

Why First-Order Logic (FOL)?

- In propositional logic, the only building blocks are atomic propositions.
- We cannot talk about the internal structures of these propositions.
- Example:
 - *All CS students know formal logic*
 - *Peter is a CS student*
 - Therefore, *Peter knows formal logic*
 - Not possible in propositional logic
- Idea: We introduce **predicates**, **functions**, **object variables** and **quantifiers**.

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Literature

Why First-Order Logic (FOL)?

- In propositional logic, the only building blocks are atomic propositions.
- We cannot talk about the internal structures of these propositions.
- Example:
 - *All CS students know formal logic*
 - *Peter is a CS student*
 - Therefore, *Peter knows formal logic*
 - Not possible in propositional logic
- Idea: We introduce **predicates**, **functions**, **object variables** and **quantifiers**.

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Literature

Why First-Order Logic (FOL)?

- In propositional logic, the only building blocks are atomic propositions.
- We cannot talk about the internal structures of these propositions.
- Example:
 - *All CS students know formal logic*
 - *Peter is a CS student*
 - Therefore, *Peter knows formal logic*
 - Not possible in propositional logic
- Idea: We introduce **predicates**, **functions**, **object variables** and **quantifiers**.

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Literature

Why First-Order Logic (FOL)?

- In propositional logic, the only building blocks are atomic propositions.
- We cannot talk about the internal structures of these propositions.
- Example:
 - *All CS students know formal logic*
 - *Peter is a CS student*
 - Therefore, *Peter knows formal logic*
 - Not possible in propositional logic
- Idea: We introduce **predicates**, **functions**, **object variables** and **quantifiers**.

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Literature

Syntax

- **variable** symbols: x, y, z, \dots
- n -ary **function** symbols: $f(\dots), g(\dots), \dots$
- **constant** symbols: a, b, c, \dots
- n -ary **predicate** symbols: $P(\dots), Q(\dots), \dots$

Terms

t	\longrightarrow	x	variable
		$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	function application
		a	constant

Formulae

φ	\longrightarrow	$P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	atomic formula
		\dots	propositional connectives
		$\forall x(\varphi')$	universal quantification
		$\exists x(\varphi')$	existential quantification

ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Syntax

- **variable** symbols: x, y, z, \dots
- **n -ary function** symbols: $f(\dots), g(\dots), \dots$
- **constant** symbols: a, b, c, \dots
- **n -ary predicate** symbols: $P(\dots), Q(\dots), \dots$

Terms

t	\longrightarrow	x	variable
		$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	function application
		a	constant

Formulae

φ	\longrightarrow	$P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	atomic formula
		\dots	propositional connectives
		$\forall x(\varphi')$	universal quantification
		$\exists x(\varphi')$	existential quantification

ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Syntax

- **variable** symbols: x, y, z, \dots
- **n -ary function** symbols: $f(\dots), g(\dots), \dots$
- **constant** symbols: a, b, c, \dots
- **n -ary predicate** symbols: $P(\dots), Q(\dots), \dots$

Terms

t	\longrightarrow	x	variable
		$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	function application
		a	constant

Formulae

φ	\longrightarrow	$P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	atomic formula
		\dots	propositional connectives
		$\forall x(\varphi')$	universal quantification
		$\exists x(\varphi')$	existential quantification

ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Literature

Syntax

- **variable** symbols: x, y, z, \dots
- **n -ary function** symbols: $f(\dots), g(\dots), \dots$
- **constant** symbols: a, b, c, \dots
- **n -ary predicate** symbols: $P(\dots), Q(\dots), \dots$

Terms

t	\longrightarrow	x	variable
		$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	function application
		a	constant

Formulae

φ	\longrightarrow	$P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	atomic formula
		\dots	propositional connectives
		$\forall x(\varphi')$	universal quantification
		$\exists x(\varphi')$	existential quantification

ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Literature

Syntax

- **variable** symbols: x, y, z, \dots
- **n -ary function** symbols: $f(\dots), g(\dots), \dots$
- **constant** symbols: a, b, c, \dots
- **n -ary predicate** symbols: $P(\dots), Q(\dots), \dots$

