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Planning objectives

Strong plans

- The simplest objective for nondeterministic planning is the one we have considered in the previous lecture: reach a goal state with certainty.

- With this objective the nondeterminism can also be understood as an opponent like in 2-player games or in $n$-player games in general. The plan guarantees reaching a goal state no matter what the opponent does: plans are winning strategies.
Planning objectives
Limitations of strong plans

▶ In strong plans, goal states can be reached without visiting any state twice.
▶ This property guarantees that the length of executions is bounded by some constant (which is smaller than the number of states.)
▶ Some solvable problems are not solvable this way.
  1. Action may fail to have any effect.
     Hit a coconut to break it.
  2. Action may fail and take us away from the goals.
     Build a house of cards.

Consequences:
  1. It is impossible to avoid visiting some states several times.
  2. There is no finite upper bound on execution length.
Planning objectives
When strong cyclic plans make sense

Assumption
For any nondeterministic effect $e_1 | \ldots | e_n$ the probability of every effect $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ is greater than 0.

Alternatively: For any $s' \in \text{img}_o(s)$ the probability of reaching $s'$ from $s$ by $o$ is greater than 0.

This assumption guarantees that a strong cyclic plan reaches the goal almost certainly (with probability 1).

This is not compatible with viewing nondeterminism as an opponent in a 2-player game: the opponent’s strategy might rule out some of the choices $e_1, \ldots, e_n$. 
Need for strong cyclic plans

Example

Example (Breaking a coconut)

- Initial state: coconut is intact.
- Goal state: coconut is broken.
- On every hit the coconut may or may not break.
- There is no finite upper bound on the number of hits.

This is equivalent to coin tossing.
Need for strong cyclic plans

Example

Example (Build a house of cards)

- Initial state: all cards lie on the table.
- Goal state: house of cards is complete.
- At every construction step the house may collapse.
**Strong cyclic planning algorithm**

**Idea**

- We now present an algorithm that finds plans that may loop (strong cyclic plans).
- The algorithm is rather tricky in comparison to the algorithm for strong plans.
- Every state covered by a plan satisfies two properties:
  1. The state is **good**: there is at least one execution (≡ path in the graph defined by the plan) leading to a goal state.
  2. Every successor state is either a goal state or good.
- The algorithm repeatedly eliminates states that are not good.
Strong cyclic planning algorithm

Example
Strong cyclic planning algorithm

Example
All states are candidates for being good.
Strong cyclic planning algorithm

Example
States from which goals are reachable in \( \leq 1 \) steps so that all immediate successors are possibly good.
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Example
States from which goals are reachable in \( \leq 2 \) steps so that all immediate successors are possibly good.
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Example
States from which goals are reachable in $\leq 3$ steps so that all immediate successors are possibly good.

\[
G
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Example
States from which goals are reachable in \( \leq 4 \) steps so that all immediate successors are possibly good.
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Example

Eliminate states that turned out not to be good.
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Example
The set of possibly good states is now smaller.
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Example
States from which goals are reachable in $\leq 1$ steps so that all immediate successors are possibly good.
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Example

States from which goals are reachable in $\leq 2$ steps so that all immediate successors are possibly good.
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Example
States from which goals are reachable in $\leq 3$ steps so that all immediate successors are possibly good.
Strong cyclic planning algorithm

Example

States from which goals are reachable in $\leq 4$ steps so that all immediate successors are possibly good.

$G$
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Example
Eliminate states that turned out not to be good.
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Example

The set of possibly good states is now smaller.
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Example
States from which goals are reachable in \( \leq 1 \) steps so that all immediate successors are possibly good.
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States from which goals are reachable in \( \leq 2 \) steps so that all immediate successors are possibly good.
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Example
States from which goals are reachable in $\leq 3$ steps so that all immediate successors are possibly good.
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Example
States from which goals are reachable in $\leq 4$ steps so that all immediate successors are possibly good.
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Example
Remaining states are all good.
A further iteration would not eliminate more states.
Strong cyclic planning algorithm

Example
Assign each state an operator so that the successor states are goal states or good, and some of them are closer to goal states. Use weak distances computed with weak preimages. For this example this is trivial.
Procedure \textit{prune}

