Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning Predicate logic

UNI FREIBURG

Bernhard Nebel, Felix Lindner, and Thorsten Engesser April 24, 2018

Motivation

Motivation

Syntax

Semantic

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Why first-order logic (FOL)?

- In propositional logic, the only building blocks are atomic propositions.
- We cannot talk about the internal structures of these propositions.
- Example:
 - All CS students know formal logic
 - Peter is a CS student
 - Therefore, Peter knows formal logic
 - ... not possible in propositional logic
- Idea: We introduce predicates, functions, object variables and quantifiers.

Motivation

Symax

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Syntax

Motivation

Syntax

Semantica

Normal forms
Herbrand

interpretations Further

Theorems

Syntax

- \blacksquare variable symbols: x, y, z, ...
- \blacksquare *n*-ary function symbols: f, g, ...
- \blacksquare constant symbols: a, b, c, ...
- \blacksquare *n*-ary predicate symbols: P, Q, \dots
- logical symbols: \forall , \exists , =, \neg , \land , ...

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Syntax

```
variable symbols: x,y,z,...
n-ary function symbols: f,g,...
constant symbols: a,b,c,...
n-ary predicate symbols: P,Q,...
logical symbols: ∀, ∃, =, ¬, ∧,...
```

Terms t ::= x variable $| f(t_1, ..., t_n) |$ function application | a | constant

Formulae φ ::= $P(t_1, ..., t_n)$ atomic formulae | t = t' identity formulae | ... propositional connectives | $\forall x \varphi'$ universal quantification | $\exists x \varphi'$ existential quantification

Ground term, etc.: term, etc. without variable occurrences



Syntax

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Semantics

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Variable Assignments

Definition of Truth

Free and Bound Variables Open and Closed

Open and Close Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Semantics: idea

- In FOL, the universe of discourse consists of objects: we consider functions and relations over these objects.
- Function symbols are mapped to functions, predicate symbols are mapped to relations, and terms to objects.
- Notation: Instead of $\mathcal{I}(x)$ we write $x^{\mathcal{I}}$.
- Note: Usually one considers all possible non-empty universes. (However, sometimes the interpretations are restricted to particular domains, e.g. integers or real numbers.)
- Satisfiability and validity is then considered wrt. all these universes.

Motivation

Symax

Semantics

Interpretation

Variable

Assignments

Terminology

ree and Bound

Open and Clos

Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand

interpretations Further

Theorems



Formal semantics: interpretations

Interpretations: $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathcal{D}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle$ with \mathcal{D} being an arbitrary non-empty set and $\cdot^{\mathcal{I}}$ being a function which maps

- *n*-ary function symbols *f* to *n*-ary functions $f^{\mathcal{I}} \in [\mathcal{D}^n \to \mathcal{D}]$,
- \blacksquare constant symbols a to objects $a^{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{D}$, and
- *n*-ary predicates *P* to *n*-ary relations $P^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$.

Interpretation of ground terms:

$$(f(t_1,\ldots,t_n))^{\mathcal{I}} = f^{\mathcal{I}}(t_1^{\mathcal{I}},\ldots,t_n^{\mathcal{I}}) \ (\in \mathcal{D})$$

Truth of ground atoms:

$$\mathcal{I} \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable Assignments

Terminology Free and Bound

Open and Closed

Normal forms

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n \ge 2$$

$$\mathbf{a}^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$\mathbf{b}^{\mathcal{I}} = d_2$$

$$\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$\mathbf{Red}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\mathcal{I} \models \mathbf{Red}(\mathbf{b})$$

$$\mathcal{I} \not\models \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{b})$$

Motivation

Gymax

Semantics

Interpretations Variable

Variable Assignments

Definition of Truth Terminology

Free and Bound Variables Open and Closed

Open and Close Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand

interpretations Further

Theorems



```
\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n \geq 2
                                                    D = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}
\mathsf{Cat}^\mathcal{I}
                 \{d_1\}
\mathsf{Red}^\mathcal{I}
                                                            = \{2,4,6,\ldots\}
                 Red(b)
                                                            = \{(1 \mapsto 2), (2 \mapsto 3), \dots \}
                 Cat(b)
                                                                 even(3)
                                                               even(succ(3))
```

Motivation

Cyntax

Semantics

Interpretations

Variable Assignments

Definition of Truth Terminology

Free and Bound Variables

Open and Closed Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand

interpretations

Further Theorems



Formal semantics: variable assignments

V is the set of variables. Functions $\alpha: V \to \mathcal{D}$ are called variable assignments.