Terms

t	\longrightarrow	x	variable
		$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	function application
		a	constant

Formulae

φ	\longrightarrow	$P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	atomic formula
		\dots	propositional connectives
		$\forall x(\varphi')$	universal quantification
		$\exists x(\varphi')$	existential quantification

ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Syntax

- **variable** symbols: x, y, z, \dots
- **n -ary function** symbols: $f(\dots), g(\dots), \dots$
- **constant** symbols: a, b, c, \dots
- **n -ary predicate** symbols: $P(\dots), Q(\dots), \dots$

Terms

t	\longrightarrow	x	variable
		$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	function application
		a	constant

Formulae

φ	\longrightarrow	$P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	atomic formula
		\dots	propositional connectives
		$\forall x(\varphi')$	universal quantification
		$\exists x(\varphi')$	existential quantification

ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Literature

Syntax

- **variable** symbols: x, y, z, \dots
- **n -ary function** symbols: $f(\dots), g(\dots), \dots$
- **constant** symbols: a, b, c, \dots
- **n -ary predicate** symbols: $P(\dots), Q(\dots), \dots$

Terms

t	\longrightarrow	x	variable
		$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	function application
		a	constant

Formulae

φ	\longrightarrow	$P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	atomic formula
		\dots	propositional connectives
		$\forall x(\varphi')$	universal quantification
		$\exists x(\varphi')$	existential quantification

ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Syntax

- **variable** symbols: x, y, z, \dots
- **n -ary function** symbols: $f(\dots), g(\dots), \dots$
- **constant** symbols: a, b, c, \dots
- **n -ary predicate** symbols: $P(\dots), Q(\dots), \dots$

Terms

t	\longrightarrow	x	variable
		$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	function application
		a	constant

Formulae

φ	\longrightarrow	$P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	atomic formula
		\dots	propositional connectives
		$\forall x(\varphi')$	universal quantification
		$\exists x(\varphi')$	existential quantification

ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Literature

Syntax

- **variable** symbols: x, y, z, \dots
- **n -ary function** symbols: $f(\dots), g(\dots), \dots$
- **constant** symbols: a, b, c, \dots
- **n -ary predicate** symbols: $P(\dots), Q(\dots), \dots$

Terms	t	\longrightarrow	x	variable
			$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	function application
			a	constant
Formulae	φ	\longrightarrow	$P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	atomic formula
			\dots	propositional connectives
			$\forall x(\varphi')$	universal quantification
			$\exists x(\varphi')$	existential quantification

ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Literature

Syntax

- **variable** symbols: x, y, z, \dots
- **n -ary function** symbols: $f(\dots), g(\dots), \dots$
- **constant** symbols: a, b, c, \dots
- **n -ary predicate** symbols: $P(\dots), Q(\dots), \dots$

Terms

t	\longrightarrow	x	variable
		$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	function application
		a	constant

Formulae

φ	\longrightarrow	$P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	atomic formula
		\dots	propositional connectives
		$\forall x(\varphi')$	universal quantification
		$\exists x(\varphi')$	existential quantification

ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Syntax

- **variable** symbols: x, y, z, \dots
- **n -ary function** symbols: $f(\dots), g(\dots), \dots$
- **constant** symbols: a, b, c, \dots
- **n -ary predicate** symbols: $P(\dots), Q(\dots), \dots$

Terms

t	\longrightarrow	x	variable
		$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	function application
		a	constant

Formulae

φ	\longrightarrow	$P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	atomic formula
		\dots	propositional connectives
		$\forall x(\varphi')$	universal quantification
		$\exists x(\varphi')$	existential quantification

ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Syntax

- **variable** symbols: x, y, z, \dots
- **n -ary function** symbols: $f(\dots), g(\dots), \dots$
- **constant** symbols: a, b, c, \dots
- **n -ary predicate** symbols: $P(\dots), Q(\dots), \dots$

Terms

t	\longrightarrow	x	variable
		$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	function application
		a	constant

Formulae

φ	\longrightarrow	$P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	atomic formula
		\dots	propositional connectives
		$\forall x(\varphi')$	universal quantification
		$\exists x(\varphi')$	existential quantification

ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences

Semantics: Idea

- In FOL, the universe of discourse consists of objects, functions over these objects, and relations over these objects.
- Function symbols are mapped to functions, predicate symbols are mapped to relations, and terms to objects.
- Notation: Instead of $\mathcal{I}(x)$ we write $x^{\mathcal{I}}$.
- Note: Usually one considers all possible non-empty universes. (However, sometimes the interpretations are restricted to particular domains, e.g. integers or real numbers.)
- Satisfiability and validity is then considered wrt all these universes.