- The procedure \textit{prune} finds a maximal set of states for which reaching goals with looping is possible.
- It consists of two nested loops:
  1. The outer loop iterates through $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and produces a shrinking sequence of candidate good state sets $C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_n$ until $C_i = C_{i+1}$.
  2. The inner loop identifies growing sets $W_j$ of states from which a goal state can be reached with $j$ steps without leaving the current set of candidate good states $C_i$. The union of all $W_0, W_1, \ldots$ will be $C_{i+1}$. 
Procedure *prune*

**Definition**

```python
def prune(S, O, G):
    C₀ := S
    for each i ∈ N₁:
        W₀ := G
        for each j ∈ N₁:
            Wᵢ := Wᵢ₋₁ ∪ ∪₀∈O(preimgᵦ(Wᵢ₋₁) ∩ spreimgᵦ(Cᵢ))
            if Wᵢ = Wᵢ₋₁:
                break
        Cᵢ := Wᵢ
    if Cᵢ = Cᵢ₋₁:
        return ⟨Cᵢ, ⟨W₀, ..., Wᵢ₋₁⟩⟩
```

M. Helmert, B. Nebel (Universität Freiburg) AI Planning January 24th, 2007
Procedure *prune*

Correctness

**Lemma (Procedure prune)**

Let $S$ and $G \subseteq S$ be sets of states and $O$ a set of operators. Then $\text{prune}(S, O, G)$ terminates after a finite number of steps and returns $C \subseteq S$ such that there is $\pi : C \setminus G \rightarrow O$ with the following properties:

**Hope:** For every $s \in C$ there is an execution $s_0, \ldots, s_n$ of $\pi$ such that $s = s_0$ and $s_n \in G$.

**Safety:** For every $s \in C \setminus G$, $\text{img}_{\pi(s)}(s) \subseteq C$.

**Maximality:** There is no set $C' \not\subseteq C$ and $\pi' : C' \setminus G \rightarrow O$ satisfying the hope and safety properties.

- The sets $W_j$ also returned by *prune* encode weak distances and can be used to define the strong cyclic plan $\pi$. 
Strong cyclic planning algorithm

The planning algorithm

```python
def strong-cyclic-plan(⟨A, I, O, G⟩):
    S := A → {0, 1}
    S_G := {s ∈ S | s ⊨ G}
    ⟨S*, (W_j)j=0,1,2,...⟩ = prune(S, O, S_G)
    if ∃s ∈ S : s ⊨ I ∧ s /∈ S*:
        return no solution
    for each s ∈ S*:
        δ(s) := min{j ∈ N₀ | s ∈ W_j}
    for each s ∈ S* \ S_G:
        π(s) := some operator o ∈ O with img_o(s) ⊆ S*
             and min{δ(s′) | s′ ∈ img_o(s)} < δ(s)
    return π
```
Strong cyclic planning algorithm

Complexity

- The procedure \textit{prune} runs in polynomial time in the number of states because the number of iterations of each loop is at most \( n \) – hence there are \( O(n^2) \) iterations – and computation on each iteration takes polynomial time in the number of states.

- Finding strong cyclic plans for full observability is in the complexity class \textbf{EXPTIME}.

- The problem is also \textbf{EXPTIME}-hard.

- Similar to strong planning, we can speed up the algorithm in many practical cases by using a symbolic implementation (e.g. with BDDs).
In this lecture, we usually limit ourselves to the problem of finding plans that reach a goal state.

In practice, planning is often about more general goals, where execution cannot be terminated.

1. An animal: find food, eat, sleep, find food, eat, sleep, …
2. Cleaning robot: keep the building clean.

These problems cannot be directly formalized in terms of reachability because infinite (unbounded) plan execution is needed.

We do not discuss this topic in full detail. However, to give at least a little impression of planning for temporally extended goals, we will discuss the simplest objective with infinite plan executions: maintenance.
Plan objectives

Maintenance

Definition

Let \( \mathcal{T} = \langle A, I, O, G, V \rangle \) be a planning task. A strategy \( \pi \) for \( \mathcal{T} \) is called a plan for maintenance for \( \mathcal{T} \) iff

- it contains no leaf nodes,
- all cycles contain at least one operator node, and
- \( b(n) \models G \) for all nodes \( n \) of the strategy.
The state of an animal is determined by three state values: hunger (0, 1, 2), thirst (0, 1, 2) and location (river, pasture, desert). There is also a special state called death.

