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## A scenario

## Example scenario
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- The truck is initially located in your company's depot.
$P$ and NP
- There are 50 locations the truck must visit on its route.
- You know the travel distances between all locations (including the depot).
- Your job is to write a program that determines a route from the depot via all locations back to the depot that minimizes total travel distance.
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## A scenario (ctd.)

## Example scenario (ctd.)

- You try solving the problem for weeks, but don't manage to come up with a program. All your attempts either
- cannot guarantee optimality or
- don't terminate within reasonable time (say, a month of computation).
- What do you tell your boss?


## What you don't want to say
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"I can't find an efficient algorithm, I guess I'm just too dumb."

## What you would ideally like to say
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"I can't find an efficient algorithm, because no such algorithm is possible!"

## What complexity theory allows you to say


"I can't find an efficient algorithm, but neither can all these famous people."

## Why complexity theory?

Complexity theory
Complexity theory tells us which problems can be solved quickly ("easy problems") and which ones cannot ("hard problems").

- This is useful because different algorithmic techniques are required for problems for easy and hard problems.
- Moreover, if we can prove a problem to be hard, we should not waste our time looking for "easy" algorithms.
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## Why reductions?

## Reductions

One important part of complexity theory are reductions that show how a new problem $P$ can be expressed in terms of a known problem $Q$

- This is useful for theoretical analyses of $P$ because it allows us to apply our knowledge about $Q$.
- It is also often useful for practical algorithms because we can use the best known algorithm for $Q$ and apply it to $P$.
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## Complexity pop quiz

- The following slide contains a selection of graph problems.
- In all cases, the input is a directed, weighted graph $G=\langle V, A, w\rangle$ with positive edge weights.
- How hard do you think these graph problems are?
- Sort from easiest (requires least time to solve) to hardest (requires most time to solve).
- No justifications needed, just follow your intuition!
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## Some graph problems

(1) Find a cycle-free path from $u \in V$ to $v \in V$ with minimum cost.
(2) Find a cycle-free path from $u \in V$ to $v \in V$

Motivation with maximum cost
(3) Determine if $G$ is strongly connected (paths exist from everywhere to everywhere).
(1) Determine if $G$ is weakly connected (paths exist from everywhere to everywhere, ignoring arc directions).
(6) Find a directed cycle.
(6) Find a directed cycle involving all vertices.
(3) Find a directed cycle involving a given vertex $u$.
(8) Find a path visiting all vertices without repeating a vertex.
(9) Find a path using all arcs without repeating an arc.

## Overview of this chapter

Chapter overview:

- Refresher: asymptotic growth ("big- $O$ notation")
- models of computation
- $P$ and NP
- polynomial reductions
- NP-hardness and NP-completeness
- some NP-complete problems
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## Asymptotic growth: motivation

- Often, we are interested in how an algorithm behaves on large inputs, as these tend to be most critical in practice.
- For example, consider the following problem:


## Duplicate elimination

Input: a sequence of words $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ over some alphabet
Output: the same words, in any order, without duplicates
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## Asymptotic growth: motivation

- Often, we are interested in how an algorithm behaves on large inputs, as these tend to be most critical in practice.
- For example, consider the following problem:


## Duplicate elimination

Input: a sequence of words $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ over some alphabet
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Output: the same words, in any order, without duplicates

- Here are three algorithms for the problem:

A1 The naive algorithm with two nested for loops.
A2 Sort input; traverse sorted list and skip duplicates.
A3 Hash \& report new entries upon insertion.

- Which one is fastest? Let's compare!
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## Runtimes for duplicate elimination algorithms

Assume that on an input with $n$ words, the algorithms require the following amount of time (in $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ):
A1 $f_{1}(n)=0.1 n^{2}$
A2 $f_{2}(n)=10 n \log n+0.1 n$
A3 $f_{3}(n)=30 n$
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## Runtimes for duplicate elimination algorithms

Assume that on an input with $n$ words, the algorithms require the following amount of time (in $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ):
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A1 $f_{1}(n)=0.1 n^{2}$
A2 $f_{2}(n)=10 n \log n+0.1 n$
A3 $f_{3}(n)=30 n$


## Runtime growth in the limit

- For very small inputs, A 1 is faster than A 2 , which is faster than A3.
- However, for very large inputs, the ordering is opposite.
- Big-O notation captures this by considering how runtime
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## Big-O: Definition

## Definition $(O(g))$

Let $g: \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function mapping from the natural numbers to the real numbers.
$O(g)$ is the set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for some $c \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $M \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we have $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \geq M$.

In words: from a certain point onwards, $f$ is bounded by $g$ multiplied with some constant.
Intuition: If $f \in O(g)$, then $f$ does not grow faster than $g$ (maybe apart from constant factors that we do not care about).

## Big-O: Notational conventions

" $f$ is $O(g): "$

- Formally, $O(g)$ is a set of functions, so to express that function $f$ belongs to this class, we should write $f \in O(g)$.

Motivation

- However, it is much more common to write $f=O(g)$ instead of $f \in O(g)$.
- In this context, " $=$ " is pronounced "is", not "equals": " $f$ is $O$ of $g$."
- Note that this is not the usual meaning for " $=$ ".
- For example, it is not symmetric: we write $f=O(g)$, but not $O(g)=f$.

Further abbreviations:

- Notation like $f=O(g)$ where $g(n)=n^{2}$ is often abbreviated to $f=O\left(n^{2}\right)$.
- Similarly, if for example $f(n)=n \log n$, we can further abbreviate this to $n \log n \in O\left(n^{2}\right)$.