Notation: $\alpha[x/d]$ is identical to α except for x where $\alpha[x/d](x) = d$.

Interpretation of terms under \mathcal{I}, α :

$$x^{\mathcal{I},\alpha} = \alpha(x)$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I},\alpha} = a^{\mathcal{I}}$$

$$(f(t_1,\ldots,t_n))^{\mathcal{I},\alpha} = f^{\mathcal{I}}(t_1^{\mathcal{I},\alpha},\ldots,t_n^{\mathcal{I},\alpha})$$

Truth of atomic formulae:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

Example (cont'd):

$$\alpha = \{x \mapsto d_1, y \mapsto d_2\}$$
 $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{Red}(x)$ $\mathcal{I}, \alpha[y/d_1] \models \text{Cat}(y)$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \text{Red}(x)$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha[y/d_1] \models \mathsf{Cat}(y)$$

Assignments

Open and Closed

Normal forms

interpretations

Further

Theorems



Formal semantics: truth

Truth of φ under \mathcal{I} and α ($\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$) is defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \qquad \text{iff} \quad \langle t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \dots, t_n^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{I}}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models t_1 = t_2 \qquad \text{iff} \quad t_1^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} = t_2^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \land \psi \qquad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \not\models \varphi \qquad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \land \psi \qquad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ and} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \lor \psi \qquad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ or} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \qquad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi, \text{then} \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \qquad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ iff} \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x \varphi \qquad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha[x/d] \models \varphi \text{ for all} \quad d \in \mathcal{D}$$

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \exists x \varphi \qquad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{I}, \alpha[x/d] \models \varphi \text{ for some} \quad d \in \mathcal{D}$$

Motivation

- ,

Semantics

Variable

Assignments

Definition of Truth

Terminology

Variables

Open and Closed Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand

interpretations

Further Theorems



Questions:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(b) \lor \neg \mathsf{Cat}(b)?$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$Cat^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$Red^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

Motivation

Synta

Semantics

Variable

Assignments

Definition of Truth

Terminology
Free and Bound

Variables
Open and Closed

Open and Close Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand

interpretations

Further Theorems

Questions:

Yes

 $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(b) \vee \neg \mathsf{Cat}(b)$?

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$Cat^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$Red^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

Motivation

Synta

Semantics

Variable

Assignments Definition of Truth

Terminology

Free and Bound Variables

Open and Closed

Normal forms

.

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$Cat^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$Red^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

Questions:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(b) \lor \neg \mathsf{Cat}(b)$$
?

Yes

 $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(x) \to \mathsf{Cat}(x) \lor \mathsf{Cat}(y)$?

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Variable

Assignments Definition of Truth

Terminology Free and Bound

Variables
Open and Closed

Open and Close Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand

interpretations

Further Theorems

. .



$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$Cat^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$Red^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

Questions:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(b) \lor \neg \mathsf{Cat}(b)$$
?

Yes

 $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(x) \to \mathsf{Cat}(x) \lor \mathsf{Cat}(y)$?

Yes

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Variable

Assignments

Definition of Truth

Terminology Free and Bound

Variables
Open and Closed

Open and Close Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further

Theorems



$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$Cat^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$Red^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

Questions:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(b) \vee \neg \operatorname{Cat}(b)? \\ \textbf{Yes} \\ \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \\ \operatorname{Cat}(x) \vee \operatorname{Cat}(y)? \\ \textbf{Yes} \\ \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \operatorname{Cat}(y)? \end{array}$$

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Variable

Assignments Definition of Truth

Terminology

Variables

Open and Closed

Open and Close Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further

Theorems



$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$Cat^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$Red^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

Questions:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathrm{Cat}(b) \vee \neg \mathrm{Cat}(b)? \\ \mathbf{Yes} \\ \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathrm{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \\ \mathrm{Cat}(x) \vee \mathrm{Cat}(y)? \\ \mathbf{Yes} \\ \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathrm{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}(y)? \\ \mathbf{No} \end{array}$$

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Variable

Assignments Definition of Truth

Terminology

Variables
Open and Closed

Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems

THOOFOILE

$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$Cat^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$Red^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

$$\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$$

Questions:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(b) \lor \neg \operatorname{Cat}(b)$$
?
Yes

 $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(x) \to \operatorname{Cat}(x) \lor \operatorname{Cat}(y)$?

