


9 Dynamic tables

Summer Term 2011

Jan-Georg Smaus

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



Dynamic tables

Problem:


- Maintenance of a table under the operations **insert** and **delete** such that
 - the table size can be adjusted to the number of elements
 - a fixed portion of the table is always filled with elements
 - the costs for n insert or delete operations are in $O(n)$.

Organisation of the table: hash table, heap, stack, etc.

Load factor α_T : fraction of table spaces of T which are occupied.


Cost model:

- Insertion or deletion of an element causes cost 1, if the table is not filled yet.
- If the table size is changed, all elements must be copied.



Initialisation


```
class dynamicTable {
    private int [] table;
    private int size;
    private int num;
    dynamicTable () {
        table = new int [1]; // initialize empty table
        size = 1;
        num = 0;
    }
}
```



Expansion strategy: insert

Double the table size whenever an element is inserted in the fully occupied table!

```
public void insert (int x) {
    if (num == size) {
        int[] newTable = new int[2*size];
        for (int i=0; i < size; i++)
            insert table[i] in newTable;
        table = newTable;
        size = 2*size;
    }
    insert x in table;
    num = num + 1;
}
```



Insert operation in an initially empty table

t_i = cost of the i -th insert operation



Worst case:

- $t_i = 1$, if the table was not full before operation i
- $t_i = (i - 1) + 1$, if the table was full before operation i

Hence, n insert operations require costs of at most


$$\sum_{i=1}^n (i) = O(n^2)$$

Amortized worst case:
Aggregate analysis, accounting method, **potential method**

Amortized cost

- Let the **real** cost of the i th insertion be t_i . We want to define the **amortized** cost a_i such that

$$\sum a_i \geq \sum t_i \in O(n).$$


Potential method

T table with

- $k = T.num$ elements and
- $s = T.size$ spaces

Potential function

$$\phi(T) = 2k - s$$

We also write ϕ_i for $\phi(T)$ after the i th insert operation.

We now define $a_i = \phi_i - \phi_{i-1} + t_i$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 7

Properties of the potential function

Properties

- $\phi_0 = \phi(T_0) = \phi(\text{empty table}) = -1$
- For all $i \geq 1$: $\phi_i = \phi(T_i) \geq 0$
Since $\phi_i - \phi_0 \geq 0$, $\sum a_i$ is an upper bound for $\sum t_i$
- Directly before an expansion, $k = s$, hence $\phi(T) = k - s$.
- Directly after an expansion, $k = s/2$, hence $\phi(T) = 2k - s = 0$.

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 8

Amortized cost of insert (1)

$k_i = \#$ elements in T after the i -th operation
 $s_i =$ table size of T after the i -th operation

Case 1: [i -th operation does not trigger an expansion]

$$a_i = \phi_i - \phi_{i-1} + t_i = (2k_i - s_i) - (2k_{i-1} - s_{i-1}) + t_i =$$

$$2(k_i - k_{i-1}) - (s_i - s_{i-1}) + t_i =$$

$$2 - 0 + 1 \leq 3.$$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 9

Amortized cost of insert (2)

Case 2: [i -th operation triggers an expansion]

$$a_i = \phi_i - \phi_{i-1} + t_i = (2k_i - s_i) - (2k_{i-1} - s_{i-1}) + t_i =$$

$$2(k_i - k_{i-1}) - (s_i - s_{i-1}) + t_i =$$

$$2(1) - (2s_{i-1} - s_{i-1}) + t_i =$$

$$2 - s_{i-1} + t_i =$$

$$2 - (i-1) + t_i \leq 3.$$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 10

Insertion and deletion of elements

Now: contract table, if the load is too small!

Goals:

- Load factor is always bounded below by a constant
- Amortized cost of a single insert or delete operation is constant.

First attempt:

- Expansion: same as before
- Contraction: halve the table size as soon as table is less than $1/2$ occupied (after the deletion)!

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 11

„Bad“ sequence of insert and delete operations

$n/2$ times insert (table fully occupied)		Cost $3n/2$
I: expansion		$n/2 + 1$
D, D: contraction		$n/2 + 1$
I, I: expansion		$n/2 + 1$
D, D: contraction		

Total cost of the sequence
 $In/2, I, D, D, I, I, D, D, \dots$ of length n : $\Omega(n^2)$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 12

Second attempt

Expansion: (as before) double the table size, if an element is inserted in the full table.

Contraction: As soon as the load factor is below $\frac{1}{4}$, halve the table size.

Hence:
At least $\frac{1}{4}$ of the table is always occupied, i.e.
 $\frac{1}{4} \leq \alpha(T) \leq 1$

Cost of a sequence of insert and delete operations?

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 13

Analysis: insert and delete

$k = T.num, s = T.size, \alpha = k/s$

Potential function ϕ

$$\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 2k - s, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1/2 \\ s/2 - k, & \text{if } \alpha < 1/2 \end{cases}$$

Homework: Check $\sum a_i \geq \sum t_i$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 14

Analysis: insert and delete

$$\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 2k - s, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1/2 \\ s/2 - k, & \text{if } \alpha < 1/2 \end{cases}$$

Directly after an expansion or contraction of the table:
 $s = 2k$, hence $\phi(T) = 0$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 15

insert

i -th operation: $k_i = k_{i-1} + 1$

Case 1: $\alpha_{i-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}$

Case 2: $\alpha_{i-1} < \frac{1}{2}$

Case 2.1: $\alpha_i < \frac{1}{2}$

Case 2.2: $\alpha_i \geq \frac{1}{2}$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 16

insert

Case 2.1: $\alpha_{i-1} < \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_i < \frac{1}{2}$ (no expansion)

Potential function ϕ

$$\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 2k - s, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1/2 \\ s/2 - k, & \text{if } \alpha < 1/2 \end{cases}$$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 17

insert

Case 2.2: $\alpha_{i-1} < \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_i \geq \frac{1}{2}$ (no expansion)

Potential function ϕ

$$\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 2k - s, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1/2 \\ s/2 - k, & \text{if } \alpha < 1/2 \end{cases}$$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 18

delete

$k_i = k_{i-1} - 1$

Case 1: $\alpha_{i-1} < 1/2$

Case 1.1: deletion causes no contraction
 $s_i = s_{i-1}$

Potential function ϕ

$$\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 2k - s, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1/2 \\ s/2 - k, & \text{if } \alpha < 1/2 \end{cases}$$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 19

delete

$k_i = k_{i-1} - 1$

Case 1: $\alpha_{i-1} < 1/2$

Case 1.2: $\alpha_{i-1} < 1/2$ deletion causes a contraction
 $2s_i = s_{i-1}$
 $k_{i-1} = s_{i-1}/4$

Potential function ϕ

$$\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 2k - s, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1/2 \\ s/2 - k, & \text{if } \alpha < 1/2 \end{cases}$$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 20

delete

Case 2: $\alpha_{i-1} \geq 1/2$ no contraction
 $s_i = s_{i-1} \quad k_i = k_{i-1} - 1$

Case 2.1: $\alpha_{i-1} \geq 1/2$

Potential function ϕ

$$\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 2k - s, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1/2 \\ s/2 - k, & \text{if } \alpha < 1/2 \end{cases}$$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 21

delete

Case 2: $\alpha_{i-1} \geq 1/2$ no contraction
 $s_i = s_{i-1} \quad k_i = k_{i-1} - 1$

Case 2.2: $\alpha_i < 1/2$

Potential function ϕ

$$\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 2k - s, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1/2 \\ s/2 - k, & \text{if } \alpha < 1/2 \end{cases}$$

07.06.2011 Theory 1 - Hashing: Open Addressing 22