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Semantics: Idea

- In FOL, the universe of discourse consists of objects, functions over these objects, and relations over these objects.
- Function symbols are mapped to functions, predicate symbols are mapped to relations, and terms to objects.
- Notation: Instead of $\mathcal{I}(x)$ we write $x^{\mathcal{I}}$.
- Note: Usually one considers all possible non-empty universes. (However, sometimes the interpretations are restricted to particular domains, e.g. integers or real numbers.)
- Satisfiability and validity is then considered wrt all these universes.

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Formal Semantics: Interpretations

Interpretations: $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathcal{D}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle$ with \mathcal{D} being an arbitrary non-empty set and \mathcal{I} being a function which maps

- n -ary function symbols f to n -ary functions $f^{\mathcal{I}} \in [\mathcal{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}]$,
- constant symbols a to objects $a^{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{D}$, and
- n -ary predicates P to n -ary relations $P^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$.

Interpretation of ground terms:

$$(f(t_1, \dots, t_n))^{\mathcal{I}} = f^{\mathcal{I}}(t_1^{\mathcal{I}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}}) \ (\in \mathcal{D})$$

Truth of ground atoms:

$$\mathcal{I} \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Formal Semantics: Interpretations

Interpretations: $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathcal{D}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle$ with \mathcal{D} being an arbitrary non-empty set and \mathcal{I} being a function which maps

- n -ary function symbols f to n -ary functions $f^{\mathcal{I}} \in [\mathcal{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}]$,
- constant symbols a to objects $a^{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{D}$, and
- n -ary predicates P to n -ary relations $P^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$.

Interpretation of ground terms:

$$(f(t_1, \dots, t_n))^{\mathcal{I}} = f^{\mathcal{I}}(t_1^{\mathcal{I}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}}) \ (\in \mathcal{D})$$

Truth of ground atoms:

$$\mathcal{I} \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Formal Semantics: Interpretations

Interpretations: $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathcal{D}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle$ with \mathcal{D} being an arbitrary non-empty set and \mathcal{I} being a function which maps

- n -ary function symbols f to n -ary functions $f^{\mathcal{I}} \in [\mathcal{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}]$,
- constant symbols a to objects $a^{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{D}$, and
- n -ary predicates P to n -ary relations $P^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$.

Interpretation of ground terms:

$$(f(t_1, \dots, t_n))^{\mathcal{I}} = f^{\mathcal{I}}(t_1^{\mathcal{I}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}}) (\in \mathcal{D})$$

Truth of ground atoms:

$$\mathcal{I} \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \text{ iff } \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Formal Semantics: Interpretations

Interpretations: $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathcal{D}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle$ with \mathcal{D} being an arbitrary non-empty set and \mathcal{I} being a function which maps

- n -ary function symbols f to n -ary functions $f^{\mathcal{I}} \in [\mathcal{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}]$,
- constant symbols a to objects $a^{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{D}$, and
- n -ary predicates P to n -ary relations $P^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$.

Interpretation of ground terms:

$$(f(t_1, \dots, t_n))^{\mathcal{I}} = f^{\mathcal{I}}(t_1^{\mathcal{I}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}}) (\in \mathcal{D})$$

Truth of ground atoms:

$$\mathcal{I} \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \text{ iff } \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Formal Semantics: Interpretations

Interpretations: $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathcal{D}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle$ with \mathcal{D} being an arbitrary non-empty set and \mathcal{I} being a function which maps

- n -ary function symbols f to n -ary functions $f^{\mathcal{I}} \in [\mathcal{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}]$,
- constant symbols a to objects $a^{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{D}$, and
- n -ary predicates P to n -ary relations $P^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$.