Yes

 $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(x) \to \operatorname{Cat}(y)$?

No

 $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(a) \land \operatorname{Cat}(b)$?

Motivation

Definition of Truth

Open and Closed

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n > 1$ $\mathsf{Cat}^\mathcal{I}$ $\mathsf{Red}^\mathcal{I}$ $\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$

Questions:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(b) \lor \neg \operatorname{Cat}(b)$$
?
Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(x) \to \operatorname{Cat}(x) \lor \operatorname{Cat}(y)$$
?
Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(x) \to \operatorname{Cat}(y)$$
?
No
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(a) \land \operatorname{Cat}(b)$$
?
Yes

Motivation

Definition of Truth

Open and Closed

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems

$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n > 1$ $a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$ $b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$ $Cat^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$ $Red^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$ $\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$

Questions:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(b) \lor \neg \mathsf{Cat}(b)?$$
Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(x) \to$$

$$\mathsf{Cat}(x) \lor \mathsf{Cat}(y)?$$
Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(x) \to \mathsf{Cat}(y)?$$
No
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(a) \land \mathsf{Cat}(b)?$$
Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x (\mathsf{Cat}(x) \to$$

Red(x))?

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Variable

Assignments Definition of Truth

Terminology Free and Bound

Variables
Open and Closed

Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand

interpretations

Further Theorems



$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n > 1$ $a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$ $b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$ $Cat^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$ $Red^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$ $\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$

Questions:

Yes

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(b) \vee \neg \mathsf{Cat}(b)?$$
 Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \mathsf{Cat}(x) \vee \mathsf{Cat}(y)?$$
 Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \mathsf{Cat}(y)?$$
 No
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(a) \wedge \mathsf{Cat}(b)?$$
 Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x (\mathsf{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \mathsf{Red}(x))?$$

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Variable

Assignments

Definition of Truth Terminology

Free and Bound Variables

Open and Closed Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$Cat^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$Red^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

 $\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$

$$\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Cat}(a), \mathsf{Cat}(b) \\ \forall x (\mathsf{Cat}(x) \to \mathsf{Red}(x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

Questions:

Yes $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta$?

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(b) \vee \neg \operatorname{Cat}(b)?$$
Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(x) \rightarrow$$

$$\operatorname{Cat}(x) \vee \operatorname{Cat}(y)?$$
Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \operatorname{Cat}(y)?$$
No
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \operatorname{Cat}(a) \wedge \operatorname{Cat}(b)?$$
Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x (\operatorname{Cat}(x) \rightarrow$$

$$\operatorname{Red}(x))?$$

iviotivation

-,.....

Semantics

Variable

Assignments Definition of Truth

Terminology Free and Bound

Open and Closed

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



$$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}, n > 1$$

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$b^{\mathcal{I}} = d_1$$

$$Cat^{\mathcal{I}} = \{d_1\}$$

$$Red^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{D}$$

 $\alpha = \{(x \mapsto d_1), (y \mapsto d_2)\}$

$$\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Cat}(a), \mathsf{Cat}(b) \\ \forall x (\mathsf{Cat}(x) \to \mathsf{Red}(x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

Questions:

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(b) \vee \neg \mathsf{Cat}(b)?$$
 Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \\ \mathsf{Cat}(x) \vee \mathsf{Cat}(y)?$$
 Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \mathsf{Cat}(y)?$$
 No
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathsf{Cat}(a) \wedge \mathsf{Cat}(b)?$$
 Yes
$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x (\mathsf{Cat}(x) \rightarrow \\ \mathsf{Red}(x))?$$

Semantics

Variable

Assignments Definition of Truth

Terminology Free and Bound

Open and Closed

Normal forms

Normal Ionns

Herbrand interpretations

interpretations

Further Theorems

Literature



Yes

 $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta$? Yes

 \mathcal{I}, α is a model of φ iff

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$$
.

A formula can be satisfiable, unsatisfiable, falsifiable, valid, ...

Motivation

Symax

Semantics

Variable

Assignments
Definition of Truth

Terminology
Free and Bound

Variables

Open and Closed Formulae

Normal forms

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems

. . .



