as a CADT

CADT:  $\Gamma = \Sigma = \{\text{zero}, \text{succ}, \text{pred}\},$

$\mathcal{E} = \{\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x)) = x, \text{pred}(\text{succ}(x)) = x\}$

Implementation:  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{J})$  with

$\mathcal{J}(\text{zero}) = 0, \mathcal{J}(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1, \mathcal{J}(\text{pred})(x) = x - 1$

- $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{J})$  is a  $\Sigma$ -algebra
- All identities are valid (as seen before)
- An easy term induction shows for all  $t \in T(\Sigma, \emptyset)$  that
  - if  $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{zero}$  then  $\mathcal{J}(t) = 0,$
  - if  $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{succ}^n(\text{zero})$  then  $\mathcal{J}(t) = n,$  and
  - if  $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{pred}^n(\text{zero})$  then  $\mathcal{J}(t) = -n.$

Hence, if  $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$  then  $\mathcal{J}(s) = \mathcal{J}(t).$

Conversely, if  $s \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$  then  $\mathcal{J}(s) \neq \mathcal{J}(t)$  because  $\mathcal{J}$  maps different representatives to different integers.

## Slogan

Calculating with ADT =  
applying term operations +  
determining set of representatives.