Foundations of AI

9. Statistical Machine Learning

Bayesian Learning and Why Learning Works *Wolfram Burgard, Andreas Karwath, Bernhard Nebel, and Martin Riedmiller*

Contents

Statistical learning

Why learning works

Statistical Learning Methods

- In MDPs probability and utility theory allow agents to deal with uncertainty.
- To apply these techniques, however, the agents must first learn their probabilistic theories of the world from experience.
- We will discuss statistical learning methods as robust ways to learn probabilistic models.

An Example for Statistical Learning

- The key concepts are data (evidence) and hypotheses.
- A candy manufacturer sells five kinds of bags that are indistinguishable from the outside:

 h_1 : 100% cherry

- h₂: 75% cherry and 25% lime
- h₃: 50% cherry and 50% lime
- h₄: 25% cherry and 75% lime

h₅: 100% lime

 Given a sequence d₁, ..., d_N of candies observed, what is the most likely flavor of the next piece of candy?

Bayesian Learning

- Calculates the probability of each hypothesis, given the data.
- It then makes predictions using all hypotheses weighted by their probabilities (instead of a single best hypothesis).
- Learning is reduced to probabilistic inference.

Application of Bayes Rule

- Let *D* represent all the data with observed value *d*.
- The probability of each hypothesis is obtained by Bayes rule:

$$P(h_i \mid \mathbf{d}) = \alpha P(\mathbf{d} \mid h_i) P(h_i)$$

- The manufacturer tells us that the prior distribution over h₁, ..., h₅ is given by <.1, .2, .4, .2, .1>
- We compute the likelihood of the data under the assumption that the observations are independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.):

$$P(\mathbf{d} \mid h_i) = \prod_j P(d_j \mid h_i)$$

9/6

How to Make Predictions?

 Suppose we want to make predictions about an unknown quantity X given the data d.

$$\mathbf{P}(X \mid \mathbf{d}) = \sum_{i} \mathbf{P}(X \mid h_i, \mathbf{d}) \mathbf{P}(h_i \mid \mathbf{d})$$
$$= \sum_{i} \mathbf{P}(X \mid h_i) \mathbf{P}(h_i \mid \mathbf{d})$$

- Predictions are weighted averages over the predictions of the individual hypotheses.
- The key quantities are the hypothesis prior
 P(h_i) and the likelihood P(d/h_i) of the data under
 each hypothesis.

Example

- Suppose the bag is an all-lime bag (h₅)
- The first 10 candies are all lime.
- Then $P(d/h_3)$ is 0.5¹⁰ because half the candies in an h_3 bag are lime.
- Evolution of the five hypotheses given 10 lime candies were observed (the values start at the prior!).



Observations

- The true hypothesis often dominates the Bayesian prediction.
- For any fixed prior that does not rule out the true hypothesis, the posterior of any false hypothesis will eventually vanish.
- The Bayesian prediction is optimal and, given the hypothesis prior, any other prediction will be correct less often.
- It comes at a price that the hypothesis space can be very large or infinite.

Maximum a Posteriori (MAP)

- A common approximation is to make predictions based on a single most probable hypothesis.
- The maximum a posteriori (MAP) hypothesis is the one that maximizes $P(h_i/d)$.

 $\mathbf{P}(X \mid \mathbf{d}) \approx \mathbf{P}(X \mid h_{MAP})$

- In the candy example, $h_{MAP} = h_5$ after three lime candies in a row.
- The MAP learner the predicts that the fourth candy is lime with probability 1.0, whereas the Bayesian prediction is still 0.8.
- As more data arrive, MAP and Bayesian predictions become closer.
- Finding MAP hypotheses is often much easier than Bayesian learning.

Maximum-Likelihood Hypothesis (ML)

- A final simplification is to assume a uniform prior over the hypothesis space.
- In that case MAP-learning reduces to choosing the hypothesis that maximizes $P(d/h_i)$.
- This hypothesis is called the maximumlikelihood hypothesis (ML).
- ML-learning is a good approximation to MAP learning and Bayesian learning when there is a uniform prior and when the data set is large.

Why Learning Works

How can we decide that *h* is close to *f* when *f* is unknown?

→ Probably approximately correct

Idea: Any wrong hypothesis will be found out after a reasonable number of examples, since it makes a wrong prediction.