 \mathcal{I}, α is a model of φ iff

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$$
.

A formula can be satisfiable, unsatisfiable, falsifiable, valid, ... Formulae φ and ψ are logically equivalent (symb.: $\varphi \equiv \psi$) iff for all \mathcal{I}, α :

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi.$$

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Variable

Assignments
Definition of Truth

Terminology

Variables
Open and Closed

Open and Close Formulae

Normal forms

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems

...



 \mathcal{I}, α is a model of φ iff

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$$
.

A formula can be satisfiable, unsatisfiable, falsifiable, valid, ... Formulae φ and ψ are logically equivalent (symb.: $\varphi \equiv \psi$) iff for all \mathcal{I}, α :

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi.$$

Note: $P(x) \not\equiv P(y)!$

Motivation

Syma

Semantics

Variable

Assignments

Definition of Truth

Terminology

Free and Bound Variables

Open and Closed Formulae

Normal forms

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems

...

 \mathcal{I}, α is a model of φ iff

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$$
.

A formula can be satisfiable, unsatisfiable, falsifiable, valid, ... Formulae φ and ψ are logically equivalent (symb.: $\varphi \equiv \psi$) iff for all \mathcal{I}, α :

$$\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi.$$

Note: $P(x) \not\equiv P(y)!$

Logical implication is also analogous to propositional logic:

$$\Theta \models \varphi$$
 iff for all \mathcal{I}, α s.t. $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \Theta$ also $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi$.

Definition of Truth

Terminology

Open and Closed

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Free and bound variables

Variables can be free or bound (by a quantifier) in a formula:

```
free(x) = \{x\}
 free(f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = free(t_1) \cup \cdots \cup free(t_n)
        free(t_1 = t_2) = free(t_1) \cup free(t_2)
free(P(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = free(t_1) \cup \cdots \cup free(t_n)
            free(\neg \varphi) = free(\varphi)
        free(\phi * \psi) = free(\phi) \cup free(\psi), for * = \lor, \land, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow
         free(Qx\varphi) = free(\varphi) \setminus \{x\}, for Q = \forall, \exists
```

Free and Round

Variables

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further

Theorems

Free and bound variables

Variables can be free or bound (by a quantifier) in a formula:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & & \text{free}(x) & = & \left\{x\right\} \\ & & & \text{free}(f(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) & = & \text{free}(t_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{free}(t_n) \\ & & & \text{free}(t_1 = t_2) & = & \text{free}(t_1) \cup \text{free}(t_2) \\ & & & \text{free}(P(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) & = & \text{free}(t_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{free}(t_n) \\ & & & \text{free}(\neg \varphi) & = & \text{free}(\varphi) \\ & & & \text{free}(\varphi * \psi) & = & \text{free}(\varphi) \cup \text{free}(\psi), \text{ for } * = \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow \\ & & & \text{free}(Qx\varphi) & = & \text{free}(\varphi) \setminus \left\{x\right\}, \text{ for } Q = \forall, \exists \end{array}$$

Example: $\forall x (R(y,z) \land \exists y (\neg P(y,x) \lor R(y,z)))$

Which occurrences are free, which are not free?

Motivation

Cyrnax

Semantics

/ariable

Assignments

Torminology

Free and Bound Variables

Open and Close

Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further

 Formulae without free variables are called closed formulae or sentences. Formulae with free variables are called open formulae.

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

interpretatio

Variable

Definition of Truth

erminology

Variables
Open and Closed

Formulae

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further

Theorems



- Formulae without free variables are called closed formulae or sentences. Formulae with free variables are called open formulae.
- Closed formulae are all we need when we want to state something about the world. Open formulae (and variable assignments) are only necessary for technical reasons (semantics of ∀ and ∃).

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretatio

Variable Assignments

Definition of Tru

Terminology Free and Bound

Open and Closed

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further

Theorems



- Formulae without free variables are called closed formulae or sentences. Formulae with free variables are called open formulae.
- Closed formulae are all we need when we want to state something about the world. Open formulae (and variable assignments) are only necessary for technical reasons (semantics of ∀ and ∃).
- Note that logical equivalence, satisfiability, and entailment are independent from variable assignments if we consider only closed formulae.