Interpretation of ground terms:

$$(f(t_1, \dots, t_n))^{\mathcal{I}} = f^{\mathcal{I}}(t_1^{\mathcal{I}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}}) \ (\in \mathcal{D})$$

Truth of ground atoms:

$$\mathcal{I} \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Examples

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n \geq 2$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_2$$

$$\text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$\text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\mathcal{I} \models \text{red}(b)$$

$$\mathcal{I} \not\models \text{eye}(b)$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

$$1^{\mathcal{I}} = 1$$

$$2^{\mathcal{I}} = 2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\text{even}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$$

$$\text{succ}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{(1 \mapsto 2), (2 \mapsto 3), \dots\}$$

$$\mathcal{I} \not\models \text{even}(3)$$

$$\mathcal{I} \models \text{even}(\text{succ}(3))$$

Examples

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n \geq 2$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_2$$

$$\text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$\text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\mathcal{I} \models \text{red}(b)$$

$$\mathcal{I} \not\models \text{eye}(b)$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

$$1^{\mathcal{I}} = 1$$

$$2^{\mathcal{I}} = 2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\text{even}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$$

$$\text{succ}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{(1 \mapsto 2), (2 \mapsto 3), \dots\}$$

$$\mathcal{I} \not\models \text{even}(3)$$

$$\mathcal{I} \models \text{even}(\text{succ}(3))$$

Examples

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n \geq 2 & \mathcal{D} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \\ a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1 & 1^{\mathcal{I}} = 1 \\ b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_2 & 2^{\mathcal{I}} = 2 \\ \text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\} & \vdots \\ \text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D} & \text{even}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{2, 4, 6, \dots\} \\ \mathcal{I} \models \text{red}(b) & \text{succ}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{(1 \mapsto 2), (2 \mapsto 3), \dots\} \\ \mathcal{I} \not\models \text{eye}(b) & \mathcal{I} \not\models \text{even}(3) \\ & \mathcal{I} \models \text{even}(\text{succ}(3)) \end{array}$$

Examples

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n \geq 2 & \mathcal{D} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \\ a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1 & 1^{\mathcal{I}} = 1 \\ b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_2 & 2^{\mathcal{I}} = 2 \\ \text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\} & \vdots \\ \text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D} & \text{even}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{2, 4, 6, \dots\} \\ \mathcal{I} \models \text{red}(b) & \text{succ}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{(1 \mapsto 2), (2 \mapsto 3), \dots\} \\ \mathcal{I} \not\models \text{eye}(b) & \mathcal{I} \not\models \text{even}(3) \\ & \mathcal{I} \models \text{even}(\text{succ}(3)) \end{array}$$

Formal Semantics: Variable Maps

V is the set of variables. Function $\alpha: V \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a **variable map**.

Notation: $\alpha[x/d]$ is identical to α except for x where $\alpha[x/d](x) = d$.

Interpretation of terms under \mathcal{I}, α :

$$\begin{aligned}x^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} &= \alpha(x) \\ a^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} &= a^{\mathcal{I}} \\ (f(t_1, \dots, t_n))^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} &= f^{\mathcal{I}}(t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha})\end{aligned}$$

Truth of atomic formulae:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Example (cont.):

$$\alpha = \{x \mapsto d_1, y \mapsto d_2\} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{red}(x) \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha[y/d_1] \models \text{eye}(y)$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Formal Semantics: Variable Maps

V is the set of variables. Function $\alpha: V \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a **variable map**.

Notation: $\alpha[x/d]$ is identical to α except for x where $\alpha[x/d](x) = d$.

Interpretation of terms under \mathcal{I}, α :

$$\begin{aligned}x^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} &= \alpha(x) \\ a^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} &= a^{\mathcal{I}} \\ (f(t_1, \dots, t_n))^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} &= f^{\mathcal{I}}(t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha})\end{aligned}$$

Truth of atomic formulae:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Example (cont.):

$$\alpha = \{x \mapsto d_1, y \mapsto d_2\} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{red}(x) \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha[y/d_1] \models \text{eye}(y)$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Formal Semantics: Variable Maps

V is the set of variables. Function $\alpha: V \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a **variable map**.

