## Implementation for big state spaces

- Like binary decision diagrams (BDDs) can be used in implementing algorithms that use strong/weak preimages, there are data structures that can be used for implementing probabilistic algorithms for big state spaces.
- Problem: algorithms do not use just sets and relations, but value functions $v: S \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ and non-binary transition matrices.
- Solution: Use a generalization of BDDs called algebraic decision diagrams (or MTBDDs: multi-terminal BDDs.)
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## An algebraic decision diagram



ADD represents a mapping $A B A^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$

|  | $A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ | $A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ | $A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ | $A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A B$ | 00 | 01 | 10 | 11 |
| 00 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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## Algebraic decision diagrams

- Graph representation of functions from $\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ that generalizes BDDs (BDDs are functions $\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ )
- Every BDD is an ADD.
- Canonicity: Two ADDs describe the same function if and only if they are the same ADD.
- Applications: Computations on very big matrices including computing steady-state probabilities of Markov chains; probabilistic verification; Al planning

Operations on ADDs: sum, product, maximum, ...
Arithmetic operations as $(f \odot g)(x)=f(x) \odot g(x)$ for every $x$.

| $A B C$ | $f$ | $g$ | $f+g$ | $\max (f, g)$ | $7 \cdot f$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 000 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 001 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| 010 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 011 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 14 |
| 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 101 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| 110 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| 111 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 21 |

Operations on ADDs: sum
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Operations on ADDs: arithmetic $\exists$ abstraction
$(\exists p . f)(x)=(f[\top / p])(x)+(f[\perp / p])(x)$

| $A B C$ | $f$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 000 | 0 |

001 1
$010 \quad 1$
$011 \quad 2$
100
101 2
110 2
111 3

$$
\begin{array}{ll|l} 
& & A B \\
\exists C . f \text { is obtained by summing } & \exists 0 & 1 \\
\text { and } f\left(x^{\prime}\right) \text { when } x \text { and } x^{\prime} & 01 & 3 \\
\text { differ only on } C \text { : } & 10 & 3 \\
& 11 & 5
\end{array}
$$

## Operations on ADDs: maximum



Matrix multiplication with ADDs (I)
Consider matrices $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, represented as mappings:
$\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)$

| $A A^{\prime}$ | $M_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 1 |
| 01 | 2 |
| 10 | 3 |
| 11 | 4 |


| $A^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime}$ | $M_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 1 |
| 01 | 2 |
| 10 | 2 |
| 11 | 1 |

Matrix multiplication with ADDs (II)

| $A A^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime}$ | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ | $M_{1} \cdot M_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 000 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 001 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 010 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 011 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 100 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| 101 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| 110 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| 111 | 4 | 1 | 4 |

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
3 & 4
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
5 & 4 \\
11 & 10
\end{array}\right)
$$
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## Translation of nondet. operators to ADDs

Operator $o=\langle c, e\rangle$ in NF1 is translated to $T_{o}=c \wedge \mathrm{PL}_{P}(e)$.
Nondeterministic choice and outermost conjunctions are by arithmetic sum and multiplication.
$\mathrm{PL}_{B}(e)=$ when $e$ is deterministic translated like in Lecture 6, but restricted to state variables in the set $B$
$\mathrm{PL}_{B}\left(p_{1} e_{1}|\cdots| p_{n} e_{n}\right)=p_{1} \mathrm{PL}_{B}\left(e_{1}\right)+\cdots+p_{n} \mathrm{PL}_{B}\left(e_{n}\right)$
$\mathrm{PL}_{B}\left(e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n}\right)=\mathrm{PL}_{B \backslash\left(B_{2} \cup \ldots \cup B_{n}\right)}\left(e_{1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{PL}_{B_{2}}\left(e_{2}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathrm{PL}_{B_{n}}\left(e_{n}\right)$ where $B_{i}=\operatorname{changes}\left(e_{i}\right)$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$

## Implementation of Value Iteration with ADDs

- Start from $\langle P, I, O, R, \emptyset\rangle$.
- Propositions in ADDs $P$ and $P^{\prime}=\left\{p^{\prime} \mid p \in P\right\}$.
- Construct transition matrix ADDs from all $o \in O$ (next slide).
- Construct ADDs for representing reward functions $R(o), o \in O$.
- Functions $v^{i}$ are ADDs that map valuations of $P$ to $\mathcal{R}$.
- All computation is for all states (one ADD) simultaneously: big speed-ups possible.


## Translation of reward functions to ADDs

For $o=\langle c, e\rangle \in O$ reward $R(o)=\left\{\left\langle\phi_{1}, r_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle\phi_{n}, r_{n}\right\rangle\right\}$.
Reward ADD $R_{o}$ maps each state to a real number.
Construct the BDDs for $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$ and multiply with the respective rewards:

$$
R_{o}=r_{1} \cdot \phi_{1}+\cdots+r_{n} \cdot \phi_{n}-\infty \cdot \neg c
$$

## The Value Iteration algorithm: without ADDs

1. Assign $n:=0$ and (arbitrary) initial values to $v^{0}(s)$ for all $s \in S$.
2. 

$v^{n+1}(s):=\max _{a \in A(s)}\left(R(s, a)+\sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} \lambda p\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right) v^{n}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for every $s \in S$
If $\left|v^{n+1}(s)-v^{n}(s)\right|<\frac{\epsilon(1-\lambda)}{2 \lambda}$ for all $s \in S$ then stop.
Otherwise, set $n:=n+1$ and repeat step 2 .
$\qquad$

The Value Iteration algorithm: with ADDs

1. Assign $n:=0$ and let $v^{n}$ be an ADD that is constant 0 .
2. 

$$
v^{n+1}:=\max _{\langle c, e\rangle=o \in O}\left(R_{o}+\lambda \cdot \exists P^{\prime} \cdot\left(T_{o} \cdot\left(v^{n}\left[P^{\prime} / P\right]\right)\right)\right.
$$

(Unsatisfied preconditions are handled by the immediate rewards $-\infty$.)
If all terminal nodes of ADD $\left|v^{n+1}-v^{n}\right|$ are $<\frac{\epsilon(1-\lambda)}{2 \lambda}$ then stop. Otherwise, set $n:=n+1$ and repeat step 2.