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Interpretatio

Assignments

Definition of Tru

Free and Bound

Open and Closed

Normal forms

Normal Ionns

Herbrand interpretations

Further

Theorems



- Formulae without free variables are called closed formulae or sentences. Formulae with free variables are called open formulae.
- Closed formulae are all we need when we want to state something about the world. Open formulae (and variable assignments) are only necessary for technical reasons (semantics of \forall and \exists).
- Note that logical equivalence, satisfiability, and entailment are independent from variable assignments if we consider only closed formulae.
- \blacksquare For closed formulae, we omit α in connection with \models :

 $\mathcal{I} \models \varphi$.

Motivation

Svntax

Semantics

Interpretatio

Variable

Assignments

Terminology

Free and Bound

Open and Closed

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further

Theorems



Normal forms

Motivation

Syntax

Semantic

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Prenex Normal Form

The prenex normal form of a FOL formula has the following form:

quantifier prefix + (quantifier free) matrix

Motivation

Synta

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Prenex Normal Form

The prenex normal form of a FOL formula has the following form:

quantifier prefix + (quantifier free) matrix

Generate prenex normal form:

- \blacksquare Eliminate \rightarrow and \leftrightarrow .
- Move ¬ inside.
- Moving quantifiers out (using a number of equivalences).

Motivation

Synta

Semantics

Normal forms
Herbrand

interpretations

Further Theorems



Prenex Normal Form

The prenex normal form of a FOL formula has the following form:

quantifier prefix + (quantifier free) matrix

Generate prenex normal form:

- \blacksquare Eliminate \rightarrow and \leftrightarrow .
- 2 Move ¬ inside.
- Moving quantifiers out (using a number of equivalences).

Theorem

For each FOL formula, an equivalent formula in prenex normal form exists and can be effectively computed.

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems

Literature



Skolemization

We can further simplify formulae by eliminating existential quantifiers using fresh function symbols (Skolem functions).

Theorem (Skolem normal form)

Let φ be a closed formula in prenex normal form with all variables pairwise distinct of the form $\varphi = \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_i \exists y \psi$. Let g_i be an i-ary function symbols not appearing in φ .

Motivation

Synta

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Skolemization

We can further simplify formulae by eliminating existential quantifiers using fresh function symbols (Skolem functions).

Theorem (Skolem normal form)

Let φ be a closed formula in prenex normal form with all variables pairwise distinct of the form $\varphi = \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_i \exists y \psi$. Let g_i be an i-ary function symbols not appearing in φ . Then φ is satisfiable iff

$$\varphi' = \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_i \psi[y/g_i(x_1, \dots, x_i)]$$

is satisfiable.

iviotivation

Synta

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Skolemization

We can further simplify formulae by eliminating existential quantifiers using fresh function symbols (Skolem functions).

Theorem (Skolem normal form)

Let φ be a closed formula in prenex normal form with all variables pairwise distinct of the form $\varphi = \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_i \exists y \psi$. Let g_i be an i-ary function symbols not appearing in φ . Then φ is satisfiable iff

$$\varphi' = \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_i \psi[y/g_i(x_1, \dots, x_i)]$$

is satisfiable.

Proof idea.

For each assignment to $x_1 ldots x_i$, there is a value of $y = g(x_1, ldots, x_i)$ and vice versa.

Motivation

Synta

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Skolem Normal Form

Prenex normal form without existential quantifiers.

Notation: φ^* is SNF of φ

Motivation

Synta

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Skolem Normal Form

Prenex normal form without existential quantifiers.

Notation: φ^* is SNF of φ

Theorem

For each closed formula ϕ , a corresponding SNF ϕ^* can be effectively computed.

Motivation

Syma

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Skolem Normal Form

Prenex normal form without existential quantifiers.

Notation: φ^* is SNF of φ

Theorem

For each closed formula ϕ , a corresponding SNF ϕ^* can be effectively computed.

Example

 $\exists x ((\forall x p(x)) \land \neg q(x))$

Motivation

Syrita

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Skolem Normal Form

Prenex normal form without existential quantifiers.

Notation: φ^* is SNF of φ

Theorem

For each closed formula ϕ , a corresponding SNF ϕ^* can be effectively computed.

Example

$$\exists x ((\forall x p(x)) \land \neg q(x))$$
$$\exists y ((\forall x p(x)) \land \neg q(y))$$

Motivation

Synta

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Skolem Normal Form

Prenex normal form without existential quantifiers.