Notation: $\alpha[x/d]$ is identical to α except for x where $\alpha[x/d](x) = d$.

Interpretation of terms under \mathcal{I}, α :

$$\begin{aligned}x^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} &= \alpha(x) \\ a^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} &= a^{\mathcal{I}} \\ (f(t_1, \dots, t_n))^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} &= f^{\mathcal{I}}(t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha})\end{aligned}$$

Truth of atomic formulae:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Example (cont.):

$$\alpha = \{x \mapsto d_1, y \mapsto d_2\} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{red}(x) \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha[y/d_1] \models \text{eye}(y)$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Formal Semantics: Variable Maps

V is the set of variables. Function $\alpha: V \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a **variable map**.

Notation: $\alpha[x/d]$ is identical to α except for x where $\alpha[x/d](x) = d$.

Interpretation of terms under \mathcal{I}, α :

$$\begin{aligned}x^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} &= \alpha(x) \\ a^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} &= a^{\mathcal{I}} \\ (f(t_1, \dots, t_n))^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} &= f^{\mathcal{I}}(t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha})\end{aligned}$$

Truth of atomic formulae:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Example (cont.):

$$\alpha = \{x \mapsto d_1, y \mapsto d_2\} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{red}(x) \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha[y/d_1] \models \text{eye}(y)$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Formal Semantics: Truth

Truth of φ by \mathcal{I} under α ($\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$) is defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \neg \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \not\models \varphi$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \wedge \psi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ and } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \vee \psi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ or } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \text{iff} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi, \text{ then } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi, \text{ iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha[x/d] \models \varphi \text{ for all } d \in \mathcal{D}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \exists x \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha[x/d] \models \varphi \text{ for some } d \in \mathcal{D}$$

Formal Semantics: Truth

Truth of φ by \mathcal{I} under α ($\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$) is defined as follows.

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ iff $\langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \neg\varphi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \not\models \varphi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \wedge \psi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$ and $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \vee \psi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$ or $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ iff if $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$, then $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$, iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x \varphi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha[x/d] \models \varphi$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \exists x \varphi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha[x/d] \models \varphi$ for some $d \in \mathcal{D}$

Formal Semantics: Truth

Truth of φ by \mathcal{I} under α ($\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$) is defined as follows.

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ iff $\langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \neg\varphi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \not\models \varphi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \wedge \psi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$ and $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \vee \psi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$ or $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ iff if $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$, then $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$, iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x \varphi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha[x/d] \models \varphi$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \exists x \varphi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha[x/d] \models \varphi$ for some $d \in \mathcal{D}$

Formal Semantics: Truth

Truth of φ by \mathcal{I} under α ($\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$) is defined as follows.

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ iff $\langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \neg\varphi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \not\models \varphi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \wedge \psi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$ and $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \vee \psi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$ or $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ iff if $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$, then $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$, iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x \varphi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha[x/d] \models \varphi$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \exists x \varphi$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \alpha[x/d] \models \varphi$ for some $d \in \mathcal{D}$

Examples

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathcal{D} &= \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \quad n > 1 \\ a^{\mathcal{I}} &= d_1 \\ b^{\mathcal{I}} &= d_1 \\ \text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{d_1\} \\ \text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \mathcal{D} \\ \alpha &= \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}\end{aligned}$$

Questions:

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$

Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$
 $\text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$ Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$
 $\text{eye}(y)?$ No

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$

Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$
 $\text{red}(x))?$ Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta?$ Yes

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Examples

Questions:

$$\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \quad n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$\text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$\text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(y)?$$

No

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x))?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta?$$

Yes

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Examples

Questions:

$$\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \quad n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$\text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$\text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(y)?$$

No

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x))?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta?$$

Yes

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Examples

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathcal{D} &= \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \quad n > 1 \\ a^{\mathcal{I}} &= d_1 \\ b^{\mathcal{I}} &= d_1 \\ \text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{d_1\} \\ \text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \mathcal{D} \\ \alpha &= \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}\end{aligned}$$

Questions:

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$

Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$
 $\text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$ Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$
 $\text{eye}(y)?$ No