Add: "with high probability"

Stationarity as the basic assumption of PAC-Learning: training and test sets are selected from the same population of examples with the same probability distribution.

Some Notation

Key question: how many examples do we need?

- *X* Set of examples
- *D* Distribution from which the examples are drawn
- *H* Hypothesis space $(f \in H)$
- *m* Number of examples in the training set

$$error(h) = P(h(x) \neq f(x) \mid x \text{ drawn from } D) \leq \epsilon$$

PAC-Learning

A hypothesis h is approximately correct if $error(h) \leq \epsilon$.

To show: After the training period with *m* examples, with high probability, all consistent hypotheses are approximately correct.



How high is the probability that a wrong hypothesis $h_b \in H_{bad}$ is consistent with the first *m* examples?

Sample Complexity

Assumption: $error(h) > \epsilon \implies$ $P(h_h \text{ is consistent with 1 example}) \leq (1-\epsilon)$ $P(h_h \text{ is consistent with } N \text{ examples}) \leq (1 - \epsilon)^N$ $P(H_{bad} \text{ contains a consistent } h) \leq |H_{bad}|(1-\epsilon)^N$ Since $|H_{had}| \leq |H|$ $P(H_{bad} \text{ contains a consistent } h) \leq |H|(1-\epsilon)^N$ We want to limit this probability by some small number δ : $|H|(1-\epsilon)^N < \delta$

Since $(1 - \epsilon) \le e^{-\epsilon}$, we derive $N \ge \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\log \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right) + \log |H| \right)$

Sample Complexity: Number of required examples, as a function of ϵ and δ .

Sample Complexity (2)

Example: Boolean functions

The number of Boolean functions over *n* attributes is $|H| = 2^{2^n}$.

The sample complexity therefore grows as 2^n .

Since the number of possible examples is also 2^{*n*}, any learning algorithm for the space of all Boolean functions will do no better than a lookup table, if it merely returns a hypothesis that is consistent with all known examples.

PAC-Learnable

Definition: Consider a concept class F over a set of instances X and a Learner using hypothesis space H. F is PAC-learnable by L using H, if for all f in F, distributions D over X, ε and δ , learner L will with probability at least (1- δ) output a hypothesis h in H such that error_D(h) $\leq \varepsilon$, in time that is polynomial in (1\ ε), (1\ δ), n, and size(h).

From T. Mitchell, Machine Learning

Learning from Decision Lists

In comparison to decision trees:

- The overall structure is simpler
- The individual tests are more complex



This represents the hypothesis

 H_4 : $\forall x WillWait(x) \Leftrightarrow Patrons(x, some) \lor [Patrons(x, full) \land Fri/Sat(x)]$

If we allow tests of arbitrary size, then any Boolean function can be represented.

k-DL: Language with tests of length $\leq k$.

Note: k-DL includes decision trees of depth at most k.

Learnability of k-DL

function DECISION-LIST-LEARNING(examples) returns a decision list, No or failure

if examples is empty then return the value No
t ← a test that matches a nonempty subset examples, of examples such that the members of examples, are all positive or all negative
if there is no such t then return failure
if the examples in examples, are positive then o ← Yes
else o ← No
return a decision list with initial test t and outcome o and remaining elements given by DECISION-LIST-LEARNING(examples - examples,)

 $| k-DL(n) | \leq 3^{|Conj(n,k)|} | Conj(n,k)! |$ (Yes,No,no-Test,all permutations)

$$|Conj(n,k)| = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{2n}{i} = O(n^k)$$

(Combination without repeating pos/neg attributes)

 $| k-DL(n) |= 2^{O(n^k \log(n^k))}$ (with Euler's summation formula) $m \ge \frac{1}{\epsilon} (ln(\frac{1}{\delta}) + O(n^k \log(n^k)))$

Summary (Statistical Learning Methods)

- Bayesian learning techniques formulate learning as a form of probabilistic inference.
- Maximum a posteriori (MAP) learning selects the most likely hypothesis given the data.
- Maximum likelihood learning selects the hypothesis that maximizes the likelihood of the data.

Summary (Statistical Learning Theory)

Inductive learning as learning the representation of a function from example input/output pairs.

- Decision trees learn deterministic Boolean functions.
- PAC learning deals with the complexity of learning.
- Decision lists as functions that are easy to learn.