

Introduction Modalities

Modalities and modal logic

#### What is a modality?

### 1: ...

**2:** the classification of logical propositions according to their asserting or denying the possibility, impossibility, contingency, or necessity of their content

3/4: ...

(from Merriam-Webster's Online Dictionary)

### Introduction to Modal Logic May 2, 2011 — Introduction Introduction Modalities History of modal logic What is modal logic? Examples of modal logics What is modal logic? (rev'd) Modal logic in CS and AI Topics Organization Time, Location, Web Lecturers Exercises Literature Course goals Modal Logic Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) May 2, 2011 2 / 31

Introduction Modalities

Modalities and modal logic

#### Modal logic?

Modal logic can be viewed broadly as the logic of different sorts of modalities, or modes of truth: alethic ("necessarily"), epistemic ("it is known that"), deontic ("it ought to be the case that"), or temporal ("it has been the case that") among others ... In the strict sense however, the term "modal logic" is reserved for the logic of the alethic modalities.

(from Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy)

#### Introduction History of modal logic

## History of modal logic -1

- Modal-logical principles presumably first discussed in a systematic way by Aristotle in *De Interpretatione* For example:
  - "Necessity implies possibility"
  - Inter-definability of "it is possible that" and "it is necessary that"
  - Logical laws of modalities
- Contributions by the Megarians, the Stoics, Ockham, and Pseudo-Scotus
- Leibniz introduced the concept of possible world

Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

Modal Logic

Introduction History of modal logic

# History of modal logic -3

#### Thomas on determinism

(interpretation by Plantinga, The nature of necessity, 1974):

In *Summa Contra Gentiles*, St. Thomas considers the question whether God's foreknowledge of human action—a foreknowledge that consists, according to St. Thomas, in God's simply seeing the relevant action's taking place—is consistent with human freedom. In this connection he inquires into the truth of

(13) What is seen to be sitting is necessarily sitting.

For suppose at  $t_1$  God sees Theatetus is sitting at  $t_2$ . If (13) is true, then presumably Theatetus is necessarily sitting at  $t_2$ , in which case he was not free, at that time, to do anything but sit. St. Thomas concludes that (13) is true taken de dicto but false taken de re; that is

(13') It is necessarily true that whatever is seen to be sitting is sitting

is true but

(13") Whatever is seen to be sitting has the property of sitting necessarily or essentially

is false. The deterministic argument, however, requires the truth of (13"); and hence that argument fails.

May 2, 2011

5 / 31

# History of modal logic -2

#### Anselm's argument for the existence of God (*Proslogion*, 1077/78):

And indeed we believe you are something greater than which cannot be thought (*"id, quo nihil maius cogitari potest"*). Or is there no such kind of thing, for "the fool said in his heart, 'there is no God" '...? But certainly that same fool, having heard what I just said, "something greater than which cannot be thought," understands what he heard, and what he understands is in his thought, even if he does not think it exists ...

In fact, it so undoubtedly exists that it cannot be thought of as not existing. For one can think there exists something that cannot be thought of as not existing, and that would be greater than something which can be thought of as not existing. For if that greater than which cannot be thought can be thought of as not existing, then that greater than which cannot be thought is not that greater than which cannot be thought, which does not make sense. Thus that greater than which nothing can be thought so undoubtedly exists that it cannot even be thought of as not existing.

Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

Modal Logic

May 2, 2011 6 / 31

Introduction History of modal logic

# History of modal logic – 4

- In the 1910s C. I. Lewis investigated modal logic as a possibility to introduce a strengthening of material implication.
- First semantics of modal logic introduced by A. Tarski (topological semantics)
- R. Carnap's suggestion (1942, 1947): Let M be a set of state descriptions. Then 'Necessarily p' is true in s if and only if p is true in every state description in M.
- ► A. Prior (1957): 'It was once the case that p' is true at instant t if and only if there is an instant t' earlier than t s.t. p is true at t'.
- S. Kripke (1959) introduced the concept of accessibility relation defined on (possible) worlds. 'Necessarily p' is true in w if and only if p is true in every world w' accessible from w.

Modal Logic













Introduction What is modal logic? (rev'd)

Relational structures

#### Slogan

Modal languages are simple yet expressive languages for talking about relational structures. [BRV02]

- Modal languages extend propositional logic by further connectives (boxes  $\Box$  and diamonds  $\diamondsuit$ )
- ... which are semantically characterized in terms of relational structures (e.g., linear orders, transition systems)



Introduction What is modal logic? (rev'd) Locality Slogan Modal languages provide an internal, local perspective on relational structures. [BRV02] Modal formulae are evaluated at a state / possible world in a relational structure / transition system • ... and for evaluating a formulae only those states are relevant that are accessible by a transition in the system Wölfl (Universität Freiburg) Modal Logic May 2, 2011



## Topics

- 1. Overview on diverse modal logics
- 2. The modal logic S5: From propositional to modal logic
- 3. Modal logic, language and semantics: the general setting
- 4. Bisimulation and expressivity
- 5. Completeness and compactness
- 6. Complexity of reasoning in modal logics
- 7. Decision procedures for modal logics
- 8. Doxastic and epistemic modal logics
- 9. Temporal logics
- 10. Propositional dynamic logic
- 11. Fixpoint logics, model  $\mu$ -calculus
- 12. Description logics
- 13. CTL and model checking
- 14. Modal first-order logics

#### Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

Modal Logic

Organization Lecturers

#### Lecturers

#### PD Dr. Jan-Georg Smaus

Room 52-00-042 Consultation: by appointment Phone: 0761/203-8251 Email: smaus@informatik.uni-freiburg.de

#### Dr. Stefan Wölfl

Room 52-00-043 Consultation: by appointment Phone: 0761/203-8228 *Email:* woelfl@informatik.uni-freiburg.de Lectures: Where, When, Web Page

Where 101. Room 01-018

#### When

Monday: 10:15-12:00 Wednesday: 10:15–11:00 (+ exercises: 11:15–12:00)

Summer Term Holidays June 13, 2011 - June 17, 2011

#### Web Page

http://www.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/~ki/teaching/ss11/ml/

Wölfl (Universität Freiburg)

Modal Logic

May 2, 2011 26 / 31

Organization Exercises

## Exercises

#### Who

Robert Mattmüller Room 52-00-045 *Consultation:* by appointment Phone: 0761/203-8229 *Email:* mattmuel@informatik.uni-freiburg.de

#### Where

101, Room 01-018

# When

Wednesday, 11:15-12:00

May 2, 2011

25 / 31





Organization Course goals

# Course Prerequisites & Goals

#### Goals

- Acquiring in-depth knowledge on modal logics and related families of logics, "applications" of modal logics in AI, proof techniques, relationship between expressiveness and complexity of logical formalisms, ...
- Understanding the principles behind techniques for solving reasoning problems in modal logics
- Being able to read and understand research literature
- Being able to complete a thesis in this research area

### Prerequisites

- Basic knowledge in the area of AI
- Basic knowledge in formal logic
- Basic knowledge in theoretical computer science