## Big-O example (1)

## Big-O example

Let $f(n)=3 n^{2}+14 n+7$.
We show that $f=O\left(n^{2}\right)$.
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## Big-O example (2)

## Big-O example

Let $f(n)=3 n^{2}+14 n+7$.
We show that $f=O\left(n^{3}\right)$.
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## Big-O example (3)

Big-O example
Let $f(n)=n^{100}$.
We show that $f=O\left(2^{n}\right)$.
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## Big-O for the duplicate elimination example

- In the duplicate elimination example, using big-O notation we can show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } f_{1}=O\left(n^{2}\right) \\
& \text { - } f_{2}=O(n \log n) \\
& \text { - } f_{3}=O(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

which emphasizes the essential aspects of the different runtime growths for the algorithms.

- Moreover, big-O notation allows us to order the runtimes:
- $f_{3}=O\left(f_{1}\right)$, but not $f_{1}=O\left(f_{3}\right)$
- $f_{2}=O\left(f_{1}\right)$, but not $f_{1}=O\left(f_{2}\right)$
- $f_{3}=O\left(f_{2}\right)$, but not $f_{2}=O\left(f_{3}\right)$
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## What is runtime complexity?

- Runtime complexity is a measure that tells us how much time we need to solve a problem.
- How do we define this appropriately?
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## What is runtime complexity?

- Runtime complexity is a measure that tells us how much time we need to solve a problem.
- How do we define this appropriately?

Examples of different statements about runtime:

- "Running sort /usr/share/dict/words on computer alfons requires 0.242 seconds."

Polynomial

- "On an input file of size 1 MB , sort requires at most 1 second on a modern computer."
- "Quicksort is faster than Insertion sort."
- "Insertion sort is slow."

These are very different statements, each with different advantages and disadvantages.

## Precise statements vs. general statements

Example statement about runtime
"Running sort /usr/share/dict/words on computer

Advantage: very precise
Disadvantage: not general

- input-specific:

What if we want to sort other files?

- machine-specific:

What if we run the program on another machine?

- even situation-specific:

If we run the program again tomorrow, will we get the same result?

## General statements about runtime

In this course, we want to make general statements about runtime. This is accomplished in three ways:
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## General statements about runtime

In this course, we want to make general statements about runtime. This is accomplished in three ways:
(1) Rather than consider runtime for a particular input, we consider general classes of inputs:

- Example: worst-case runtime to sort any input of size $n$
- Example: average-case runtime to sort any input of size $n$
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## General statements about runtime

In this course, we want to make general statements about runtime. This is accomplished in three ways:
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## Which computational model do we use?

We know many models of computation:

- programs in some programming language
- for example Java, C++, Scheme, ...
- Turing machines
- Variants: single-tape or multi-tape
- Variants: deterministic or nondeterministic
M. Helmert
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- push-down automata
- finite automata
- variants: deterministic or nondeterministic

Here, we use Turing machines because they are the most powerful of our formal computation models.
(Programming languages are equally powerful, but not formal enough, and also too complicated.)

## Are Turing machines an adequate model?

- According to the Church-Turing thesis, everything that can be computed can be computed by a Turing machine.
- However, many operations that are easy on an actual computer require a lot of time on a Turing machine.
$\rightsquigarrow$ Runtime on a Turing machine is not necessarily indicative of runtime on an actual machine!
- The main problem of Turing machines is that they do not allow random access.
- Alternative formal models of computation exist:
- Examples: lambda calculus, register machines, random access machines (RAMs)
- Some of these are closer to how today's computers actually work (in particular, RAMs).


## Turing machines are an adequate enough model

- So Turing machines are not the most accurate model for an actual computer.
- However, everything that can be done in a "more realistic model" in $n$ computation steps can be done on a TM with at most polynomial overhead (e. g., in $n^{2}$ steps).
- For the big topic of this part of the course, the $P$ vs. NP question, we do not care about polynomial overhead.
- Hence, for this purpose TMs are an adequate model, and they have the advantage of being easy to analyze.
- Hence, we use TMs in the following.

For more fine-grained questions (e.g., linear vs. quadratic
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Summary algorithms), one should use a different computation model.

## Which flavour of Turing machines do we use?

There are many variants of Turing machines:

- deterministic or nondeterministic
- one tape or multiple tapes
- one-way or two-way infinite tapes
- tape alphabet size: $2,3,4, \ldots$

Which one do we use?
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## Deterministic or nondeterministic Turing machines?

- We earlier proved that deterministic TMs (DTMs) and nondeterministic ones (NTMs) have the same power.
- However, there we did not care about speed.
- The DTM simulation of an NTM we presented can cause an exponential slowdown.
- Are NTMs more powerful than DTMs if we care about speed, but don't care about polynomial overhead?
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## Deterministic or nondeterministic Turing machines?

- We earlier proved that deterministic TMs (DTMs) and nondeterministic ones (NTMs) have the same power.
- However, there we did not care about speed.
- The DTM simulation of an NTM we presented can cause an exponential slowdown.
- Are NTMs more powerful than DTMs if we care about speed, but don't care about polynomial overhead?
- Actually, that is the big question: it is one of the most famous open problems in mathematics and computer science.
- To get to the core of this question, we will consider both kinds of TM separately.