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$
Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$
 $\text{red}(x))?$ Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta?$ Yes

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Examples

Questions:

$$\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \quad n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$\text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$\text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(y)?$$

No

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x))?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta? \text{ Yes}$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Examples

Questions:

$$\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \quad n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$\text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$\text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(y)?$$

No

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x))?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta?$$

Yes

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Examples

Questions:

$$\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \quad n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$\text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$\text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(y)?$$

No

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x))?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta?$$

Yes

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Examples

Questions:

$$\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \quad n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$\text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$\text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(y)?$$

No

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x))?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta?$$

Yes

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Examples

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathcal{D} &= \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \quad n > 1 \\ a^{\mathcal{I}} &= d_1 \\ b^{\mathcal{I}} &= d_1 \\ \text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{d_1\} \\ \text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \mathcal{D} \\ \alpha &= \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}\end{aligned}$$

Questions:

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$

Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$
 $\text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$ **Yes**

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$
 $\text{eye}(y)?$ **No**

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$

Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$
 $\text{red}(x))?$ **Yes**

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta?$ **Yes**

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Examples

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathcal{D} &= \{d_1, \dots, d_n, \} \quad n > 1 \\ a^{\mathcal{I}} &= d_1 \\ b^{\mathcal{I}} &= d_1 \\ \text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{d_1\} \\ \text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \mathcal{D} \\ \alpha &= \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}\end{aligned}$$

Questions:

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$

Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$
 $\text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$ **Yes**

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$
 $\text{eye}(y)?$ **No**

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$
Yes

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$
 $\text{red}(x))?$ **Yes**

$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta?$ **Yes**

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Examples

Questions:

$$\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \quad n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$\text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$\text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(y)?$$

No

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x))?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta? \text{ Yes}$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Examples

Questions:

$$\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \quad n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$\text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$\text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow$$

$$\text{eye}(y)?$$

No

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x))?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta?$$

Yes

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Examples

Questions:

$$\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eye}(a), \text{eye}(b) \\ \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n, \} \quad n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$\text{eye}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$\text{red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(b) \vee \neg \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(x) \vee \text{eye}(y)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{eye}(y)?$$

No

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{eye}(a) \wedge \text{eye}(b)?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x(\text{eye}(x) \rightarrow \text{red}(x))?$$

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta? \quad \text{Yes}$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable maps

Definition of truth

Terminology

Literature

Terminology

\mathcal{I}, α is a **model** of φ iff

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi.$$

A formula can be satisfiable, unsatisfiable, falsifiable, valid.
Two formulae φ and ψ are **logically equivalent** ($\varphi \equiv \psi$) iff for all \mathcal{I}, α :

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi.$$

Note: $P(x) \not\equiv P(y)$!

Logical Implication is also similar to propositional logic:

$$\Theta \models \varphi \text{ iff for all } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta \text{ also } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi.$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Free and bound
variables

Open and Closed
Formulae

Literature

Terminology

\mathcal{I}, α is a **model** of φ iff

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi.$$

A formula can be satisfiable, unsatisfiable, falsifiable, valid.

Two formulae φ and ψ are **logically equivalent** ($\varphi \equiv \psi$) iff for all \mathcal{I}, α :

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi.$$

Note: $P(x) \not\equiv P(y)$!

Logical Implication is also similar to propositional logic:

$$\Theta \models \varphi \text{ iff for all } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta \text{ also } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi.$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Free and bound
variables

Open and Closed
Formulae

Literature

Terminology

\mathcal{I}, α is a **model** of φ iff

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi.$$

A formula can be satisfiable, unsatisfiable, falsifiable, valid.
Two formulae φ and ψ are **logically equivalent** ($\varphi \equiv \psi$) iff for all \mathcal{I}, α :

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi.$$

Note: $P(x) \not\equiv P(y)$!

Logical Implication is also similar to propositional logic:

$$\Theta \models \varphi \text{ iff for all } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta \text{ also } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi.$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Free and bound
variables

Open and Closed
Formulae

Literature

Terminology

\mathcal{I}, α is a **model** of φ iff

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi.$$

A formula can be satisfiable, unsatisfiable, falsifiable, valid.
Two formulae φ and ψ are **logically equivalent** ($\varphi \equiv \psi$) iff for all \mathcal{I}, α :

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi.$$

Note: $P(x) \not\equiv P(y)$!

