## Course outline: Principles of AI Planning

| lecturer: | Dr. Jussi Rintanen |
| :---: | :---: |
| email: | rintanen@informatik.uni-freiburg.de |
| web page: | http://www.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/^ki/lehre/ss04/aip/ |
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| lecture hall: | SR 00-010/14, Building 101 |
| textbook: | No. Lecture notes available from web page. |
| language: | English and German |
| exam: | Wednesday July 21st ??? (to be decided later) |
| grade: | $0.85 \times$ exam $+0.15 \times$ exercises |
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language: English and German
exam:
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## What is the course about?

Different variants of planning:

- deterministic vs. nondeterministic actions
- full observability vs. partial observability
- objectives:
- plans with success probability 1.0
- plans with maximum expected success probability
- plans with maximum expected rewards


## What is the course about?

- question: What actions to take to reach the goals?
- general-purpose problem representation and general-purpose algorithms
- application areas:
- problem-solving (single-agent games like Rubik's cube etc.)
- high-level planning for intelligent robots
- autonomous systems: NASA Deep Space One


## What is the course about?

Algorithms for deterministic planning:

- progression, regression
- heuristic search
- translation to propositional logic
- other approaches (e.g. partial-order planning)
- pruning techniques: e.g. symmetry


## What is the course about?

Algorithms for nondeterministic planning:

- conditional planning
- iterative algorithms for probabilistic planning (MDPs)
- extension of the techniques to very big state spaces with binary decision diagrams and related data structures.
- partial observability
$\qquad$


## Contents of the first lectures

1. transition systems
2. reachability in transition systems in terms of matrices (basis for BDD-based techniques that are discussed later)
3. representation of states in terms of state variables
4. operators
5. the standard input language for planners PDDL

## Transition systems

- Model the dynamics of the world/system/application.
- Are formalized as $\left\langle S,\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}\right\rangle$ where
- $S$ is a finite set of states,
- every action $a_{i} \subseteq S \times S$ is a binary relation on $S$.
- First we restrict to $a_{i}$ that are (partial) functions from $S$ to $S$ : for every $s \in S,\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \in a_{i}$ for at most one $s^{\prime} \in S$.


## Actions as matrices

1. If there are $n$ states, each action corresponds to a $n \times n$ matrix: Element at row $i$ and column $j$ is 1 if the action maps state $i$ to state $j$.
For deterministic actions there is at most one non-zero element in each row.
2. Matrix multiplication corresponds to sequential composition: taking action $M_{1}$ followed by action $M_{2}$ is the product $M_{1} M_{2}$. (This is also the relational product of the associated relations.)
3. The unit matrix $I_{n \times n}$ is the NO-OP action.
$\qquad$


$B \longleftarrow C$



|  | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ | $F$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $B$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $C$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $D$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $E$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $F$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Sum matrix $M_{R}+M_{G}+M_{B}$


We use addition $0+0=0$ and $b+b^{\prime}=1$ if $b=1$ or $b^{\prime}=1$.

## Reachability

Let $M$ be the $n \times n$ matrix that is the (Boolean) sum of the matrices of the individual actions. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{0}=I_{n \times n} \\
& R_{1}=I_{n \times n}+M \\
& R_{2}=I_{n \times n}+M+M^{2} \\
& R_{3}=I_{n \times n}+M+M^{2}+M^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$R_{i}$ represents reachability by $i$ actions or less. If $s^{\prime}$ is reachable from $s$, then it is reachable with $\leq n$ actions: $R_{n}=R_{n+1}$.

Sequential composition as matrix multiplication
$\left(\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right) \times\left(\begin{array}{llll|l|l}0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$
$E$ is reachable from $B$ by two actions, because
$F$ is reachable from $B$ by one action and
$E$ is reachable from $F$ by one action.

Reachability: example, $M_{R}+M_{R}^{2}$
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Reachability: example, $M_{R}+M_{R}^{2}+M_{R}^{3}+I_{6 \times 6}$


Reachability: example, $M_{R}+M_{R}^{2}+M_{R}^{3}$


## Reachability: row vectors are sets of states

Row vectors $S$ represent sets.
$S M$ is the set of states reachable from $S$ by $M$.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{T} \begin{array}{l|llllll} 
& A & B & C & D & E & F \\
\hline & A & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 \\
B & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
& C & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
& D & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 \\
E & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
F & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right)^{T}
$$

## A simple planning algorithm

1. Compute the matrices $R_{0}, R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots, R_{n}$.
2. Find the smallest $i$ such that a goal state $s_{q}$ is reachable from the initial state according to $R_{i}$.
3. Find an action (the last action of the plan) by which $s_{g}$ is reached with one step from a state $s_{g^{\prime}}$ that is reachable from the initial state according to $R_{i-1}$.
4. Repeatedly proceed backward toward the goal from $s_{g^{\prime}}$.
$\qquad$

## Example

|  | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $B$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $C$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $D$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| April 21. Al Planning |  | 22746 |  |  |

## State variables

- The state of the world is described in terms of a finite set of finite-valued state variables.
- Example: HOUR : $\{0, \ldots, 23\}=13$, MINUTE : $\{0, \ldots, 59\}=55$, LOCATION : $\{51,52,82,101,102\}=101$, WEATHER : $\{$ sunny, cloudy, rainy $\}=$ cloudy, HOLIDAY : $\{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{F}\}=\mathrm{F}$
- Any $n$-valued state variable can be replaced by $\left\lceil\log _{2} n\right\rceil$ Boolean (2-valued) state variables.
- Actions change the values of the state variables.