## What about the other variations?

We do not have to consider other TM variations separately:

- Multi-tape TMs can be simulated on single-tape TMs with quadratic overhead.
- TMs with two-way infinite tapes can be simulated on TMs with one-way infinite tapes with constant-factor overhead, and vice versa.
- TMs with tape alphabets of any size $K$ can be simulated on TMs with tape alphabet $\{0,1, \square\}$ with constant-factor overhead $\left\lceil\log _{2} K\right\rceil$.
$\rightsquigarrow$ Whenever we want a simple model, we can limit ourselves
to single-tape one-way infinite TMs with $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$ and
$\Gamma=\Sigma \cup\{\square\}$.


## Nondeterministic Turing machines

## Definition (nondeterministic Turing machine)

A nondeterministic Turing machine (NTM) is a 6 -tuple $\left\langle\Sigma, \square, Q, q_{0}, q_{\text {acc }}, \delta\right\rangle$, where

- $\Sigma$ is the finite, non-empty input alphabet (often $\{0,1\}$ )
- $\square \notin \Sigma$ is the blank symbol
- $\Sigma_{\square}:=\Sigma \cup\{\square\}$ is the tape alphabet
- $Q$ is the finite set of states
- $q_{0} \in Q$ is the initial state, $q_{\text {acc }} \in Q$ the accepting state
- $Q^{\prime}:=Q \backslash\left\{q_{\text {acc }}\right\}$ is the set of nonterminal states
- $\delta \subseteq\left(Q^{\prime} \times \Sigma_{\square}\right) \times\left(Q \times \Sigma_{\square} \times\{-1,+1\}\right)$


## Deterministic Turing machines

## Definition (deterministic Turing machine)

An NTM $\left\langle\Sigma, \square, Q, q_{0}, q_{\mathrm{acc}}, \delta\right\rangle$ is called deterministic (a DTM) if for all $q \in Q^{\prime}, a \in \Sigma_{\square}$ there is exactly one triple $\left\langle q^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \Delta\right\rangle$ with $\left\langle\langle q, a\rangle,\left\langle q^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \Delta\right\rangle \in \delta\right.$.
We then denote this triple with $\delta(q, a)$.
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## Turing machine configurations

## Definition (configuration)

Let $M=\left\langle\Sigma, \square, Q, q_{0}, q_{\text {acc }}, \delta\right\rangle$ be an NTM.
A configuration of $M$ is a triple $\langle w, q, x\rangle \in \Sigma_{\square}^{*} \times Q \times \Sigma_{\square}^{+}$.
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## Turing machine transitions

ACS II

## Definition (yields relation)

Let $M=\left\langle\Sigma, \square, Q, q_{0}, q_{\text {acc }}, \delta\right\rangle$ be an NTM.
A configuration $c$ of $M$ yields a configuration $c^{\prime}$ of $M$, in symbols $c \vdash c^{\prime}$, as defined by the following rules, where $a, a^{\prime}, b \in \Sigma_{\square}, w, x \in \Sigma_{\square}^{*}, q, q^{\prime} \in Q$ and $\left\langle\langle q, a\rangle,\left\langle q^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \Delta\right\rangle\right\rangle \in \delta:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle w, q, a x\rangle & \vdash\left\langle w a^{\prime}, q^{\prime}, x\right\rangle & & \text { if } \Delta=+1,|x| \geq 1 \\
\langle w, q, a\rangle & \vdash\left\langle w a^{\prime}, q^{\prime}, \square\right\rangle & & \text { if } \Delta=+1 \\
\langle w b, q, a x\rangle & \vdash\left\langle w, q^{\prime}, b a^{\prime} x\right\rangle & & \text { if } \Delta=-1 \\
\langle\epsilon, q, a x\rangle & \vdash\left\langle\epsilon, q^{\prime}, \square a^{\prime} x\right\rangle & & \text { if } \Delta=-1
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Acceptance of configurations

Definition (acceptance of configurations within time $n$ )
Let $c$ be a configuration of an NTM $M$.
Acceptance within time $n$ is inductively defined as follows:

- If $c=\left\langle w, q_{\text {acc }}, x\right\rangle$ where $q_{\text {acc }}$ is the accepting state of $M$, then $M$ accepts $c$ within time $n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
- If $c \vdash c^{\prime}$ and $M$ accepts $c^{\prime}$ within time $n-1$, then $M$ accepts $c$ within time $n$.


## Acceptance of words and languages

Definition (acceptance of words within time $n$ )
Let $M=\left\langle\Sigma, \square, Q, q_{0}, q_{\text {acc }}, \delta\right\rangle$ be an NTM.
$M$ accepts the word $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ within time $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ iff $M$ accepts $\left\langle\epsilon, q_{0}, w\right\rangle$ within time $n$.

- Special case: $M$ accepts $\epsilon$ within time $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ iff $M$ accepts $\left\langle\epsilon, q_{0}, \square\right\rangle$ within time $n$.
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## Definition (acceptance of languages within time f)

Let $M$ be an NTM with input alphabet $\Sigma$.
Let $f: \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
$M$ accepts the language $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ within time $f$ iff $M$ accepts each word $w \in L$ within time at most $f(|w|)$, and $M$ does not accept any word $w \notin L$.

## $P$ and NP

## Definition ( P and NP)

P is the set of all languages $L$ for which there exists a DTM $M$ and a polynomial $p$ such that $M$ accepts $L$ within time $p$.