Logical Implication is also similar to propositional logic:

$$\Theta \models \varphi \text{ iff for all } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta \text{ also } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi.$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Free and bound
variables

Open and Closed
Formulae

Literature

Terminology

\mathcal{I}, α is a **model** of φ iff

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi.$$

A formula can be satisfiable, unsatisfiable, falsifiable, valid.
Two formulae φ and ψ are **logically equivalent** ($\varphi \equiv \psi$) iff for all \mathcal{I}, α :

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi.$$

Note: $P(x) \not\equiv P(y)$!

Logical Implication is also similar to propositional logic:

$$\Theta \models \varphi \text{ iff for all } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta \text{ also } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi.$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Free and bound
variables

Open and Closed
Formulae

Literature

Free and Bound Variables

Variables can be **free** or **bound** (by a quantifier) in a formula:

$$\text{free}(x) = \{x\}$$

$$\text{free}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(P(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(\neg\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi)$$

$$\text{free}(\varphi * \psi) = \text{free}(\varphi) \cup \text{free}(\psi) \quad * = \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$$

$$\text{free}(\exists x\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi) - \{x\} \quad \exists = \forall, \exists$$

Example: $\forall x (R(\boxed{y}, \boxed{z}) \wedge \exists y (\neg P(y, x) \vee R(y, \boxed{z})))$

Framed occurrences are free, all others are bound.

Free and Bound Variables

Variables can be **free** or **bound** (by a quantifier) in a formula:

$$\text{free}(x) = \{x\}$$

$$\text{free}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(P(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(\neg\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi)$$

$$\text{free}(\varphi * \psi) = \text{free}(\varphi) \cup \text{free}(\psi) \quad * = \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$$

$$\text{free}(\exists x\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi) - \{x\} \quad \exists = \forall, \exists$$

Example: $\forall x (R(\boxed{y}, \boxed{z}) \wedge \exists y (\neg P(y, x) \vee R(y, \boxed{z})))$

Framed occurrences are free, all others are bound.

Free and Bound Variables

Variables can be **free** or **bound** (by a quantifier) in a formula:

$$\text{free}(x) = \{x\}$$

$$\text{free}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(P(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(\neg\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi)$$

$$\text{free}(\varphi * \psi) = \text{free}(\varphi) \cup \text{free}(\psi) \quad * = \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$$

$$\text{free}(\exists x\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi) - \{x\} \quad \exists = \forall, \exists$$

Example: $\forall x (R(\boxed{y}, \boxed{z}) \wedge \exists y (\neg P(y, x) \vee R(y, \boxed{z})))$

Framed occurrences are free, all others are bound.

Free and Bound Variables

Variables can be **free** or **bound** (by a quantifier) in a formula:

$$\text{free}(x) = \{x\}$$

$$\text{free}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(P(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(\neg\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi)$$

$$\text{free}(\varphi * \psi) = \text{free}(\varphi) \cup \text{free}(\psi) \quad * = \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$$

$$\text{free}(\exists x\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi) - \{x\} \quad \exists = \forall, \exists$$

Example: $\forall x (R(\boxed{y}, \boxed{z}) \wedge \exists y (\neg P(y, x) \vee R(y, \boxed{z})))$

Framed occurrences are free, all others are bound.

Free and Bound Variables

Variables can be **free** or **bound** (by a quantifier) in a formula:

$$\text{free}(x) = \{x\}$$

$$\text{free}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(P(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(\neg\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi)$$

$$\text{free}(\varphi * \psi) = \text{free}(\varphi) \cup \text{free}(\psi) \quad * = \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$$

$$\text{free}(\exists x\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi) - \{x\} \quad \exists = \forall, \exists$$

Example: $\forall x (R(\boxed{y}, \boxed{z}) \wedge \exists y (\neg P(y, x) \vee R(y, \boxed{z})))$

Framed occurrences are free, all others are bound.

