## **Multi-Agent Systems**

B. Nebel, R. Bergdoll, T. Engesser Winter Semester 2019/20 University of Freiburg Department of Computer Science

## Exercise Sheet 13 Due: February 7, 2020, 14:00

Exercise 13.1 (Argumentation Frameworks, 2+1+2)

Consider the following argumentation framework:



- (a) Generate the grounded labeling using the grounded labeling algorithm.
- (b) List all complete labelings. Identify all stable and preferred labelings.
- (c) Use admissible discussions to verify that
  - e is in the in set of some preferred labeling,
  - d is in the in set of some preferred labeling,
  - c is in the in set of some preferred labeling,
  - b is not in the **in** set of any preferred labeling, and
  - a is in the in set of some preferred labeling.

## Exercise 13.2 (Admissible Discussions, 2+1+1)

We want to write a program that reads a single argumentation framework from a JSON specification file and decides for one given argument whether or not it is part of the in-set of some preferred labeling. The JSON object with which we represent an argumentation framework is a single dictionary where the keys are exactly the (names of the) arguments in the framework. The value assigned to each key is a list of exactly the attacked arguments. Both the filename of the JSON specification file and the name of the argument a for which the admissible discussions is to be performed should be passed to your program as command line parameters. The program should then write the following onto the standard output:

- (a) all possible admissible discussions starting with in(a), each on its own line,
- (b) the winner of each discussion in brackets, at the end of the respective line, as well as
- (c) one final line stating whether a is in for (some|no) preferred labeling.

Consider the following example, where the discussion framework specified in df.json is the JSON object {"a": ["b"], "b": ["c", "d"], "c": ["d", "e"], "d": ["c", "e"], "e": []} and the argument of interest is d. A call of python3 discuss.py df.json d could yield the following output:

```
in(d), out(b), in(a), out(c), in(b) [S]
in(d), out(b), in(a), out(c), in(d) [M]
in(d), out(c), in(b), out(b) [S]
in(d), out(c), in(b), out(a) [S]
in(d), out(c), in(d), out(b), in(a) [M]
d is in for some preferred labeling
```

## Exercise 13.3 (CSPs and Admissible Discussions, 2+1)

- (a) Generate a JSON specification file for the argumentation framework representing the constraint satisfaction problem from Exercise 11.2.
- (b) Use your implementation and identify an admissible discussion in which M wins and which contains an assignment for each of the variables. *Hint: You might have to try different initial in-arguments*.