NP is the set of all languages $L$ for which there exists an NTM $M$ and a polynomial $p$ such that $M$ accepts $L$ within time $p$.

## Notes:

- Sets of languages like P and NP that are defined in terms of resource bounds for TMs are called complexity classes.
- We know that $\mathrm{P} \subseteq$ NP. (Why?)
- Whether the converse holds is an open problem: this is the famous P vs. NP question.


## General algorithmic problems vs. decision problems

- An important aspect of complexity theory is to compare the difficulty of solving different algorithmic problems.
- Examples: sorting, finding shortest paths, finding cycles in graphs including all vertices, ...
- Solutions to algorithmic problems take different forms.
- Examples: a sorted sequence, a path, a cycle, ...
- To simplify the study, it is common in complexity theory to limit attention to decision problems, i.e., problems where the "solution" is an answer of the form Yes or No.
- Examples: Is this sequence sorted?

Is there a path from $u$ to $v$ of cost at most $K$ ?
Is there a cycle in this graph that includes all vertices?

- If we pick the decision problems properly, we can usually show that if the decision problem is easy to solve, then the corresponding algorithmic problem is also easy to solve.


## Decision problems: example

## Using decision problems to solve more general problems

[O] Shortest path optimization problem:
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- Input: Directed, weighted graph $G=\langle V, A, w\rangle$ with positive edge weights $w: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{1}$, vertices $u \in V, v \in V$.
- Output: A shortest ( $=$ minimum-cost) path from $u$ to $v$
[D] Shortest path decision problem:
- Input: Directed, weighted graph $G=\langle V, A, w\rangle$ with positive edge weights $w: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{1}$, vertices $u \in V, v \in V$, cost bound $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
- Question: Is there a path from $u$ to $v$ with cost $\leq K$ ?
- If we can solve [O] in polynomial time, we can solve [D] in polynomial time


## Decision problems: example

## Using decision problems to solve more general problems

[O] Shortest path optimization problem:
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- Input: Directed, weighted graph $G=\langle V, A, w\rangle$ with positive edge weights $w: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{1}$, vertices $u \in V, v \in V$.
- Output: A shortest ( $=$ minimum-cost) path from $u$ to $v$
[D] Shortest path decision problem:
- Input: Directed, weighted graph $G=\langle V, A, w\rangle$ with positive edge weights $w: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{1}$, vertices $u \in V, v \in V$, cost bound $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
- Question: Is there a path from $u$ to $v$ with cost $\leq K$ ?
- If we can solve [O] in polynomial time, we can solve [D] in polynomial time and vice versa.


## Decision problems as languages

Decision problems can be represented as languages:

- For every decision problem, if we want to pose it to a
computer (or other computational device), we must express the input as a word over some alphabet $\Sigma$.
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- The language defined by the decision problem then contains a word $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ iff
- $w$ is a well-formed input for the decision problem, and
- the correct answer for input $w$ is Yes.

Example (shortest path decision problem): $w \in \mathrm{SP}$ iff

- the input properly describes $G, u, v, K$ such that $G$ is a graph, arc weights are positive, etc.
- that graph $G$ has a path of cost at most $K$ from $u$ to $v$


## Decision problems as languages (ctd.)

- Since decision problems can be represented as languages, we do not distinguish between "languages" and (decision) "problems" from now on.
- For example, we can say that $P$ is the set of all decision problems that can be solved in polynomial time by a DTM.
- Similarly, NP is the set of all decision problems that can be solved in polynomial time by an NTM.
- From the definition of NTM acceptance, "solved" means
- If $w$ is a Yes instance, then the NTM has some polynomial-time accepting computation for $w$
- If $w$ is a No instance (or not a well-formed input), then the NTM never accepts it.


## Example: HamiltonianCycle $\in$ NP

## Hamiltoniancycle $\in$ NP

The HamiltonianCycle problem is defined as follows:
Given: An undirected graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$
Question: Does $G$ contain a Hamiltonian cycle?
A Hamiltonian cycle is a path $\pi=\left\langle v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\rangle$ such that

- $\pi$ is a path: for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\},\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right\} \in E$
- $\pi$ is a cycle: $v_{0}=v_{n}$
- $\pi$ is simple: $v_{i} \neq v_{j}$ for all $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$
- $\pi$ is Hamiltonian: for all $v \in V$, there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $v=v_{i}$

We show that HamiltonianCycle $\in$ NP .
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## Guess and check

- The (nondeterministic) Hamiltonian Cycle algorithm illustrates a general design principle for NTMs: guess and check.
- NTMs can solve decision problems in polynomial time by
- nondeterministically guessing a "solution" (also called "witness" or "proof") for the instance
- deterministically verifying that the guessed witness indeed describes a proper solution, and accepting iff it does
- It is possible to prove that all decision problems in NP can be solved by an NTM using such a guess-and-check approach.
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## Polynomial reductions: idea

- Reductions are a very common and powerful idea in mathematics and computer science.
- The idea is to solve a new problem by reducing (mapping) it to one for which already now how to solve it.
- Polynomial reductions (also called Karp reductions) are an example of this in the context of decision problems.
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## Polynomial reductions

## Definition (Polynomial reductions/Karp reductions)

Let $A \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ and $B \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ be decision problems for alphabet $\Sigma$. We say that $A$ is polynomially reducible to $B$, written $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}} B$, if there exists a DTM $M$ with the following properties:

- $M$ is polynomial-time
- i. e., there is a polynomial $p$ such that $M$ stops within time $p(|w|)$ on any input $w \in \Sigma^{*}$.
- $M$ reduces $A$ to $B$
- i. e., for all $w \in \Sigma^{*}:\left(w \in A\right.$ iff $\left.f_{M}(w) \in B\right)$,
- where $f_{M}: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow \Sigma^{*}$ is the function computed by $M$, i.e., when $M$ is run on input $w \in \Sigma^{*}$, then $f_{M}(w)$ is the tape content of $M$ after stopping, ignoring blanks
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$M$ is called a polynomial reduction from $A$ to $B$.
Polynomial reductions are also called Karp reductions.