- Thirst grows when not at river; at river it is 0.
- Hunger grows when not on pasture; on pasture it is 0.
- If hunger or thirst exceeds 2, the animal dies.
- The goal of the animal is to avoid death.
Maintenance goals

Transition system for the example 0-safe states 1-safe states \(i\)-safe states for all \(i \geq 2\)
Maintenance goals
Plan for the example

We can infer rules backwards starting from the death condition.

1. If in desert and \textit{thirst} = 2, must go to river.
2. If in desert and \textit{hunger} = 2, must go to pasture.
3. If on pasture and \textit{thirst} = 1, must go to desert.
4. If at river and \textit{hunger} = 1, must go to desert.

If the above rules conflict, the animal will die.
Algorithm for maintenance goals

Idea

Summary of the algorithm idea

Repeatedly eliminate from consideration those states that in one or more steps unavoidably lead to a non-goal state.

- A state is \textit{i-safe} iff there is a plan that guarantees “survival” for the next \(i\) actions.
- A state is \textit{safe} (or \textit{\(\infty\)-safe}) iff it is \(i\)-safe for all \(i \in \mathbb{N}_0\).
- The \textit{0-safe} states are exactly the goal states: maintenance objective is satisfied for the current state.
- Given all \(i\)-safe states, compute all \(i + 1\)-safe states by using strong preimages.
- For some \(i \in \mathbb{N}_0\), \(i\)-safe states equal \(i + 1\)-safe states because there are only finitely many states and at each step and \(i + 1\)-safe states are a subset of \(i\)-safe states. Then \(i\)-safe states are also \(\infty\)-safe.
Algorithm for maintenance goals

Algorithm

Planning for maintenance goals

```python
def maintenance_plan(A, I, O, G):
    S := A → {0, 1}
    Safe_0 := {s ∈ S | s ⊨ G}
    for each i ∈ N_1:
        Safe_i := Safe_{i-1} ∩ \bigcup_{o ∈ O} spreimg_o(Safe_{i-1})
        if Safe_i = Safe_{i-1}:
            break
        if ∃s ∈ S : s ⊨ I ∧ s /∈ Safe_i:
            return no solution
        for each s ∈ Safe_i:
            π(s) := some operator o ∈ O with img_o(s) ⊆ Safe_i
        return π
```
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Transition system for the example 0-safe states 1-safe states \( i \)-safe states for all \( i \geq 2 \)
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Transition system for the example 0-safe states 1-safe states $i$-safe states for all $i \geq 2$
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Transition system for the example 0-safe states 1-safe states $i$-safe states for all $i \geq 2$
Maintenance goals

Transition system for the example 0-safe states 1-safe states $i$-safe states for all $i \geq 2$
Different planning objectives

Strong planning

Strong cyclic planning

Maintenance
We have considered different classes of solutions for planning tasks by defining different planning problems.

- strong planning problem: find a strong plan
- strong cyclic planning problem: find a strong cyclic plan
- ...

Alternatively, we could allow specifying goals in a modal logic like computational tree logic to directly express the type of plan we are interested in using modalities such as A (all), E (exists), G (globally), and F (finally).

- Weak planning: $\text{EF} \varphi$
- Strong planning: $\text{AF} \varphi$
- Strong cyclic planning: $\text{AGEF} \varphi$
- Maintenance: $\text{AG} \varphi$
- Strong recoverability: $\text{AGAF} \varphi$
Summary

- We have extended our earlier planning algorithm from strong plans to strong cyclic plans.
- The story does not end there: When considering infinitely executing plans, many more types of goals are feasible.
- We considered maintenance as a simple example of a temporally extended goal.
- In general, temporally extended goals be expressed in modal logics such as computational tree logic (CTL).
- We presented dynamic programming (backward search) algorithms for strong cyclic and maintenance planning.
- In practice, one might implement both algorithms by using binary decision diagrams (BDDs) as a data structure for state sets.