Free and Bound Variables

Variables can be **free** or **bound** (by a quantifier) in a formula:

$$\text{free}(x) = \{x\}$$

$$\text{free}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(P(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(\neg\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi)$$

$$\text{free}(\varphi * \psi) = \text{free}(\varphi) \cup \text{free}(\psi) \quad * = \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$$

$$\text{free}(\exists x\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi) - \{x\} \quad \exists = \forall, \exists$$

Example: $\forall x (R(\boxed{y}, \boxed{z}) \wedge \exists y (\neg P(y, x) \vee R(y, \boxed{z})))$

Framed occurrences are free, all others are bound.

Free and Bound Variables

Variables can be **free** or **bound** (by a quantifier) in a formula:

$$\text{free}(x) = \{x\}$$

$$\text{free}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(P(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{free}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{free}(t_n)$$

$$\text{free}(\neg\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi)$$

$$\text{free}(\varphi * \psi) = \text{free}(\varphi) \cup \text{free}(\psi) \quad * = \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$$

$$\text{free}(\exists x\varphi) = \text{free}(\varphi) - \{x\} \quad \exists = \forall, \exists$$

Example: $\forall x (R(\boxed{y}, \boxed{z}) \wedge \exists y (\neg P(y, x) \vee R(y, \boxed{z})))$

Framed occurrences are free, all others are bound.

Open & Closed Formulae

- Formulae without free variables are called **closed formulae** or **sentences**. Formulae with free variables are called **open formulae**.
- Closed formulae are all we need when we want to state something about the world. Open formulae (and variable maps) are only necessary for technical reasons (semantics of \forall and \exists).
- Note that *logical equivalence*, *satisfiability*, and *entailment* are independent from variable maps if we consider only closed formulae.
- For closed formulae, we omit α in connection with \models :

$$\mathcal{I} \models \varphi.$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Free and bound
variables

Open and Closed
Formulae

Literature

Open & Closed Formulae

- Formulae without free variables are called **closed formulae** or **sentences**. Formulae with free variables are called **open formulae**.
- Closed formulae are all we need when we want to state something about the world. Open formulae (and variable maps) are only necessary for technical reasons (semantics of \forall and \exists).
- Note that *logical equivalence*, *satisfiability*, and *entailment* are independent from variable maps if we consider only closed formulae.
- For closed formulae, we omit α in connection with \models :

$$\mathcal{I} \models \varphi.$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Free and bound
variables

Open and Closed
Formulae

Literature

Open & Closed Formulae

- Formulae without free variables are called **closed formulae** or **sentences**. Formulae with free variables are called **open formulae**.
- Closed formulae are all we need when we want to state something about the world. Open formulae (and variable maps) are only necessary for technical reasons (semantics of \forall and \exists).
- Note that *logical equivalence*, *satisfiability*, and entailment are independent from variable maps if we consider only closed formulae.
- For closed formulae, we omit α in connection with \models :

$$\mathcal{I} \models \varphi.$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Free and bound
variables

Open and Closed
Formulae

Literature

Open & Closed Formulae

- Formulae without free variables are called **closed formulae** or **sentences**. Formulae with free variables are called **open formulae**.
- Closed formulae are all we need when we want to state something about the world. Open formulae (and variable maps) are only necessary for technical reasons (semantics of \forall and \exists).
- Note that *logical equivalence*, *satisfiability*, and entailment are independent from variable maps if we consider only closed formulae.
- For closed formulae, we omit α in connection with \models :

$$\mathcal{I} \models \varphi.$$

Classical
Logic

First Order
Logic

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Terminology

Free and bound
variables

Open and Closed
Formulae

Literature

Harry R. Lewis and Christos H. Papadimitriou. *Elements of the Theory of Computation*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1981 (Chapters 8 & 9).

Volker Sperschneider and Grigorios Antoniou. *Logic – A Foundation for Computer Science*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1991 (Chapters 1–3).

H.-P. Ebbinghaus, J. Flum, and W. Thomas. *Einführung in die mathematische Logik*. Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, Darmstadt, 1986.

U. Schöning. *Logik für Informatiker*. Spektrum-Verlag.