## Polynomial reduction: example

## HAMILTONIANCYCLE $\leq_{p}$ TSP

The TSP (Travelling Salesperson) problem is defined as follows:

Given: A finite nonempty set of locations $L$, a symmetric travel cost function cost : $L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$, a cost bound $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$
Question: Is there a tour of total cost at most $K$, i.e., a permutation $\left\langle l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right\rangle$ of the locations such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{cost}\left(l_{i}, l_{i+1}\right)+\operatorname{cost}\left(l_{n}, l_{1}\right) \leq K ?$

We show that HamiltonianCycle $\leq_{p}$ TSP.
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## Properties of polynomial reductions

Theorem (properties of polynomial reductions)
Let $A, B, C$ be decision problems over alphabet $\Sigma$.
(1) If $A \leq{ }_{p} B$ and $B \in P$, then $A \in P$.
(2) If $A \leq{ }_{p} B$ and $B \in N P$, then $A \in N P$.
(3) If $A \leq_{p} B$ and $A \notin P$, then $B \notin P$.
(1) If $A \leq_{p} B$ and $A \notin N P$, then $B \notin N P$.
(5) If $A \leq_{p} B$ and $B \leq_{p} C$, then $A \leq_{p} C$.
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## NP-hardness and NP-completeness

## Definition (NP-hard, NP-complete)

M. Helmert
A. Karwath

Let $B$ be a decision problem.
$B$ is called NP-hard if $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}} B$ for all problems $A \in \mathrm{NP}$.
$B$ is called NP-complete if $B \in \mathrm{NP}$ and $B$ is NP-hard.
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## NP-hardness and NP-completeness

## Definition (NP-hard, NP-complete)

Let $B$ be a decision problem.
$B$ is called NP-hard if $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}} B$ for all problems $A \in \mathrm{NP}$.
$B$ is called NP-complete if $B \in$ NP and $B$ is NP-hard.

- NP-hard problems are "at least as hard" as all problems in NP.
- NP-complete problems are "the hardest" problems in NP.
- Do NP-complete problems exist?
- If $A \in \mathrm{P}$ for any NP -complete problem $A$, then $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$. Why?


## SAT is NP-complete

## Definition (SAT)

The SAT (satisfiability) problem is defined as follows:
Given: A propositional logic formula $\varphi$
Question: Is $\varphi$ satisfiable?
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## SAT is NP-complete

## Definition (SAT)

The SAT (satisfiability) problem is defined as follows:
M. Helmert,
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## SAT is NP-complete

## Definition (SAT)

The SAT (satisfiability) problem is defined as follows:
Given: A propositional logic formula $\varphi$
Question: Is $\varphi$ satisfiable?

Theorem (Cook, 1971)
SAT is NP-complete.

## Proof.

SAT $\in$ NP: Guess and check.
SAT is NP-hard: This is more involved. . .
Asymptotic

Models of
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NP-hardness
and NP-
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(Continued on next slide.)

## NP-hardness proof for SAT

Proof (ctd.)
We must show that $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}}$ SAT for all $A \in \mathrm{NP}$.
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## NP-hardness proof for SAT

Proof (ctd.)
We must show that $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}}$ SAT for all $A \in \mathrm{NP}$.
Let $A \in \mathrm{NP}$. This means that there exists a polynomial $p$ and an NTM $M$ s.t. $M$ accepts $A$ within time $p$.

## NP-hardness proof for SAT

Proof (ctd.)
We must show that $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}}$ SAT for all $A \in \mathrm{NP}$.
Let $A \in \mathrm{NP}$. This means that there exists a polynomial $p$ and an NTM $M$ s.t. $M$ accepts $A$ within time $p$.
Let $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ be the input for $A$.

## NP-hardness proof for SAT

## Proof (ctd.)

We must show that $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}}$ SAT for all $A \in \mathrm{NP}$.
Let $A \in \mathrm{NP}$. This means that there exists a polynomial $p$ and an NTM $M$ s.t. $M$ accepts $A$ within time $p$.
Let $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ be the input for $A$.
M. Helmert
A. Karwath
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## NP-hardness proof for SAT

Proof (ctd.)
We must show that $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}}$ SAT for all $A \in \mathrm{NP}$.
Let $A \in \mathrm{NP}$. This means that there exists a polynomial $p$ and an NTM $M$ s.t. $M$ accepts $A$ within time $p$.
Let $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ be the input for $A$.
We must, in polynomial time, construct a propositional logic formula $f(w)$ s.t. $w \in A$ iff $f(w) \in \operatorname{SAT}$ (i. e., is satisfiable). Idea: Construct a logical formula that encodes the possible configurations that $M$ can reach from input $w$ and which is satisfiable iff an accepting configuration is reached.