Notation: φ^* is SNF of φ

Theorem

For each closed formula ϕ , a corresponding SNF ϕ^* can be effectively computed.

Example

$$\exists x ((\forall x p(x)) \land \neg q(x)) \exists y ((\forall x p(x)) \land \neg q(y)) \exists y (\forall x (p(x) \land \neg q(y)))$$

Motivation

Symax

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems

Skolem Normal Form

Prenex normal form without existential quantifiers.

Notation: φ^* is SNF of φ

Theorem

For each closed formula ϕ , a corresponding SNF ϕ^* can be effectively computed.

Example

 $\exists x ((\forall x p(x)) \land \neg q(x))$ $\exists y ((\forall x p(x)) \land \neg q(y))$ $\exists y (\forall x (p(x) \land \neg q(y)))$ $\forall x (p(x) \land \neg q(g_0))$

Motivation

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Herbrand interpretations

Motivation

Synt

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Reducing FOL satisfiability to propositional satisfiability ...

Idea 1: We use one particular interpretation which has as the universe of discourse all possible ground terms – and we add one constant if we do not have already one \rightsquigarrow Herbrand universe

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Normal forms
Herbrand

interpretations Further

Theorems

Literature



April 24, 2018

Reducing FOL satisfiability to propositional satisfiability ...

Idea 1: We use one particular interpretation which has as the universe of discourse all possible ground terms - and we add one constant if we do not have already one → Herbrand universe

Example: $\forall x \forall y (\neg P(x,y) \lor R(g_2(x,y),x))$

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further



Reducing FOL satisfiability to propositional satisfiability ...

Idea 1: We use one particular interpretation which has as the universe of discourse all possible ground terms – and we add one constant if we do not have already one \infty Herbrand universe

Example:
$$\forall x \forall y (\neg P(x,y) \lor R(g_2(x,y),x))$$

 $\mathcal{D}^H = \{a_0, g_2(a_0, a_0), g_2(a_0, g_2(a_0, a_0)), \dots\}$

Normal forms Herbrand

interpretations

Further

Reducing FOL satisfiability to propositional satisfiability ...

Idea 1: We use one particular interpretation which has as the universe of discourse all possible ground terms – and we add one constant if we do not have already one \rightsquigarrow Herbrand universe

Example:
$$\forall x \forall y (\neg P(x,y) \lor R(g_2(x,y),x))$$

 $\mathcal{D}^H = \{a_0, g_2(a_0, a_0), g_2(a_0, g_2(a_0, a_0)), \dots\}$

Idea 2: Function symbols are interpreted syntactically, predicate symbols are interpreted arbitrarily over this universe (each ground atom gets a truth value): \rightsquigarrow Herbrand interpretation

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Normal forms
Herbrand

interpretations

Further Theorems

Reducing FOL satisfiability to propositional satisfiability ...

Idea 1: We use one particular interpretation which has as the universe of discourse all possible ground terms – and we add one constant if we do not have already one \rightsquigarrow Herbrand universe

Example:
$$\forall x \forall y (\neg P(x,y) \lor R(g_2(x,y),x))$$

 $\mathcal{D}^H = \{a_0, g_2(a_0, a_0), g_2(a_0, g_2(a_0, a_0)), \dots \}$

Idea 2: Function symbols are interpreted syntactically, predicate symbols are interpreted arbitrarily over this universe (each ground atom gets a truth value):

Herbrand interpretation

$$a^{\mathcal{I}} = a$$
$$(f(t_1, \dots, t_n))^{\mathcal{I}} = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$$

 \mathcal{I} could then be defined such that, e.g., $\mathcal{I} \not\models P(a_0, a_0)$, $\mathcal{I} \not\models P(a_0, a_0)$, etc.

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems

Literature



April 24, 2018

Theorem

A formula φ has a model iff it has a Herbrand model.

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Normal forms
Herbrand

interpretations Further

Theorems



Theorem

A formula φ has a model iff it has a Herbrand model.

Idea 3: We expand each SNF-formula by substituting all variables by all possible terms \rightsquigarrow Herbrand expansion ($E(\varphi)$)

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Theorem

A formula φ has a model iff it has a Herbrand model.