## Example: blocks world with state variables

State variables:
LOCATION-OF-A : $\{B, C, T A B L E\}$
LOCATION-OF-B : $\{A, C, T A B L E\}$
LOCATION-OF-C : $\{A, B, T A B L E\}$

Not all valuations correspond to an intended blocks world state: e.g. A-ON-B and B-ON-A should not be simultaneously true.

## Logical representations of state spaces

- $n$ state variables with $m$ values induce a state space consisting of $m^{n}$ states $\left(2^{n}\right.$ states for $n$ Boolean state variables).
- A language for talking about sets of states (valuations of state variables) is the propositional logic.
- Logical operators correspond to set-theoretical operators.
- Logical relations on formulae correspond to relations between sets.


## Example: blocks world with Boolean state variables

Boolean state variables:

| A-ON-B | A-ON-C | A-ON-TABLE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B-ON-A | B-ON-C | B-ON-TABLE |
| C-ON-A | C-ON-B | C-ON-TABLE |

E.g. A-ON-B and B-ON-A should not be simultaneously true, and only one state variable of the form x-ON-y for any $x$, and for any $y$ except TABLE, should be true at a time.

## Propositional logic

Let $P$ be a set of atomic propositions ( $\sim$ state variables.)

1. For all $p \in P, p$ is a propositional formula
2. If $\phi$ is a propositional formula, then so is $\neg \phi$.
3. If $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ are propositional formulae, then so is $\phi \vee \phi^{\prime}$
4. If $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ are propositional formulae, then so is $\phi \wedge \phi^{\prime}$.
5. The symbols $\perp$ and $\top$ are propositional formulae.

The implication $\phi \rightarrow \phi^{\prime}$ is an abbreviation for $\neg \phi \vee \phi^{\prime}$.
The equivalence $\phi \leftrightarrow \phi^{\prime}$ is an abbreviation for $\left(\phi \rightarrow \phi^{\prime}\right) \wedge\left(\phi^{\prime} \rightarrow \phi\right)$.

A valuation of $P$ is a function $v: P \rightarrow\{0,1\}$. Define

1. $v \models p$ if and only if $v(p)=1$, for $p \in P$.
2. $v \models \neg \phi$ if and only if $v \not \models \phi$
3. $v \models \phi \vee \phi^{\prime}$ if and only if $v \models \phi$ or $v \models \phi^{\prime}$
4. $v \models \phi \wedge \phi^{\prime}$ if and only if $v \models \phi$ and $v \models \phi^{\prime}$
5. $v \models \top$
6. $v \not \vDash \perp$
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A propositional formula $\phi$ is satisfiable if there is at least one valuation $v$ so that $v \models \phi$. Otherwise it is unsatisfiable.
A propositional formula $\phi$ is valid or a tautology if $v \models \phi$ for all valuations $v$. We write this as $=\phi$.

A propositional formula $\phi$ is a logical consequence of a propositional formula $\phi^{\prime}$, written $\phi^{\prime}=\phi$, if $v \models \phi$ for all valuations $v$ such that $v \models \phi^{\prime}$.
A propositional formula that is a proposition $p$ or a negated proposition $\neg p$ for some $p \in P$ is a literal.

A formula that is a disjunction of literals is a clause.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| operation on sets | operation on formulae |
| $A \cup B$ | $A \vee B$ |
| $A \cap B$ | $A \wedge B$ |
| $A \backslash B$ | $A \wedge \neg B$ |
| question about sets of states | question about formulae |
| $A \subseteq B ?$ | $A \models B ?$ |
| $A \subset B ?$ | $A \models B$ and $B \not \models A ?$ |
| $A=B ?$ | $A \models B$ and $B \models A ?$ |
| $\perp$ | the empty set |
| the universal set |  |
|  |  |
| Jussi i intanen |  |
|  |  |

## Operators

Actions are represented as operators $\langle c, e\rangle$.
$c$ (the precondition) is a propositional formula over $P$ describing the set of states in which the action can be taken. (States in which an arrow starts.)
$e$ (the effect) describes the successor states of states in which the action can be taken. (Where do the arrows go.)
The description is procedural: how are the values of the state variable changed?

## Operators: effects

Atomic effects are of the form $p:=r$ for $p \in P$. For Boolean state variables we always write $p$ for $p:=1$ and $\neg p$ for $p:=0$.

Effects are then recursively defined as follows.

1. $p$ and $\neg p$ for state variables $p \in P$ are effects.
2. $e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n}$ is an effect if $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are effects (the special case with $n=0$ is the empty conjunction $\boldsymbol{T}$.)
3. $c \triangleright e$ is an effect if $c$ is a formula over $P$ and $e$ is an effect.
$\qquad$

## Operators: effects

$c \triangleright e$ means that change $e$ takes place if $c$ is true in the current state.