## NP-hardness proof for SAT (ctd.)

## Proof (ctd.)

ACS II

Let $M=\left\langle\Sigma, \square, Q, q_{0}, q_{\mathrm{acc}}, \delta\right\rangle$ be the NTM for $A$. We assume (w.l.o.g.) that it never moves to the left of the initial position.

Let $w=w_{1} \ldots w_{n} \in \Sigma^{*}$ be the input for $M$.
Let $p$ be the run-time bounding polynomial for $M$.
Let $N=p(n)+1$ (w.l.o.g. $N \geq n$ ).
Motivation
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growth
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$\rightsquigarrow$ During any computation that takes time $p(n)$, $M$ can only visit the first $N$ tape cells.
$\rightsquigarrow$ We can encode any configuration of $M$ that can possibly be part of an accepting configuration by denoting:

- what the current state of $M$ is
- which of the tape cells $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ is the current location of the tape head
- which of the symbols in $\Sigma_{\square}$ is contained in each of the tape cells $\{1, \ldots, N\}$


## NP-hardness proof for SAT (ctd.)

## Proof (ctd.)

Use these propositional variables in formula $f(w)$ :
ACS II

- state $_{t, q}(t \in\{0, \ldots, N\}, q \in Q)$
$\rightsquigarrow$ encode Turing Machine state in $t$-th configuration
- head $_{t, i}(t \in\{0, \ldots, N\}, i \in\{1, \ldots, N\})$
$\rightsquigarrow$ encode tape head location in $t$-th configuration
- content $t_{t, i, a}\left(t \in\{0, \ldots, N\}, i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}, a \in \Sigma_{\square}\right)$

Polynomial $\rightsquigarrow$ encode tape contents in $t$-th configuration
Construct $f(w)$ in such a way that every satisfying assignment

- describes a sequence of configurations of the TM
- that starts from the initial configuration
- and reaches an accepting configuration
- and follows the transition rules in $\delta$


## NP-hardness proof for SAT (ctd.)

## Proof (ctd.)

oneof $X:=\left(\bigvee_{x \in X} x\right) \wedge \neg\left(\bigvee_{x \in X} \bigvee_{y \in X \backslash\{x\}}(x \wedge y)\right)$

1. Describe a sequence of configurations of the TM:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Valid }:= & \bigwedge_{t=0}^{N}\left(\text { oneof }\left\{\text { state }_{t, q} \mid q \in Q\right\} \wedge\right. \\
& \text { oneof }\left\{\text { head }_{t, i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}\right\} \wedge \\
& \left.\bigwedge_{i=1}^{N} \text { oneof }\left\{\text { content }_{t, i, a} \mid a \in \Sigma_{\square}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## NP-hardness proof for SAT (ctd.)

## Proof (ctd.)

2. Start from the initial configuration:

$$
\text { Init }:=\text { state }_{0, q_{0}} \wedge \text { head }_{0,1} \wedge
$$



Motivation
Asymptotic
growth
Models of
computation
$P$ and NP
Polynomial
reductions
NP-hardness
and NP-
completeness

## NP-hardness proof for SAT (ctd.)

## Proof (ctd.)

3. Reach an accepting configuration:

$$
\text { Accept }:=\bigvee_{t=0}^{N} \text { state }_{t, q_{\mathrm{acc}}}
$$
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and NP-
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## NP-hardness proof for SAT (ctd.)

## Proof (ctd.)

4. Follow the transition rules in $\delta$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Trans }:= & \bigwedge_{t=0}^{N-1}\left(\left(\text { state }_{t, q_{\mathrm{acc}}} \rightarrow \text { Noop }_{t}\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\left(\neg \text { state }_{t, q_{\mathrm{acc}}} \rightarrow \bigvee_{R \in \delta} \bigvee_{i=1}^{N} \text { Rule }_{t, i, R}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where ...
M. Helmert
A. Karwath
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## NP-hardness proof for SAT (ctd.)

## Proof (ctd.)
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## NP-hardness proof for SAT (ctd.)

## Proof (ctd.)

4. Follow the transition rules in $\delta$ (ctd.):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rule }_{t, i,\left\langle\left\langle\langle, a\rangle,\left\langle q^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \Delta\right\rangle\right\rangle\right.}:= \\
& \quad\left(\text { state }_{t, q} \wedge \text { state }_{t+1, q^{\prime}}\right) \wedge \\
& \left(\text { head }_{t, i} \wedge \text { head }_{t+1, i+\Delta) \wedge}\right) \wedge \\
& \left(\text { content }_{t, i, a} \wedge \text { content }_{t+1, i, a^{\prime}}\right) \wedge \\
& \quad \bigwedge_{j \in\{1, \ldots, N\} \backslash\{i\}} \bigwedge_{a \in \Sigma_{\square}}\left(\text { content }_{t, j, a} \rightarrow \text { content }_{t+1, j, a}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(Replace by $\perp$ if $i+\Delta=0$ or $i+\Delta=N+1$ : these correspond to situations where $M$ leaves the "allowed" part of the tape.)
M. Helmert,
A. Karwath
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## NP-hardness proof for SAT (ctd.)

Proof (ctd.)

Putting it all together:
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$\rightsquigarrow A \leq_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{SAT}$
Since $A \in$ NP was chosen arbitrarily, we can conclude that SAT is NP-hard and hence NP-complete.

