

# Principles of AI Planning

October 27th, 2006 — Introduction

## Coordinates

- Lectures

- Exercises

## Introduction

- Problem classes

- Nondeterminism

- Observability

- Objectives

- vs. Game Theory

- Summary

# Principles of AI Planning

## Introduction

Malte Helmert    Bernhard Nebel

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg

October 27th, 2006

# Course: Principles of AI Planning

## Lecturer

Dr. Malte Helmert (helmert@informatik.uni-freiburg.de)

Prof. Dr. Bernhard Nebel (nebel@informatik.uni-freiburg.de)

## Lecture

Wednesday 2-4pm, Friday 2-3pm in 51-00-034

[www.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/~ki/teaching/ws0607/aip/](http://www.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/~ki/teaching/ws0607/aip/)

## Text

Slides are partially based on similar course developed by Jussi Rintanen.  
They are available on the web page as the course proceeds.

# Exercises and Examination

## Exercises

Assistant: Robert Mattmüller ([mattmuel@informatik.uni-freiburg.de](mailto:mattmuel@informatik.uni-freiburg.de))

Friday 3pm after lecture

Assignments are given out on Wednesday, returned on Wednesday (before lecture).

## Examination

Takes place in April (exact date to be determined).

# What is planning?

- ▶ Intelligent decision making: What actions to take?
- ▶ general-purpose problem representation
- ▶ algorithms for solving any problem expressible in the representation
- ▶ application areas:
  - ▶ high-level planning for intelligent robots
  - ▶ autonomous systems: NASA Deep Space One, ...
  - ▶ problem-solving (single-agent games like Rubik's cube)

# Why is planning difficult?

- ▶ Solutions to simplest planning problems are **paths from an initial state to a goal state** in *the transition graph*.  
Efficiently solvable e.g. by Dijkstra's algorithm in  $O(n \log n)$  time.  
Why don't we solve all planning problems this way?
- ▶ State spaces may be huge:  $10^9, 10^{12}, 10^{15}, \dots$  states. Constructing the transition graph and using e.g. Dijkstra's algorithm is not feasible!!
- ▶ Planning algorithms try to avoid constructing the whole graph.
- ▶ Planning algorithms often are – but are not guaranteed to be – more efficient than the obvious solution method of constructing the transition graph + running e.g. Dijkstra's algorithm.

# Different classes of problems

actions	deterministic	nondeterministic	
probabilities	no	yes	
observability	full	partial	no
horizon	finite	infinite	
⋮			

1. classical planning
2. conditional planning with full/partial observability
3. conformant planning
4. Markov decision processes (MDP)
5. partially observable MDPs (POMDP)

# Properties of the world: nondeterminism

## Deterministic world/actions

Action and current state **uniquely** determine the successor state.

## Nondeterministic world/actions


For an action and a current state there may be **several successor states**.

Analogy: deterministic versus nondeterministic automata

# Nondeterminism

## Example

Moving objects with an unreliable robotic hand: move the green block onto the blue block.



# Properties of the world: observability

## Full observability

Observations/sensing allow to determine the current state of the world **uniquely**.


## Partial observability

Observations/sensing allow to determine the current state of the world **only partially**: we only know that the current state is one of several of possible ones.


**Consequence**: It is necessary to represent the **knowledge** an agent has.

# What difference does observability make?


Camera A



Camera B



Goal



# Different objectives

1. Reach a goal state.

**Example:** Earn 500 euro.

2. Stay in goal states indefinitely (infinite horizon).

**Example:** *Never* allow the bank account balance to be negative.

3. Maximize the *probability* of reaching a goal state.

**Example:** To be able to finance buying a house by 2015 study hard and save money.

4. Collect the maximal *expected* rewards / minimal expected costs (infinite horizon).

**Example:** Maximize your future income.

5. ...

# Relation to games and game theory

- ▶ Game theory addresses decision making in multi-agent setting:  
“Assuming that the other agents are intelligent, what do I have to do to achieve my goals?”
- ▶ Game theory is related to **multi-agent planning**.
- ▶ In this course we concentrate on **single-agent planning**.
- ▶ In certain special cases our techniques are applicable to multi-agent planning:
  - ▶ Finding a **winning strategy** of a game (example: chess). In this case it is not necessary to distinguish between **an intelligent opponent** and **a randomly behaving opponent**.

Game theory in general is about **optimal strategies** which do not necessarily guarantee winning. For example card games like poker do not have a winning strategy.

# Prerequisites of the course

1. basics of AI (you have attended an introductory course on AI)
2. basics of propositional logic
3. basics of structural complexity theory (reduction, NP-completeness, ...)

# What do you learn in this course?

1. Classification of different problems to different classes
  - 1.1 Classification according to observability, nondeterminism, goal objectives, ...
  - 1.2 computational complexity
2. Techniques for solving different problem classes
  - 2.1 search-based planning techniques
  - 2.2 algorithms based on heuristic search
  - 2.3 algorithms based on satisfiability testing (SAT)
  - 2.4 algorithms based on exhaustive search with logic-based data structures (BDDs)

Many of these techniques are applicable to problems outside AI as well.