EXAMPLE: Increment 3 -bit numbers $p_{2} p_{1} p_{0}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\neg p_{0}\right.\left.\triangleright p_{0}\right) \wedge \\
&\left(\left(\neg p_{1} \wedge p_{0}\right)\right. \triangleright \\
&\left.\left(p_{1} \wedge \neg p_{0}\right)\right) \wedge \\
&\left(\left(\neg p_{2} \wedge p_{1} \wedge p_{0}\right)\right.\left.\triangleright\left(p_{2} \wedge \neg p_{1} \wedge \neg p_{0}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Operators: changes caused by the operator

Operator $\langle c, e\rangle$ is applicable in a state $s$ iff $s \models c$.
Assign each effect $e$ a set $[e]_{s}$ of literals $p$ and $\neg p$ for $p \in P$

1. $[p]_{s}=\{p\}$ and $[\neg p]_{s}=\{\neg p\}$ for $p \in P$.
2. $\left[e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n}\right]_{s}=\left[e_{1}\right]_{s} \cup \ldots \cup\left[e_{n}\right]_{s}$.
3. $\left[c^{\prime} \triangleright e\right]_{s}=[e]_{s}$ if $s \models c^{\prime}$ and $\left[c^{\prime} \triangleright e\right]_{s}=\emptyset$ otherwise.

## Operators: the successor state of a state

The successor app $(s)$ of $s$ with respect to operator $o=\langle c, e\rangle$ is obtained from $s$ by making literals $[e]_{s}$ true.

EXAMPLE: Consider the operator $\langle a, e\rangle$ where $e=\neg a \wedge(\neg c \triangleright$ $\neg b)$ and a state $s$ such that $s \models a \wedge b \wedge c$.

The operator is applicable because $s \models a$.
Now $[e]_{s}=\{\neg a\}$ and $\operatorname{app}_{\langle a, e\rangle}(s) \models \neg a \wedge b \wedge c$.

## Operators: example

000
101

state variables $A, B, C$
$\langle(B \wedge C) \vee(\neg A \wedge B \wedge \neg C) \vee(\neg A \wedge C)$,
$((B \wedge C) \triangleright \neg C)$
$\wedge(\neg B \triangleright(A \wedge B))$
$\wedge(\neg C \triangleright A)\rangle$

## Schematic operators

- Description of state variables and operators in terms of a given set of objects.
- Analogy: propositional logic vs. predicate logic
- Planners take input as schematic operators, and translate them to (ground) operators. This is called grounding.


## Schematic operators: example

Schematic operator $\left\langle\operatorname{in}\left(x, y_{1}\right), \operatorname{in}\left(x, y_{2}\right) \wedge \neg \operatorname{in}\left(x, y_{1}\right)\right\rangle$ with

$$
x \in\{\operatorname{car} 1, \text { car2 }\}
$$

$x, t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ taking values $y_{1} \in\{$ Freiburg, Strassburg $\}$,
$y_{2} \in\{$ Freiburg, Strassburg $\}, y_{1} \neq y_{2}$
corresponds to a set of operators:
$\{\langle$ in(car1, Freiburg), in(car1, Strassburg) $\wedge \neg$ in(car1, Freiburg) $\rangle$, $\langle$ in(car1, Strassburg), in(car1, Freiburg) $\wedge \neg$ in(car1, Strassburg) $\rangle$, $\langle$ in(car2, Freiburg), in(car2, Strassburg) $\wedge \neg$ in(car2, Freiburg) $\rangle$,〈in(car2, Strassburg), in(car2, Freiburg) $\wedge \neg$ in(car2, Strassburg) $\rangle\}$

## Schematic operators: quantification

existential quantification: finite disjunctions (not for effects)
universal quantification: finite conjunctions

## EXAMPLE:

$\exists x \in\{A, B, C\} \operatorname{in}(x$, Freiburg $)$ is a short-hand for
$\mathrm{in}(A$, Freiburg $) \vee \mathrm{in}(B$, Freiburg $) \vee \operatorname{in}(C$, Freiburg $)$.

Example: blocks world in PDDL

```
(define (domain BLOCKS)
    (:requirements :adl :typing)
    (:types block)
    (:predicates (on ?x - block ?y - block)
                                    (ontable ?x - block)
                                    (clear ?x - block)
            )
```

(:action fromtable
:parameters (?x - block ?y - block)
:precondition (and (not (= ?x ?y))
(clear ?x)
(ontable ?x)
(clear ?y))
: effect
(and (not (ontable ?x))
(not (clear ?y))
(on ?x ?y)))

(define (problem blocks-10-0)
(:domain blocks)
(:objects d a h g b j e i f c - block)
(:init (clear c) (clear f)
(ontable i) (ontable f)
(on ce) (on $e j$ ) (on jb) (on b g)
(on $g h$ ) (on h a) (on a d) (on di))
(:goal (and (on d c) (on cf) (onf j) (on je)
(on e h) (on h b) (on b a) (on a ) )
(on gi)))
)
$\square$