## More NP-complete problems

- The proof of NP-hardness of SAT was rather involved.
- However, now that we have it, we can prove other problems NP-hard much more easily.
- Simply prove $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}} B$ for some known NP-hard problem $A$ (such as SAT). This immediately proves that $B$ is NP-hard. Why?
- A huge number of problems are known to be NP-complete.
- Garey \& Johnson's textbook "Computers and Intractability - A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness" (1979) lists several hundred such problems, with references to proofs.


## 3SAT is NP-complete

## Definition (3SAT)

M. Helmert
A. Karwath

The 3SAT problem is defined as follows:
Given: A propositional logic formula $\varphi$ in CNF with at most three literals per clause.
Question: Is $\varphi$ satisfiable?
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## 3SAT is NP-complete

## Definition (3SAT)

The 3SAT problem is defined as follows:
Given: A propositional logic formula $\varphi$ in CNF with at most three literals per clause.
Question: Is $\varphi$ satisfiable?
M. Helmert
A. Karwath

## Theorem

3SAT is NP-complete.

## 3SAT is NP-complete

## Definition (3SAT)

The 3SAT problem is defined as follows:
Given: A propositional logic formula $\varphi$ in CNF with at most three literals per clause.
Question: Is $\varphi$ satisfiable?

## Theorem

Asymptotic

## Clique is NP-complete

## Definition (Clique)

The Clique problem is defined as follows:
Given: An undirected graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$ and a number $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$
Motivation
Question: Does $G$ contain a clique of size at least $K$,
i. e., a vertex set $C \subseteq V$ with $|C| \geq K$ such that $\langle u, v\rangle \in E$ for all $u, v \in C$ with $u \neq v$ ?
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## Clique is NP-complete

## Definition (Clique)

The Clique problem is defined as follows:
M. Helmert,
A. Karwath

Given: An undirected graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$ and a number $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$
Question: Does $G$ contain a clique of size at least $K$, i. e., a vertex set $C \subseteq V$ with $|C| \geq K$ such that $\langle u, v\rangle \in E$ for all $u, v \in C$ with $u \neq v$ ?

## Theorem

Clique is NP-complete.

## Clique is NP-complete

## Definition (Clique)

The Clique problem is defined as follows:
Given: An undirected graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$ and a number $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$
Question: Does $G$ contain a clique of size at least $K$, i. e., a vertex set $C \subseteq V$ with $|C| \geq K$ such that $\langle u, v\rangle \in E$ for all $u, v \in C$ with $u \neq v$ ?

## Theorem

## Clique is NP-complete.

Proof.
Clique $\in$ NP: Guess and check.
Clique is NP-hard: 3 SAT $\leq_{p}$ Clique ( $\rightsquigarrow$ whiteboard)

## INDSET is NP-complete

## Definition (INDSET)

The IndSet problem is defined as follows:
Given: An undirected graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$ and a number $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$
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## INDSET is NP-complete

## Definition (INDSET)

The IndSet problem is defined as follows:
Given: An undirected graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$ and a number $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$
Question: Does $G$ contain an independent set of size at least $K$, i. e., a vertex set $I \subseteq V$ with $|I| \geq K$

## Theorem

IndSET is NP-complete.
and NP-
completeness

## Some

NP-complete
problems
Summary

## INDSET is NP-complete

## Definition (IndSEt)

The IndSet problem is defined as follows:
Given: An undirected graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$ and a number $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$
Question: Does $G$ contain an independent set of size at least $K$, i. e., a vertex set $I \subseteq V$ with $|I| \geq K$ such that for all $u, v \in I,\langle u, v\rangle \notin E$ ?
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IndSet $\in$ NP: Guess and check.
IndSet is NP-hard: Clique $\leq_{p}$ IndSet ( $\rightsquigarrow$ exercises)

## VertexCover is NP-complete

## Definition (VERTEXCOVER)

The VertexCover problem is defined as follows:
Given: An undirected graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$ and a number $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$
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## VertexCover is NP-complete

## Definition (VERTExCOVER)

The VertexCover problem is defined as follows:
M. Helmert
A. Karwath

Given: An undirected graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$ and a number $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$
Question: Does $G$ contain an vertex cover of size at most $K$,
i. e., a vertex set $C \subseteq V$ with $|C| \leq K$
s. t. for all $\langle u, v\rangle \in E$, we have $u \in C$ or $v \in C$ ?
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## VertexCover is NP-complete

## Definition (VertexCover)

The VertexCover problem is defined as follows:
Given: An undirected graph $G=\langle V, E\rangle$ and a number $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$
Question: Does $G$ contain an vertex cover of size at most $K$,
i. e., a vertex set $C \subseteq V$ with $|C| \leq K$
s. t. for all $\langle u, v\rangle \in E$, we have $u \in C$ or $v \in C$ ?
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VertexCover is NP-hard: IndSet $\leq_{p}$ VertexCover ( $\leadsto$ exercises)

## DirHamiltonianCycle is NP-complete

## Definition (DirHamiltonianCycle)

The DirHamiltonianCycle problem is defined as follows:
Given: A directed graph $G=\langle V, A\rangle$
Question: Does $G$ contain a directed Hamiltonian cycle (i.e., a cyclic path visiting each vertex exactly once)?

## DirHamiltonianCycle is NP-complete

## Definition (DirHamiltonianCycle)

The DirHamiltonianCycle problem is defined as follows:
M. Helmert
A. Karwath

Given: A directed graph $G=\langle V, A\rangle$
Question: Does $G$ contain a directed Hamiltonian cycle (i. e., a cyclic path visiting each vertex exactly once)?