Idea 3: We expand each SNF-formula by substituting all variables by all possible terms \rightsquigarrow Herbrand expansion $(E(\varphi))$

Example: $\neg P(a_0, a_0) \lor R(g_2(a_0, a_0), a_0), \neg P(a_0, g_2(a_0, a_0)) \lor R(g_2(a_0, g_2(a_0, a_0)), a_0), \dots$

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Theorem

A formula φ has a model iff it has a Herbrand model.

Idea 3: We expand each SNF-formula by substituting all variables by all possible terms \rightsquigarrow Herbrand expansion $(E(\varphi))$

Example: $\neg P(a_0, a_0) \lor R(g_2(a_0, a_0), a_0), \neg P(a_0, g_2(a_0, a_0)) \lor$ $R(g_2(a_0,g_2(a_0,a_0)),a_0),\ldots$

Theorem

A formula φ is satisfiable if $E(\varphi)$ is satisfiable.

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further

Note that the Herbrand universe can be infinite, therefore $E(\phi)$ can be infinite!

Motivation

-,

Semantics

Normal forms
Herbrand

interpretations Further

Theorems



- Note that the Herbrand universe can be infinite, therefore $E(\phi)$ can be infinite!
- If the Herbrand base is finite there is no problem (well, ...)

Monvation

Synta

Semantics

Normal forms
Herbrand

interpretations

Further Theorems



- Note that the Herbrand universe can be infinite, therefore $E(\phi)$ can be infinite!
- If the Herbrand base is finite there is no problem (well, ...)
- Use $E(\varphi)$ in a "lazy" way, expand only as needed

Motivation

Synt

Semantics

Normal forms
Herbrand

interpretations

Further Theorems



- Note that the Herbrand universe can be infinite, therefore $E(\varphi)$ can be infinite!
- If the Herbrand base is finite there is no problem (well, ...)
- Use $E(\varphi)$ in a "lazy" way, expand only as needed
- Semi-decision method for unsatisfiability

Monvation

Synta

Semantics

Normal forms
Herbrand

interpretations Further

Theorems



- Note that the Herbrand universe can be infinite, therefore $E(\varphi)$ can be infinite!
- If the Herbrand base is finite there is no problem (well, ...)
- Use $E(\varphi)$ in a "lazy" way, expand only as needed
- Semi-decision method for unsatisfiability
- In fact, unsatisfiability (and validity) in FOL is only semi-decidable (use e.g. PCP to prove)!

Monvation

Oymax

Semantics

Normal forms
Herbrand

interpretations Further

Theorems



Further Theorems

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Further theorems

Some corollaries from the previous theorems:

Theorem (Compactness)

Let $\Phi \cup \{\psi\}$ be a set of closed formulae.

- (a) $\Phi \models \psi$ iff there exists a finite subset $\Phi' \subseteq \Phi$ s. t. $\Phi' \models \psi$.
- (b) Φ is satisfiable iff each finite subset $\Phi' \subseteq \Phi$ is satisfiable.

Motivation

Synt

Semantics

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Further theorems

Some corollaries from the previous theorems:

Theorem (Compactness)

Let $\Phi \cup \{\psi\}$ be a set of closed formulae.

- (a) $\Phi \models \psi$ iff there exists a finite subset $\Phi' \subseteq \Phi$ s.t. $\Phi' \models \psi$.
- (b) Φ is satisfiable iff each finite subset $\Phi' \subseteq \Phi$ is satisfiable.

Theorem (Löwenheim-Skolem)

Each countable set of closed formulae that is satisfiable is satisfiable on a countable domain.

Motivation

Syntax

Semantics

Normal form

Herbrand interpretation

Further Theorems



Literature

Motivation

Syntax

Semantic

Normal forms

Herbrand interpretations

Further Theorems



Literature



Harry R. Lewis and Christos H. Papadimitriou. Elements of the Theory of Computation. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1981 (Chapters 8 & 9).

Normal forms Herbrand interpretations

Semantics

Volker Sperschneider and Grigorios Antoniou. Logic – A Foundation for Computer Science. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1991 (Chapters 1–3).

Further

H.-P. Ebbinghaus, J. Flum, and W. Thomas. Einführung in die mathematische Logik.

Literature

Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, Darmstadt, 1986.

U. Schöning. Logik für Informatiker.

Spektrum-Verlag.

