Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning

B. Nebel, M. Helmert, S. WölflG. RögerSummer Semester 2008

University of Freiburg Department of Computer Science

Exercise Sheet 8 Due: June 24, 2008

Exercise 8.1 (Cumulative and Preferential Consequences, 4 marks)

For each of the parts of this exercise, provide a (different!) example of a set of plausible consequences **K** and a plausible consequence $\varphi \succ \psi$ with the given property, and prove that your answer is correct (by giving derivations and/or counterexamples as appropriate). If the property does not hold for any set **K** and formulae φ and ψ , prove this.

- (a) **K** implies $\varphi \succ \psi$ in system **C** and in system **P**.
- (b) **K** implies $\varphi \succ \psi$ in system **C**, but not in system **P**.
- (c) **K** implies $\varphi \succ \psi$ in system **P**, but not in system **C**.
- (d) **K** does not imply $\varphi \succ \psi$ in either system **C** or system **P**.

Exercise 8.2 (ϵ -Entailment, 6 marks)

Which of the following proof rules are correct for ϵ -entailment? Give a proof using the definition of ϵ -entailment or a counterexample. (Do not use the theorem that links ϵ -entailment to preferential reasoning – the point of this exercise it to provide part of the proof for that theorem.)

(a) Or:
$$\frac{\alpha \succ \gamma, \quad \beta \succ \gamma}{\alpha \lor \beta \succ \gamma}$$

- (b) Monotonicity: $\frac{\models \alpha \to \beta, \quad \beta \models \gamma}{\alpha \models \gamma}$
- (c) Cautious Monotonicity: $\frac{\alpha \succ \beta, \quad \alpha \succ \gamma}{\alpha \land \beta \succ \gamma}$