## Theorem

DirHamiltonianCycle is NP-complete.
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## DirHamiltonianCycle is NP-complete

## Definition (DirHamiltonianCycle)

The DirHamiltonianCycle problem is defined as follows:
Given: A directed graph $G=\langle V, A\rangle$
Question: Does $G$ contain a directed Hamiltonian cycle (i. e., a cyclic path visiting each vertex exactly once)?

## Theorem

DirHamiltonianCycle is NP-complete.

## Proof sketch.

DirHamiltonianCycle $\in$ NP: Guess and check.
DirHamiltonianCycle is NP-hard:
M. Helmert
A. Karwath
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3 SAT $\leq_{p}$ DirHamiltonianCycle ( $\rightsquigarrow$ next slides)

## DirHamiltonianCycle is NP-complete (ctd.)

## Proof sketch (ctd.)
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## DirHamiltonianCycle is NP-complete (ctd.)

## Proof sketch (ctd.)

M. Helmert
A. Karwath

- Introduce vertex $x_{i}$ with indegree 2 and outdegree 2 for
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## DirHamiltonianCycle is NP-complete (ctd.)

## Proof sketch (ctd.)

Let $\pi$ be a directed Hamiltonian cycle of the overall graph.

- Whenever $\pi$ traverses $C_{j}$, it must leave it at the corresponding "exit" for the given "entrance" (i. e., $a \longrightarrow A, b \longrightarrow B, c \longrightarrow C$ ).

Otherwise $\pi$ cannot be a Hamiltonian cycle.

- The following are all valid possibilities for Hamiltonian cycles in graphs containing $C_{j}$ :
- $\pi$ crosses $C_{j}$ once, entering at any entrance
- $\pi$ crosses $C_{j}$ twice, entering at any two different entrances
- $\pi$ crosses $C_{j}$ three times, entering once at each entrance


## DirHamiltonianCycle is NP-complete (ctd.)

## Proof sketch (ctd.)

Connect the "open ends" of the graph as follows:

- Identify the entrances and exits of the $C_{j}$ graphs with the three literals of clause $c_{j}$.
- One exit of $x_{i}$ is positive, one negative.
- For the positive exit, determine the clauses in which the positive literal $v_{i}$ occurs
- Connect the positive $x_{i}$ exit to the $v_{i}$ entrance of the $C_{j}$ graph for the first such clause.
- Connect the $v_{i}$ exit of that graph to the $x_{i}$ entrance of the second such clause, and so on.
- Connect the $v_{i}$ exit of the last such clause to the positive
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- Similarly for the negative exit of $x_{i}$ and literal $\neg v_{i}$.


## DirHamiltonianCycle is NP-complete (ctd.)

## Proof sketch (ctd.)

This is a reduction (which is clearly polynomial):

- $(\Rightarrow)$ :
- Given a satisfying truth assignment $\alpha\left(v_{i}\right)$, we can construct a Hamiltonian cycle by leaving $x_{i}$ through the positive exit if $\alpha\left(v_{i}\right)=\mathbf{T}$; the negative exit if $\alpha\left(v_{i}\right)=\mathbf{F}$.
- We can then visit all $C_{j}$ graphs for clauses made true by that literal.
- Overall, we visit each $C_{j}$ graph 1-3 times.
- $(\Leftarrow)$ :
- A Hamiltonian cycle visits each vertex $x_{i}$ and leaves it through the positive or negative exit.
- Set $v_{i}$ to true or false according to which exit is chosen.
- This gives a satisfying truth assignment.
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## HamiltonianCycle is NP-complete

## Theorem

HamiltonianCycle is NP-complete.
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## HamiltonianCycle is NP-complete

## Theorem

HamiltonianCycle is NP-complete.
Motivation
Asymptotic
growth
Proof sketch.

- HamiltonianCycle $\in$ NP: Guess and check.
- HamiltonianCycle is NP-hard:

DirHamiltonianCycle $\leq_{p}$ HamiltonianCycle

- Basic gadget of the reduction:
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## TSP is NP-complete

## Theorem

Motivation
TSP is NP-complete.
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## TSP is NP-complete

## Theorem

TSP is NP-complete.
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## And many, many more. . .

More NP-complete problems:

- SubsetSum: Given natural numbers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and a
M. Helmert,
A. Karwath target $K$, is there a subsequence with sum exactly $K$ ?
- BinPacking: Given objects of size $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$, can the objects fit into $K$ bins with capacity $B$ each?
- MineSweeperConsistency: In a given Minesweeper position, is a given cell safe?
- GeneralizedFreeCell: Does a given generalized FreeCell deal (i. e., one that may have more than 52 cards) have a solution?

Motivation
Asymptotic
growth
Models of
computation
$P$ and NP
Polynomial
reductions
NP-hardness
and NP-
completeness
Some
NP-complete
problems
Summary

## Summary

- Complexity theory is about proving which problems are "easy" to solve and which ones are "hard".
- Two important classes of problems are
- $P$ (problems that can be solved in polynomial time by a regular computing mechanism) and
- NP (problems that can be solved in polynomial time using nondeterminism).
- We know $\mathrm{P} \subseteq \mathrm{NP}$, but we do not know whether $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$.
- Many practically relevant problems are NP-complete, i. e., as hard as any other problem in NP.
- If there exists an efficient algorithm for one NP-complete problem, then there exists an efficient algorithm for all problems in NP.

